1310163

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Edith Ramirez,	Chairwoman
	Edith Ramirez,

Julie Brill

Maureen K. Ohlhausen Joshua D. Wright

In the Matter of)	
Service Corporation International, a corporation, and)) .)	Docket No. C-4423
Stewart Enterprises, Inc., a corporation.)))	
)	

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the Clayton Act and the Frade Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), and by virtue of the authority vested in it by sakets, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to believe that Respondentise Corporation International ("SCI"), a corporation subject to therisdiction of the Commission, has greed to acquire Respondent Stewart Enterprises, Inc. ("Stawt"), a corporation subject the jurisdiction of the Commission, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 UCS 18, and Section 5 of the

- 2. SCI owns and operates approximately 1,449efal-services locions, 374 cemeteries (including 213 combined funeraervices/cemetery locations), and 100 crematories in 44 states and the District of Columbia. SCI's 2012 revue from all operations totaled approximately \$2.41 billion.
- 3. SCI is, and at all relevant times has been gaged in "commerce" as defined in Section 1 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 12, and Sectloof the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 4. Respondent Stewart is a corporation **orga**d, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Louisianvaith its corporate officeand principal place of business located at 1333 South Clearvienk Way, Jefferson, Louisiana 70121. Stewart, among other things, is engaged in the sale and spin of (a) funeral spices and associated products, and (b) cemetery services associated products and property.
- 5. Stewart owns and operates 217 funeral homes1&1 cemeteries in 24 states and Puerto Rico. For the 12 months ending M T0.745Srew0c5ois

consumers primarily choose their final dispionsitbased on their personnar religious views, consumers generally do not view cremation services viable substitute for funeral services. Thus, a hypothetical monopolist of funeral seems could profitably impose a small but significant and non-transitory onease in price ("SSNIP") because most consumers would not switch to cremation services.

- 10. There are no products or services the reasonably interchageable with or viable substitutes for funeral services.
 - B. Cemetery Services and Associated Products and Property
- 11. The provision and sale of cemetæryvices and associated ducts and property ("cemetery services") constitutes a relevant produce that in which to analyze the competitive effects of the Merger. Cemetery services under all activities relating to the promotion, marketing, sale, and provision of property pods, and services to provide for the final disposition of human remains in a cemetery, whereby burial, entombment in a mausoleum or crypt, disposition in a nicher scattering of cremated remains on the cemetery grounds.
- 12. There are no products or servicess the reasonably interchageable with or viable substitutes for cemetery services.
- 13. In some local markets, certain frusheservice and cemetery receive locations cater to specific populations by focusing on the custoand rituals associated with one or more religious, ethnic, or cultural hieage groups. In such situatiss, the provision of funeral or cemetery services targeted to such populations constitute distinct and relevant product markets.

IV. THE RELEVANT GEOGRAPHIC MARKETS

14. The 29 geographic markets in which talyzare the effects of the learner with respect to funeral services are (1) Mobile, Alabama; (2) Auburn, Catifnia; (3) East Los Angeles County,

(7) Spring Hill/Hudson, Florida; (8) St. Petersbyll argo, Florida; (9) Tampa, Florida; (10) Atlanta (Cobb County), Georgia; (1) Atlanta (Fairburn/College Fla), Georgia; (12) Atlanta (Henry County), Georgia; (13) ew Orleans, Louisiana; (1) Annapolis, Maryland; (15) Baltimore, Maryland; (16) North Kansasty, Missouri; (17) South Kansas City, Kansas/Missouri; (18) High Point, North Chima; (19) Raleigh, North Carolina; (20) Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; (26) reenville, South Carolina; (27) Kingsport, Tennessee; (23) Knoxville, Tennessee; (24) Dallas, Texas; (25) the Dallas, Texas (African American); (26) South Richmond, Virginia; and (36) earneysville, West Virginia.

V. MARKET STRUCTURE AN D MARKET CONCENTRATION

16. Under the 2010 Department of Justine Federal Trade Comssion Horizontal Merger

- Catholic consumers. The transaction **wells**ult in significant lost competition for Catholic funeral services in Long Beach.
- E. <u>Los Angeles (San Fernando Valley)</u>, <u>Califærnī</u>The market for Catholic funeral services is highly concentrated. The spondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most ber funeral homes by serving a significant number of Catholic consumers. The transaction well-sult in significant lost competition for Catholic funeral services in San Fernando Valley.
- F. Palmdale/Lancaster, California. The market for funeral services in Palmdale and Lancaster is highly concentrated. The spondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most ber funeral homes in the market. Post-Merger the Respondents would own three of the sinceral homes in the area. Other competitors are differentiated from spendents' funeral homes in terms of quality.
- G. Northern San Diego, California. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of over 60 percent for funeral servicespræsenting an HHI increase of over 1,400, in numerous areas in and around Pracific Beach and Clairemont, California. The Merger will reducte number of funeral providers in the Pacific Beach and Clairemonteas from five to four.
- H. <u>Southern and Eastern San Diego, California</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 57 percent for funeral vices, representing a post-merger HHI increase of over 850, in numerous high pulated zip codes in southern and eastern San Diego.
- I. <u>Clearwater, Florida</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 52 percent. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most other funeral homes in the market. The remaining competitors are not nearly as close substitutes for Respondents' funeral homes are for each other.
- J. <u>Jacksonville</u>, <u>Florida</u>. The market founderal services in Jacksonville is highly concentrated. The Respondents are dosepetitors and ardifferentiated from most other funeral homes in the market. Respondents are close competitors while the remaining competitors are less competitively significant.
- K. <u>Miami-Dade County (Homestead)</u>, <u>Flor</u>idan the Homestead area, south of Miami, the Merger will reduce the number of competitive funeral homes from two to one.
- L. <u>Miami-Dade County (Miami)</u>, <u>FloridaPost-Merger</u>, SCI will have a market share of 51 percent in the Miami area. The Merger will increase the HHI by 1,292 points, from 1,732 to 3,024. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most ther funeral homes in the market. The remaining

- competitors are not nearly as close **situtes**s for Respondents' funeral homes as Respondents' funeral homes are for each other.
- M. Ocala, Florida. Post-Merger, SCI will hear market share of 42 percent. The Merger will increase the HHI by 860 posinfrom 3,375 to 4,235. In addition, the Merger will reduce from four to three thember of funeral services providers in the relevant market.
- N. <u>Orlando, Florida</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will whea market share of 67 percent. The Respondents are close competitors and time rentiated from most other funeral homes in the market.
- O. <u>Port St. Lucie, Florida</u>. Post-Merger, **Sø**ll have a market share of more than 72 percent. The remaining competitors are not nearly as close substitutes for Respondents' funeral homes as Respondents' funeral homes are for each other.
- P. <u>Tampa, Florida</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a 76 percent share of the Hispanic-focused market. The Respondents and are differentiated from most other funerallomes in the market.
- Q. Overland Park, Kansas. The marketftoreral services in Overland Park is highly concentrated. The Responderate close competitors and are differentiated from most otherufieral homes in the market.
- R. <u>South Kansas City, Kansas/Missouri.</u> eTitnarket for funeral services in South Kansas City is highly concentrate Respondents arensilarly-positioned competitors while the remaining competitairs more distant substitutes for the Respondents' facilities.
- S. New Orleans, Louisiana. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 90 percent. The Merger will increate HHI by 3,961 points, from 3,965 to 7,926. In addition, the Merger will reduce frothree to two the number of funeral services providers in the relevant market.
- T. <u>West Jackson, Mississippi</u>. The Merger will reduce the number of competing providers of funeral services from the to two. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most other funeral homes in the market.
- U. North Kansas City, Missouri. The market funeral services in North Kansas City is highly concentrated. Post-Merg&CI will have a market share of over 60 percent. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most other funeral homes in the market. The remaining competitors will not constrain Respondents post-Merger.
- V. New Bern, North Carolina. Post-MergeCI will have a market share of 100 percent. The Merger is a mergerntonopoly, reducing the number of funeral

- services providers in the relevant marketn two to one. The only other funeral homes in the area do not compete closely with Respondents' homes because they cater to African-American customers.
- W. Raleigh, North Carolina. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 51 percent. The Merger will increase HHI by 667 points, from 2,924 to 3,591.
- X. <u>Columbia</u>, <u>South Carolina</u>. The market **fo**neral services in western Columbia is highly concentrated. The Respontseare close competitors and are differentiated from most ther funeral homes in the market. The remaining competitors are not nearly as close **situates** for Respondents' funeral homes as Respondents' funeral homes are for each other.
- Y. <u>Nashville, Tennessee</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 42 percent. The Merger will increase the HHI by 499 points, from 1,785 to 2,284. The remaining local competitors are insufficit to constrain the merged firm and would not prevent competitive harm from resulting from the Merger.
- Z. <u>Dallas, Texas</u>. The market for fune**ser**vices in the Dallas area is highly concentrated. Together, Respondents own 20 funeral homes in the market including the dominant funeral home with the largest call volume. The Respondents are close competitors and interest are funeral homes in the market including on price.
- AA. <u>Southeast Fort Worth, Texas</u>. The mafketfuneral services in Southeast Fort Worth is highly concentrated. The Mergwill reduce fromfour to three the number of funeral services providerstime relevant market. The Respondents are close competitors, offering large, well-intained facilities serving a similar customer base, and are differentiated funeral homes in the market.
- BB. <u>Arlington-Alexandria</u>, <u>Virginia</u>. The markeor funeral service in the Arlington-Alexandria area is highly concentrate dost-Merger, SCI will own six of the eight funeral homes in the area. Other eral homes are not nearly as close substitutes for Resondents' facilities.
- CC. <u>Washington</u>, <u>D.C./Maryland Suburbs</u>. Plystrger, SCI will have a market share of 68 percent of the market for Jewistmeral services. The Merger will increase the HHI by 2,038 points, from 3,625 to 5,662he Merger will reduce from three to two the number of current providers Jewish funeral services in the relevant market.

B. Cemetery Services

- 18. The Merger will significantly increasencentration in numerous local markets for cemetery services and will result in SCI controllia substantial percentage of the market in each of the affected cemetery services markets.
 - A. <u>South San Diego, California</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 70 percent. The Merger will increase HHI by 2,381 points, from 2,832 to 5,213.
 - B. <u>Jacksonville</u>, <u>Florida</u>. The market formetery services in Jacksonville is highly concentrated. The Respondente close competitors and are differentiated from most other cemeters in the market. The remaining competitors are not nearly as closestitutes for Respondents' cemeteries as Respondents' cemeteries are for each other.
 - C. <u>Miami-Dade County</u>, <u>Florida</u>. The erger will reduce the number of competitive providers of cemetery services the Miami area from five to four, with SCI owning six of the ten private per tual-care cemeteries in the area. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 53 percent. Respondents are close competitors while the remaining competitors are not close substitutes for the Respondents' facilities.
 - D. Ocala, Florida. The market for cetery services in Cala is highly concentrated. Post-Merger, SCIII wwwn two of the three competitively significant private perpetual-care certies in the market. Respondents are close competitors while the remaining competitors are not close substitutes for the Respondents' facilities.
 - E. <u>West Orlando</u>, <u>Florida</u>. The market foremetery services in the West Orlando area is highly concentrated. The Rossdents are close competitors and own a majority of the private cemeteries in the market. The remaining competitors are not nearly as close substitutes Rosspondents' cemetesias Respondents' cemeteries are for each other.
 - F. Port St. Lucie, Florida. The Mergavill reduce the number of competitively-significant providers of cemetaeservices in the Port St. Lucie area from four to three. The Respondents are close continues and are differentiated from most other cemeteries in the market. The remaining competitors are not nearly as close substitutes for Respondents' carries as Respondents' cemeteries are for each other.
 - G. <u>Spring Hill/Hudson, Florid</u>a. The markfetr cemetery services in the Spring Hill/Hudson area is highly concentrated. The Merger reduces the number of competitively significant cemeteries from three to two. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most other cemeteries in the

- P. <u>High Point, North Carolin</u>a. Post-Merg CI will have a market share of 69 percent. The Merger will increast HHI by 2,389 points, from 2,973 to 5,362. In addition, the Merger will reduften four to three the number of cemetery services providers in the relevant market.
- Q. <u>Raleigh, North Carolina</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of over 70 percent. Respondents are close competitothis market and the Merger will reduce the number of cemetery services particles in the relevant market from five to four.
- R. <u>Philadelphia</u>, <u>Pennsylvania</u>. The markertcemetery services in Philadelphia is highly concentrated. The Respondents (tiwer of the largest, most prominent cemeteries and they are close competitors. The remaining competitors include the various Catholic cemeteries that apt close substitutes for Respondents' cemeteries.
- S. <u>Greenville</u>, <u>South Carolina</u>. The market cemetery services in the relevant geographic market in the earnville area is highlyoncentrated. The Merger will reduce the number of competitively significant providers of cemetery services in this relevantarket from three to two.
- T. <u>Kingsport, Tennessee</u>. Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share of 85 percent. The Merger will increase HHI by 3,559 points, from 3,757 to 7,316. In addition, the Merger will redufrem four to three the number of cemetery services providers in the relevant market.
- U. <u>Knoxville</u>, <u>Tennessee</u>. The Mergeillweduce the number of competitive providers of cemetery services from foorthree. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most other cemeteries in the market.
- V. <u>Dallas, Texas</u>. The market for cettery services in Dallas is highly concentrated. The Respondents own 13eteries in the mast, including the dominant cemetery with the mostraual internments. The Respondents are close competitors while the remaining mpetitors are not as geographically close or competitively significant.
- W. <u>South Dallas, Texas.</u> Post-Merger, SCI will have a market share above 90 percent for African-American cemetery vices in South Dallas. No other cemetery in South Dallas is a closub stitute for Respondents' cemeteries.
- X. <u>Southeast Fort Worth, Tex</u>as. The market for cemetery services in Southeast Fort Worth is highly concentrated. The Merger will reduce from four to three the number of cemetery services piders in the relevant market. The Respondents are close competitors seraisignilar customer base and offering high-quality cemeteries.

- Y. <u>Houston, Texas</u>. The market for cemetery services in Houston is highly concentrated. The Respondents are to the from most other cemeteries in the market.
- Z. <u>Northwest Richmond, Virginia</u>. The markfet cemetery services in Northwest Richmond is highly concentrated. **TRe**spondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most otherweteries in the market.
- AA. <u>South Richmond, Virginia</u>. The market for cemetery services in South Richmond is highly concentrated. **TRe**spondents are close competitors and are differentiated from most other meteries in the market.
- BB. <u>Kearneysville</u>, <u>West Virginia</u>. TehMerger will reduce the number of competitive providers of cemetery servideem three to two. The Respondents are close competitors and are differentiated from the other cemetery in the market.

VI. ANTICOMPETITIVE EFFECTS

- 19. The Merger may substantially lessempetition in the relevant markets by, among other things:
 - a. Eliminating actual, direct, and substial competition between SCI and Stewart;
 - b. Increasing the likelihood that SCI welkercise market power unilaterally; and
 - c. Increasing the likelihood of collusion coordinated interaction between SCI and other funeral or cemetery services providers.

VII. ENTRY CONDITIONS

- 20. Entry into the releva**m**arkets would not be timely, like or sufficient to prevent or defeat the likely anticompetitive effects of the Merger.
- 21. Among other entry barriers, heritage (consumer's tendency to use the same funeral services provider for multiple generations) and reputation pose substantial barriers to entrants attempting to establish new funeral services locations.
- 22. The availability of suitable land allowal zoning, health, and environmental regulations impact significantly the ability of firms tenter with new cemetery services locations.

VIII. VIOLATIONS

- 23. The allegations of Paragraph 1 thro@ghare repeated and realleged as though fully set forth here.
- 24. The Agreement described in Paragraphnistitutes a violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.
- 25. The Merger described in Paragrapil Topsummated, would constitute a violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15.0. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

WHEREFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Federal Trade Commission on this twentieth day of December, 2013, issittes complaint agaist said Respondents.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secretary

SEAL