UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Robert Pitofsky, Chairman

Mary L. Azcuenaga Janet D. Steiger Roscoe B. Starek, III Christine A. Varney

In the Matter of

DOCKET NO. C-3683

NEW BALANCE ATHLETIC SHOE, INC.,

a corporation.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and the respondent having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Bureau of Competition proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondent with violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45; and

The respondent, its attorneys, and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by respondent of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondent that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's Rules; and

now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in § 2.34 of its Rules, the Commission further issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings and enters the following order:

- 1. Respondent New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc. is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Massachusetts. The mailing address and principal place of business of respondent New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc. is 61 North Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02134.
- 2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

I.

IT IS ORDERED that for the purpose of this order, the following definitions shall apply:

- (A) The term "New Balance" means New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc., its predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups, and affiliates controlled by New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc., and its respective directors, officers, employees, agents, and representatives, and the respective successors and assigns of each.
 - (B) The term "respondent" means New Balance.
- (C) The term "product" means any athletic or casual footwear item which is manufactured, offered for sale or sold under the brand name of "New Balance" to dealers or consumers located in the United States of America.
- (D) The term "dealer" means any person, corporation or entity not owned by New Balance, or by any entity owned or controlled by New Balance, that in the course of its business sells any product in or into the United States of America.
- (E) The term "resale price" means any price, price floor, minimum price, maximum discount, price range, or any mark-up formula or margin of profit used by any dealer for pricing any product. "Resale price" includes, but is not limited to, any suggested, established, or customary resale price.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that New Balance, directly or indirectly, or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the manufacturing, offering for sale, sale or distribution of any product in or into the United States of America in or affecting "commerce," as defined by the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

- (A) Fixing, controlling, or maintaining the resale price at which any dealer may advertise, promote, offer for sale or sell any product.
- (B) Requiring, coercing, or otherwise pressuring any dealer to maintain, adopt, or adhere to any resale price.
- (C) Securing or attempting to secure any commitment or assurance from any dealer concerning the resale price at which the dealer may advertise, promote, offer for sale or sell any product.
- (D) For a period of ten (10) years from the date on which this order becomes final, adopting, maintaining, enforcing or threatening to enforce any policy, practice or plan pursuant to which respondent notifies a dealer in advance that: (1) the dealer is subject to warning or partial or temporary suspension or termination if it sells, offers for sale, promotes or advertises any product below any resale price designated by respondents, and (2) the dealer will be subject to a greater sanction if it continues or renews selling, offering for sale, promoting or advertising any product below any such designated resale price. As used herein, the phrase "partial or temporary suspension or termination" includes but is not limited to any

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of five (5) years from the date on which this order becomes final, New Balance shall clearly and conspicuously state the following on any list, advertising, book, catalogue, or promotional material where it has suggested any resale price for any product to any dealer:

ALTHOUGH NEW BALANCE MAY SUGGEST RESALE PRICES FOR PRODUCTS, RETAILERS ARE FREE TO DETERMINE ON THEIR OWN THE PRICES AT WHICH THEY WILL ADVERTISE AND SELL NEW BALANCE PRODUCTS.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within thirty (30) days after the date on which this order becomes final, New Balance shall mail by first class mail the letter attached as Exhibit A, together with a copy of this order, to all of its directors and officers, and to dealers, distributors, agents, or sales representatives engaged in the sale of any product in or into the United States of America.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of two (2) years after the date on which this order becomes final, New Balance shall mail by first class mail the letter attached as Exhibit A, together with a copy of this order, to each new director, officer, dealer, distributor, agent, and sales representative engaged in the sale of any product in or into the United States of America, within ninety (90) days of the commencement of such person's employment or affiliation with New Balance.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that New Balance shall notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed changes in New Balance such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, or any other change in the corporations which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

VII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within sixty (60) days after the date this order becomes final, and at such other times as the Commission or its staff shall request, New Balance shall file with the Commission a verified written report setting forth in detail the manner and form in which New Balance has complied and is complying with this order.

VIII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order shall terminate on September 10, 2016.

By the Commission, Commissioner Starek dissenting.

Donald S. Clark Secretary

SEAL:

ISSUED: September 10, 1996

EXHIBIT A

[NEW BALANCE LETTERHEAD]

Dear Retailer:

The Federal Trade Commission has conducted an investigation into New Balance's sales policies, and in particular New Balance's "Statement of Policy," which was announced in July 1991 and, with modifications, has remained in effect since then. To expeditiously resolve the investigation and to avoid disruption to the conduct of its business, New Balance has agreed, without admitting any violation of the law, to the entry of a Consent Order by the Federal Trade Commission prohibiting certain practices relating to resale prices. A copy of the Order is enclosed. This letter and the accompanying Order are being sent to all of our dealers, sales personnel and representatives.

The Order spells out our obligations in greater detail, but we want you to know and understand that you can sell and advertise our products at any price you choose. While we may send materials to you which contain suggested retail prices, you remain free to sell and advertise those products at any price you choose.

We look forward to continuing to do business with you in the future.

Sincerely yours,

President
New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc.

CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER MARY L. AZCUENAGA in New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc., Docket No. C-3683

There is some evidence that New Balance went beyond permissible communications with its dealers and entered the realm of unlawful resale price maintenance. An order is, therefore, appropriate. I write separately to make clear my understanding that the complaint does not challenge the announcement or implementation by a supplier of a structured termination policy. Although I view Paragraph 4(c) of the complaint as ambiguous, the essence of the charge is that New Balance secured price agreements from dealers that discounted in return for assurances that New Balance would not impose sanctions on them. New Balance did not implement its structured termination policy, and the complaint and order do not address the lawfulness of that policy.

DISSENTING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER ROSCOE B. STAREK, III

In the Matter of

New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc.

Docket No. C-3683

As I did in Reebok International, Ltd., Docket No. C-3592, I find reason to believe that the target of the present investigation -- New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc. ("New Balance") -- has entered into agreements with retailers to restrain retail prices and has thereby violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45. However, I dissent from the Commission's decision to issue the final order in this matter because certain provisions of the order are not required to prevent unlawful conduct and may instead unnecessarily restrain procompetitive conduct by New Balance.

As in Reebok International, the fencing-in restrictions in the order relating to resale price advertising (specifically, the minimum advertised price provisions¹) and to New Balance's "structured termination policy"² are unjustifiably broad and likely to deter efficient conduct. Indeed, the order even goes beyond the provisions I found overinclusive, and therefore unacceptable, in the Reebok order: the current order omits language that appeared in Paragraph II of the Reebok order that expressly recognized the respondent's Colgate rights.³

In the interests of fairness and efficiency, injunctive relief ordered to address resale price maintenance should be strictly tailored to the per se unlawful conduct alleged. Because the order in this case mandates excessive restrictions upon the conduct of New Balance, I respectfully dissent.

The unnecessary provisions relating to price advertising appear in Paragraphs II(A), II(B), and III and in Exhibit A to the proposed order.

² See Paragraph IV(C) of the proposed complaint and Paragraph II(D) of the proposed order.

See United States v. Colgate & Co., 250 U.S. 300 (1919).