Section 1.4 [illegible] that
[illegible] defining the circumstan[illegible]
[illegible] for termination exists. [illegible] good faith [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] would make it difficult [illegible]
[illegible] from reaching [illegible] insolvent [illegible]
[illegible] to restructure [illegible] con[illegible]
[illegible] have become obsolete. The [illegible] distribution networks tha[illegible]
[illegible] passed on to consum[illegible]

[illegible] Between 1970 [illegible] Nevada will become [illegible] decad[illegible]
[illegible] the total annual [illegible]
[illegible] in Nevada [illegible] and 1985, Neva[illegible]
[illegible] consumption apparent [illegible]
[illegible] in a given decade [illegible]

[illegible] 6 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 In essence the [illegible] ction sharp[illegible]
[illegible] limits the grounds [illegible] for ending a franchise. The bill [illegible]
[illegible] would thus overturn [illegible] franchis[illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible] ness reas[illegible]
[illegible] seagra[illegible] Cons. Inc., 645 F. Supp. 44 (D. Nev. 1985). [illegible]
[illegible] distribution system [illegible]
[illegible] remain rational and effici[illegible]
[illegible] making possible the lowest po[illegible] price to th[illegible]
[illegible] consumer. Under the bill, the necessary [illegible]
[illegible] efficient decision ma[illegible]
[illegible] it being higher pr[illegible]

[illegible] because, the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible] and default.

[illegible] 1986, at B6, [illegible] In 1984 and 1985 Nevada ranked
first among [illegible]
[illegible] Washington Post, Aug. 3, 1986, at [illegible]

Jeffrey I. Zuckerman
Director