Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment In the Matter of Bill Robertson & Sons, Inc. d/b/a/ Honda of Hollywood, File No. 1323142

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Bill Robertson & Sons, Inc. d/b/a Honda of Hollywood. The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the FTC will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

The respondent is a motor vehicle dealer. According to the FTC complaint, the respondent has advertised cars for leasing. In connection with its advertised leasing offers, the complaint alleges that the respondent has advertised that consumers can pay "\$0 down" with "0 first payment" and "0 due at signing" to lease a car, and has depicted several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the street of the second several cars in the etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the second several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the second several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the second several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the second several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the second several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant lease a car, and has depicted several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant leasewhigh in the second several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant lease a car, and has depicted several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant lease a car, and has depicted several cars in its etween \$1,995 and a significant lease a car, and

ent's representations are false or misleading in violation of Section 5 n, the complaint alleges a violation of the Consumer Leasing Act and clearly and conspicuously disclose the costs and terms of certain espondent's use of certain triggering terms in the advertisements.

is designed to prevent the respondent from engaging in similar violations in the future. Part I.A prohibits the respondent from (1) leasing a vehicle, including but not limited to the total amount lownpayment, amount down, acquisition fee, capitalized cost trequired to be paid at lease inception, and the amounts of all ayments; or (2) purchasing a vehicle with financing, including but not mount or percentage of the downpayment, the number of payments or nount of any payment, and the repayment obligation over the full term alloon payment. Part I.B prohibits the respondent from naterial fact about the price, sale, financing, or leasing of any vehicle.

ed order addresses the CLA allegation. It requires that the picuously make all of the disclosures required by CLA and evant triggering terms, including the monthly lease payment. In my other violation of CLA and Regulation M.

sed order requires respondent to keep copies of relevant ls substantiating claims made in the advertisements. Part IV requires sies of the order to certain of its personnel. Part V requires sion regarding changes in corporate structure that might affect er the order. Part VI requires the respondent to file compliance in. Finally, Part VII is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty eptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to aid public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the complaint or proposed order, or to modify in any way the proposed order's terms.