UNITED STATES DISTRlCT COURT
FOR TIlE NORTHERN ~ ,ISTRlCT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

WILUAM F. FARLEY,
Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 92 C 1071
Judge Brian Barnett Duff
Executive Magistrate
Judge Joan H. Lefkow

FINAL .n~G.MENT

Plaintiff, United States of America ("United States"), having med its Complaint

herein on February 12, 1992 and Plaintiff and Defendant William Farley ("Farley"), by their

respective attorneys, having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without trial and

without this Final Judgment constituting any evidence against or any admission by the

Defendant with respect to any allegation in the Complaint;

Now, Therefore, before the taking of any testimony and upon the consent of the

parties hereto it is hereby

Ordered, Adjudged, and Derreed as follf'ws:

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties

consenting hereto. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against

the Defendant under the Hart-Scott-Rodino AntitJust Improvements Act of 1976 (nHSR

Act"), which is Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § ISa;

2. Defendant Farley is hereby ordered to pay to toe United States a civil penalty

in the amount of four hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars ($425,000), pursuant to

Section 7A(g)(l) of the Clayton Act. !5 U.S.c. § 18a(g)(I), due and payable within 30 days

from the dar;: of entry of this Final Judgment, by cashier's check made payable (0 the Treasurer of the United States and delivered to Chief, FOIA Unit, Antitrust Division,

Department of Justice, Room 3332, 10th & Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C., 20530;

3. In the event of a default in payment, interest at the rate of eighteen (18)
percent per mourn shall accrue thereon from tht date (\; uefault to the date of payment;

4. Each party shall bear its own costs of this action;
5. The procedures of the Antitrust PC'naltie<; and Procedures Act, 15 U. S. C.

§§ 16(b)-{h), do not apply; and

6. Entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest.
,..---

Dated: /- I (- 7.J , 1995.

Brian Barnett Duff
United States District Judge

2