

Analysis of Proposed Consent Orders to Aid Public Comment
In the Matter of Apperian, Inc., File No. 142 3017

part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns alleged false or misleading representations that Apperian made to consumers concerning its participation in the Safe Harbor privacy frameworks agreed upon by the U.S. and the European Union ("EU") ("U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework") and the U.S. and

the Safe Harbor Frameworks. The Commission's complaint alleges that in July 2010, Apperian submitted a self-certification to the Safe Harbor Frameworks. Apperian did not renew its self-certification in July 2012, and Commerce subsequently updated Apperian's status to "not current" on its public website. In November 2013, Apperian renewed its self-certification to the Safe Harbor Frameworks, and its status was changed to "current" on Commerce's website.

Part I of the proposed order prohibits Apperian from making misrepresentations about its membership in any privacy or security program sponsored by the government or any other self-regulatory or standard-setting organization, including, but not limited to, the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework and U.S.-Swiss Safe Harbor Framework.

Parts II through VI of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part II requires Apperian to retain documents relating to its compliance with the order for a five-year period. Part III requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part IV ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part V mandates that Apperian submit an initial compliance report to the FTC, and make available to the FTC subsequent reports. Part VI is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed complaint or order or to modify the order's terms in any way.