UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE DEAN THOMAS CORPORATION, INC.,
a corporation, and
THE GAME CLUB, INC.,
a corporation, and
PROFESSIONAL PUBLISHERS, INC.,
a corporation, and
THOMAS PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.,
a corporation, and
DEAN R. THOMAS, and
RANDY B. LONIS, and
RAYMOND CELIE,
individually and as officers
of said corporations,

Defendants.

CIVIL NO. 1:97 CV 0129

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF interest resulting from defendants' violations of the FTC Act

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 1 5 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b)and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.
- 3. Venue in the United States District Court for the Norther n

 District of Indiana is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), a s

 amended by the FTC Act Amendments of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103

 312, 108 Stat. 1691, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, is an independen tagency of the United States Gov ernment created by statute. 15

U.S.C. § 41 et seq. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The ecommission commerce is an independent to the sequence of the United States Gov ernment created by statute. 15

DEFENDANTS

- 5. Defendant The Dean Thomas Corporation is an Indian a corporation with its principal place of business at 4622 East State Boulevard, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46815. The Dean Thomas Corporation also does business as:
 - (a) Alcohol Prevention Review;
 - (b) Area Drug Abuse;
 - (c) Area Drug Abuse Program;
 - (d) Child Safety Guide;
 - (e) Community Drug Abuse in Washingto n
 County;
 - (f) Community Drug Abuse News;
 - (q) Crime and Alcohol Prevention;
 - (h) Crime and Alcohol Prevention Review;
 - (i) Crime Awareness;
 - (j) Crime Prevention and Review;
 - (k) Crime Prevention Program;
 - (1) Crime Prevention Review;
 - (m) Drug and Alcohol Review;
 - (n) Fire Prevention and Review;
 - (o) Fire Prevention Program;
 - (p) Fire Prevention Review;
 - (q) Fire Safety Monitor;
 - (r) Labor Guide;
 - (s) Labor Trade Review;

- (t) P.P.C.;
- (u) The Community Child Safety Digest;
- (v) Washington County Child Safety Guide;
- (w) Washington County Fire Prevention;

and possibly under other d/b/a's. The Dean Thomas Corporatio n transacts business in this District.

6. Defendant The Game Club, Inc.,

- do business under other names, including the same d/b/a's The

 Dean Thomas Corporation uses, as set forth in paragraph 5 .

 Thomas Publishing Company, Inc., transacts business in thi s district.
- 9. Defendant Dean R. Thomas is the director, president an d secretary of The Dean Thomas Corporation, The Game Club, Inc., Professional Publishers, Inc., and Thomas Publishing Company, Inc. At all times material to this complaint, individually or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendants, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He transacts business in this District.
- 10. Defendant Randy B. Lonis operates and manages one or more of the defendant corporations. At all times relevant to this successful complaint, individually or in concert with others, he has successful formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendants, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He transact successful business in this District.

COMMERCE

11. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants hav e maintained a substantial course of trade in or affectin g

commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FT C Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

- 12. Since at least 1987, defendants have engaged in a program of misrepresentation targeted at public-spirited businesses i n many states. Defendants solicit businesses, including man У small businesses, to advertise in publications defendant claim to publish and distribute. Defendants represen t. advertising in their publications, which have titles such as AREA DRUG ABUSE, CHILD SAFETY GUIDE and FIRE PREVENTION REVIEW, as a meaningful opportunity for businesses to support importan in their community, such as fighting crime an d causes preventing drug abuse. As further inducement for businesses t advertising, defendants tell businesses publications containing their advertising will be widel У distributed in their local communities or that publication containing their advertising will be distributed to loca 1 organizations and specially targeted audiences within their r communities.
- 13. Contrary to defendants' representations, publication s containing the businesses' advertisements are not widel y distributed in the businesses' community nor provided to loca l organizations or specially targeted audiences.

- 14. In addition to misrepresenting the distribution of publications, defendants often obtain payment У misrepresenting to the business that it has already ordere d the advertisement. This misrepresentation is conveyed i n several ways; in numerous instances: (1) defendants telephon е business and misrepresent to the business that a n advertisement it agreed to place in defendants' publication i S printed and needs to be paid for, even though the business ha S never agreed to an advertisement; (2) defendants obtain the name of a person at the business, and misrepresent that th e person has previously authorized the advertisement; (3) defendants send a collector to the business who misrepresents that the business has approved an advertisement and that i must be paid for; (4) defendant s convey the misrepresentation that an advertisement has been ordered by sending an envelope Cash on Delivery (C.O.D.) to the business. Many businesse S pay the COD and, upon opening the envelope, discover a n invoice for an advertisement in one \circ f defendants publications that they never au thorized. Many businesses are convinced by the above misrepre sentations that they have preapproved the advertisement and pay the defendants.
- 15. If a business refuses to pay for unordered advertising , defendants frequently threaten to turn the business's bil l over to a collection agency for collection action, or threate n to take legal action to collect payment. Many businesses are

- convinced by these threats and, believing that their creditorecords may be adversely affected, pay the bill.
- 16. Defendants' representations hav e caused individual businesses to pay hundreds and even thousa nds of dollars for advertising in defendants' publications.

COUNT ONE

- 17. In numerous instances, in connection with the offering fo r sale and sale of advertisements , defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that:
 - (a) the proceeds from the advertise ments will be used for a local, civic purp ose, or to defray the cost of printing and disseminating the publications locally; and
 - (b) the publication in which the advertising is to appear will receive widesprea d local distribution, or will be distributed to community organi zations or specially targeted audiences within the business's local community.
- 18. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances:
 - (a) the proceeds for the advertisements ar e not used for a local, civic purpose, nor

- to defray the cost of printing an d disseminating the publications locally;
- (b) the publication in which the advertising is to appear does not receive widespread local distribution and is not d istributed to community organizations or speciall y targeted audiences within the business's local community.
- 19. Therefore, defendants' represent ations set forth in paragraph

 17 are false and misleading and constitute deceptive acts or

 practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 1

 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT TWO

- 20. In numerous instances, in connection with the offering for sale and sale of advertisements, defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that the business or a name deperson acting on behalf of the business previously authorized placement of the advertising in defendants' publications.
- 21. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the business or a named person acting on behalf of the business did no t previously authorize placement of the advertising in defendants' publications.

22. Therefore, defendants' representation set forth in paragraph
20 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or
practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 1
U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT THREE

- 23. In numerous instances in connection with the offering for sal e and sale of advertisements, defendants have represented , expressly or by implication, that defendants incurred the cos t of printing the publication in reliance on the business' s authorization of and promise to pay for the advertisement.
- 24. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, defendants have not incurred the cost of printing the publication in reliance on the business's authorization of and promise to pay for the advertisement.
- 25. Therefore, defendants' represen tations set forth in paragraph
 23 are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts o repractices

- (a) sending to businesses by Cash o n Delivery(C.O.D.) packages containing bills fo rsuch advertisements; and
- (b) threatening to turn the bill over to a collection agency unless the busines s pays the bill; and
- (c) threatening to take legal action to collect payment for such advertisement.
- 27. Therefore, Defendants' practices, described in paragraph 26, constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation o f Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

28. Defendants' violations of Section 5 of the FTC Act, as se t forth above, have caused and continue to cause substantia 1 injury to consumers, namely the businesses defrauded by defendants' activities. Absent injunctive relief by this court, defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

29. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this solution to issue a permanent injunction against defendants violations of the FTC Act and, in the exercise of it solutions grant such other relief as the Court

may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of the FT C Act, including restitution and disgorgement of unjus t enrichment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this section, as authorized by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

- 1. Award the Commission all temporary and preliminary injunctive and ancillary relie for that may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action, and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including, but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, appointment of a receiver, and an order freezing each defendant's assets.
- 2. Permanently enjoin the defendants fro m violating the FTC Act as alleged in thi s complaint;
- 3. Award all relief that the Court find s necessary to remedy the defenda nts' violations

of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, including, but not limited to, the refund of monies paid and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

4. Award the Commission the costs of bringin g this action, as well as any other equitable e relief that the Court may deter mine to be just and proper.

DATE: _	
---------	--

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN CALKINS General Counsel Federal Trade Commission

JOHN M. MENDENHALL Acting Director Cleveland Regional Office Federal Trade Commission

BRINLEY H. WILLIAMS
GERALD C. ZEMAN
DANA C. BARRAGATE
Attorneys for Plaintiff
668 Euclid Avenue
Suite 520-A
Cleveland, OH 44114-3006
Phone (216) 522-4210
FAX (216) 522-7239