UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

	In the Matter of)		
LIFE	FITNESS, a general partnership.)))))	DOCKET NO.	C-3766

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Life Fitness, a general partnership ("respondent"), has violated the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and it appearing to the Commission that this proceeding is in the public interest, alleges:

- 1. Respondent Life Fitness is a New York general partnership with its principal office or place of business at 10601 West Belmont Avenue, Franklin Park, Illinois 60131.
- 2. Respondent has manufactured, advertised, labeled, offered for sale, sold, and distributed exercise products to the public, including "Lifecycles," which are exercise bicycles.
- 3. The acts and practices of respondent alleged in this complaint have been in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.
- 4. Respondent has disseminated or has caused to be disseminated advertisements for Lifecycles, including but not necessarily limited to the attached Exhibits A through C. These advertisements contain the following statements:
 - A. "Research has shown that the patented

(Exhibit A)

B. "Remember, the Lifecycle programs have been proven to burn over 1000 calories per hour!
. . . ."

C. "BURN OVER 1300 CALORIES AN HOUR! "

(Exhibit C)

(Exhibit B)

- 5. Through the means described in Paragraph 4, respondent has represented, expressly or by implication, that users of the Lifecycle will burn calories at a rate of over 1,000 per hour under conditions of ordinary use.
- 6. Through the means described in Paragraph 4, respondent has represented, expressly or by implication, that it possessed and relied upon a reasonable basis that substantiated the representation set forth in Paragraph 5, at the time the representation was made.
- 7. In truth and in fact, respondent did not possess and rely upon a reasonable basis that substantiated the representation set forth in Paragraph 5, at the time the representation was made. Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 6 was, and is, false or misleading.
- 8. Through the means described in Paragraph 4, respondent has represented, expressly or by implication, that research shows that users of the Lifecycle will burn calories at a rate of over 1,000 per hour under conditions of ordinary use.
- 9. In truth and in fact, research does not show that users of the Lifecycle will burn calories at a rate of over 1,000 per hour under conditions of ordinary use. Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 8 was, and is, false or misleading.
- 10. The acts and practices of respondent as alleged in this complaint constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

THEREFORE, the Federal Trade Commission this ninth day of September, 1997, has issued this complaint against respondent.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secretary

SEAL:

[Exhibits A-C attached to paper copies of complaint, but not available in electronic form]