1	Stephen Calkins General Counsel
2	Ann I. Jones
3	Gregory W. Staples Federal Trade Commission
4	11000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 13209 Los Angeles, California 90024
5	(310) 235-4040
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10)
11) Civ. No. FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)
12	Plaintiff, COMPLAINT
13	V.)
14	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS L.L.C., and)
15	MAX PEREZ, Defendants.
16)
17	Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), by
18	
19	its undersigned attorney, alleges as follows:
20	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
21	1. This is an action under Section 13(b) of the Federal
22	Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to secure a
23	permanent injunction and other equitable relief, including
24	rescission, restitution and disgorgement, against Defendants'
25	violations of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§
26	45(a) and 52, respecting deceptive acts or practices. This Court
27	has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim pursuant

1 to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a) and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a)
2 and 53(b). Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C.
3 § 1391(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Commission is an independent agency of the 5 2. United States Government created by statute (15 U.S.C. § 41 et 6 7 The Commission is charged, inter alia, with the seq.). enforcement of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 8 9 §§ 45(a) and 52, and is authorized under Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to initiate court proceedings to enjoin 10 violations of the FTC Act and to secure such equitable relief as 11 12 may be appropriate in each case.

Defendant Mountain Springs L.L.C. ("Mountain Springs")
 is a New York limited liability company. Mountain Springs'
 principal place of business is located at 125 Park Avenue, 8th
 Floor, New York, New York. At all times relevant to this
 complaint, Mountain Springs conducted business in this District.

18 4. Defendant Max Perez is a manager of Mountain Springs.
19 At all times relevant to this complaint, Max Perez conducted
20 business in this District. Individually or in concert with
21 others, he formulates, directs, or controls the policies, acts or
22 practices of Mountain Springs, including the acts or practices
23 alleged in this complaint.

COMMERCE

2

25 5. At all times relevant to this complaint, the Defendants26 maintained a substantial course of trade, in or affecting

27

28

24

1 commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act,
2 15 U.S.C. § 44.

3

DEFENDANTS' COURSE OF CONDUCT

- A. "It's been tested in Italy, Austria, Germany . . . And it's been proven in laboratories that 'Una de Gato' . . . reinforces the immune system and reduces inflammation."
- B. "MANAXX CAT'S CLAW has been proven in laboratories to
 strengthen the human immune system and enhance overall
 health and well-being."
- 8 C. "Una de Gato, whose incredible properties, according to 9 medical science, have various medicinal uses ranging 10 from the reduction of muscular and skin inflammations 11 to overall strengthening of the Human Immune system . . 12 . ."
- D. "And the observable defense reinforcement that we've found resulting from Manaxx's Una de Gato mark it as a weapon against any immunological problems, regardless of their cause."
- E. "Another favorable quality is the effect on
 inflammation . . . Manaxx's Una de Gato can be an
 excellent nutritional source for the reduction of the
 abnormal irritation of body tissues."
- F. "We can also add to the list of benefits the fact that Manaxx's Una de Gato does not affect the stomach and does not have any abnormal effects resulting from exceeding the recommended dosage. It has also been shown in experiments in Europe to be void of any toxic effects."

27

28

In the course of telephone calls with consumers, the 1 9. 2 Defendants made additional claims regarding una de gato. The 3 script provided to telemarketers states that una de gato is used as a "supplement to improve overall health . . . [i]t also 4 strengthens the immune system." During a call made to (800) 441-5 4868, a number provided by Defendants to place orders for una de 6 7 gato, Defendants' agent or representative stated that una de gato prevented diseases from occurring because it strengthened the 8 9 immune system.

10 10. In its advertisements Defendants have used testimonials, including the following: "I was taking 'Una de 11 12 Gato' between '82 and '84. . . the prognosis for my illness was pretty bad but it's been 10 or 12 years now and I'm still here"; 13 14 "For many years, I could hardly walk because of the discomfort 15 and swelling I had in my leq, and now I walk perfectly"; and "I had skin problems, with a lot of pimples that just would not go 16 17 away with any remedy, until I started taking Manaxx, and then my skin cleared up in just two weeks." 18

19

DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT

11. Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52, prohibit deceptive acts and practices and the making of false advertisements to induce, or likely to induce, the purchase of "foods" and/or "drugs," respectively, in or affecting commerce.

12. Through the means described in paragraphs 7 through 10,
Defendants, individually or in concert with others, have
represented, expressly or by implication, that:

27

28

- 1A.Una de gato strengthens or reinforces the immune2system;3B.Una de gato effectively treats inflammation and reduces
 - abnormal irritation of body tissue; C. Una de gato reduces swelling in the legs thereby
 - allowing users to walk without discomfort;
 - D. Una de gato cures acne;

4

5

б

the immune system, effectively treats inflammation, reduces the
 abnormal irritation of body tissue, and is void of any toxic
 effects. In fact, clinical and laboratory research does not
 demonstrate that una de gato strengthens or reinforces the immune

(1) Enjoin Defendants permanently from violating Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act in connection with the advertising, offering, sale, distribution, or other promotion of Manaxx, other una de gato products or any food, drug or dietary supplement. (2) Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to remedy the Defendants' violations of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, including but not limited to disgorgement, rescission of purchases, and refund of money. (3) Award Plaintiff such other and additional equitable relief as the Court may determine to be proper and just. Dated: June 24, 1997 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION By: Gregory W. Staples Attorney for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission