care professionals, and FTC staff express no opioniotine ultimate health and safety standards

or required for certain chronizain indications of otreatments that may present heightened consumer risks. In light of concerns abthet Bill's likely competitive impact, however, we urge careful scrutiny of the need for SB1662.

a. The Bill Raises Significant Competitive Concerns

The breadth of the limitations in SB1662 thereat a variety of competitive harms. First, by limiting the supply of health care professionals can provide the correct pain treatments, it appears likely to exacerbate health careers problems. An IOM report on pain and pain treatment observes that under-treath of chronic pain is widered, and that "undertreatment generates enormous costs to the [health] system and to the nation's economy. The same report notes that, "chronic pain raters likely to continue to rise," and suggests that the general population of primary emphysicians, as well as sorspecialist physicians, may be undertrained and inexperience biest pain management practices. Access problems may be particularly acute in rural areas, where alterneativo viders of pain management services appear to be in short supply. As noted above, many areas in Illistrailready are subject to shortages of both primary care anspecialist physicians, and CRNA practices disproportionately serve rural patients.

The Bill's effects would likely be felt most acutely by Illinois' most vulnerable populations – the elderly, the distantaged, and rural citizen as the IOM pain report notes, "pain is more prevalent and less likely to accept the elderly, women, children, and racial and ethnic minorities he same report notes that, nationally, rural ears face particular short as per pain carespecialists," even though aspects of rural life may in ease the likelihood of injuriers equiring pain treatment. Based on recent reports, numerous Illinois counties appelarate zero specialized pr

b. Legislative Consideration of Health and Safety Issues

FTC staff urge legislators to carefully consider there is evidence to justify the broad restriction on CRNA practice that SB1662. Who impose. We urge the legislature to consult with experts in nursing and medicined to rely upon other prenent information to clarify various technical matters. We also enagger the legislature to posider the nature of current chronic pain treatment practice imblies and consider available empirical and other evidence that may bear on patient safestyles, including relevant IOM reports.

If the legislature finds that egulation is warranted—for example, with respect to particular procedures or inditions—we recommend that the legiture consider how best to tailor provisions and restrict ONA practice only to the extent quired to ensure patient safety. In this circumstance, the legislature may wis to do sider a more flexitory approach, rather than the categorical statutory limits posed in SB1662. Appropriate regulations may more readily be recalibrated over time, as the ensition understanding of thronic pain and pain therapy progresses, and may more readily in the ease count such developments and more easily target particular risks.

¹ This letter expresses the views of the FederaleT adminission's Office of Policy Planning, Bureau of Economics, and Bureau of Competition. The letter does excessarily represent this way of the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") or of any individual Commissioner. The Commission has, however, voted to authorize us to submit these comments.

² Letter from Hon. Heather A. Steans, Illinois Senate, to Andrew I. Gavil, Director, FTC Office of Policy Planning (Feb. 25, 2013).

³ III. Comp. Stat. Art. 65 § 65-5(b), (b-5).

⁴ See generally Institute of Medicine, The Future of Nursing: Leading Change, Advancing Health (2011) [hereinafter IOMNursing Report] (especially Summary, 1-15).

⁵ *Id*. at 4.

⁶ Institute of Medicine, Committee on Advancing Pain Research, Care, and Education

¹⁴ See FTC Staff Letter to the Hon. Jeanne Kirkton, Missouri House of Representatives, Concerning Missouri House Bill 1399 and the Regulation of Certified Reteired Nurse Anesthetists (March 2012);ilable at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2012/03/20327kirktonmissouriletter.pp|FTC Staff Letter to the Honorable Gary Odom, Tennessee House of Representatives, Concerning Steen House Bill 1896 (H.B. 1896) and the Regulation of Providers of Interventional Pain Magement Services (Sept. 2014);ilable at http://www.ftc.gov/os/201/110/V11001tennesseebill.pdf TC Staff Letter to the Hon. Rodney Ellis and the Hon. Royce West, the Senate of the State of Texas, Concerning Texas Senate Bills 1260 and 1339 and the Regulation of Advanced Practice Register Nurses (May 2011);iable at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2011/05/V110007texasaprn;pfoff C Staff Letter To The Hon. Daphne Campbell, Florida House of Representatives, Concerning Florida House Bill 4103 and the Regulation of Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioners

²⁶ IOM PAIN REPORT, *supra* note 6,at 48.

²⁷ *Id.* at 80,157.

²⁸ *Id.* at 80.

²⁹ Am. Ass'n of Nurse Anesthetists, Distribution of Illi**s**oAnesthesia Provieds (Oct. 2011) (map and county-level table based on AMA master file and reportin**d**/t**6**. Dep't Health and Human Servs., HRSA).

³⁰ William Sage, David A. Hyman & Warren Greenbu**li** *Gyy Competition Law Matters to Health Care Quality*, 22 HEALTH AFFAIRS 31, 35 (Mar./Apr. 2003). Although estimatest elasticity of demand for health insurance coverage vary, the empirical evidence is cthat higher costs result in less coverage. DAVID M. CUTLER, HEALTH CARE AND THE PUBLIC SECTOR, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper W8802, Table 5 (Feb. 2002) *available at* http://papers.nber.org/papers/W8802

³¹ SB1662, III. 98 Gen. Assembly, § 10.

³² See, e.g., IOM NURSING REPORT, supra note 4, at 111 (citing diverse evidence, including Dulisse & Cromwell, supra note 25, in concluding that CRNAs provide high-quality care, with no evidence of patient harm, with respect to anesthesia and acute services).

³³ See, e.g. id. (with respect to CRNA provision of anesthesized acute services, Dulisse & Cromwell "found no increase in patient mortality or complications in stathes opted out of the [Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services] requirement that **an**esthesiologist or surgeon oversee threisid tration of anesthesia by a CRNA.").

³⁴ Another potential advantage of a regulatory approximate the regulatory process would facilitate full participation by all stakeholders with an interest installe, effective, and efficient delivery of pain management services, including physician©RNAs, hospitals, and others.