UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 3 4 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff, 5 v. Civil Action No. 6 ONESOURCE WORLDWIDE NETWORK, INC., 7 a corporation, JAMES MICHAEL FOBAIR, 8 individually and as an officer of OneSource Worldwide Network, Inc., 9 Defendants. 10 11 COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF 12 13 Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "the 14 Commission"), for its Complaint alleges as follows: 15 The FTC brings this action under Section 13(b) of the 1. 16 Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to 17 secure a permanent injunction, rescission of contracts, 18 restitution, disgorgement, and other equitable relief for 19 Defendants' unfair or deceptive acts or practices in violation of 20 Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a). 21 This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 2. 22 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a) and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). This 23 action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1). 24 3. Venue in the United States District Court for the Northern 25 District of Texas is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 26 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). 27 28

THE PARTIES

4. Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, is an independent 2 3 agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The Commission is charged, inter alia, with 4 enforcing Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which 5 prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting 6 7 commerce. The Commission is authorized to initiate federal 8 district court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FTC Act in 9 order to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). 10

5. Defendant OneSource Worldwide Network, Inc. ("OneSource")
is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business at
12 12005 Ford Road, Suite 800, Dallas, Texas. OneSource transacts
business in the Northern District of Texas.

15 6. Defendant James Michael Fobair ("Fobair") is, and at all
16 relevant times was, the founder and President of OneSource. His
17 business address is the same as that of corporate defendant
18 OneSource. In connection with the matters alleged herein, Fobair
19 transacts business in the Northern District of Texas.

20 7. At all times material to this Complaint, individually or 21 in concert with others, Fobair has formulated, directed, and 22 controlled the acts and practices of OneSource, including the 23 various acts and practices set forth herein.

COMMERCE

2

8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants
have maintained a substantial course of trade in the offering for
sale and sale of laundry aid products, in or affecting commerce, as

28

24

"commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44. 1 2 DEFENDANTS' COURSE OF CONDUCT 3 Since at least January 1, 1997, Defendants have maintained 9. a substantial course of trade promoting, offering for sale, and 4 selling a product called "The Earthsmart Laundry CD" ("Laundry CD") 5 (a sealed plastic disc filled with blue liquid). 6 7 10. Fobair founded OneSource to market the Laundry CD and 8 other products by means of a multilevel marketing system utilizing 9 distributors. Fobair developed OneSource's marketing and promotional materials and has sold the Laundry CD to purchasers. 10 11 Defendants developed and disseminated promotional 11. 12 materials regarding the characteristics, ingredients, uses, and benefits of the Laundry CD. Defendants' advertisements and 13 promotional materials include but are not necessarily limited to 14 15 the attached Exhibits "A" through "C." Among the statements made in these advertisements and materials are the following: 16 "It replaces laundry detergent with quantum physics 17 Α. and a structured water technology." (Exhibit "A"). 18 19 "What kind of testing has been done on this product? Β. 20 It has been tested in independent laboratories using ASTM testing protocols for its ability to remove common stains, as well as its 21 22 ability to work on standard ASTM stained samples. ASTM stands for 23 the American Society for Testing Materials. ... Structured Water, 24 with the qualities of detergents, causes regular water to behave as if it were in the presence of detergents." (Exhibit "B"). 25 "Introducing Structured Water Technology[™] The Future 26 C. 27 Way to Clean ... Earth Smart Laundry CD[™] ... Alternative to 28 3

1 detergents and fabric softeners ... Designed to last up to 2,500
2 loads." (Exhibit "C").

3 Consumer endorser: "When I was introduced to the D. Laundry CD, I just wanted to see it work. I am a single mother 4 with two small children, ages 5 & 8. They bring home all kinds of 5 dirt and grim, so I was ready to test the products. When I used б the Laundry CD, the clothes came out fluffy soft and I was 7 8 searching for any spots, but there were none. I'm now saving on 9 three products that I never have to buy again: fabric softener, static sheets and detergents. Plus, the environmental benefits 10 11 make me feel good about using it. Lynda Howard, Carlsbad, CA" 12 (Exhibit "C").

E. "And, the Laundry CD[™] container made of high density
polyethylene is completely recyclable." (Exhibit "C").

"Chlorine is a chemical that is frequently added to 15 F. rid water of excess phosphates and other chemicals found in 16 detergents. But that doesn't mean chlorine is good for you. 17 Chlorinated water contains carcinogens, which, when inside cells, 18 19 may cause mutations of DNA. ... When you use the Laundry CD^{tm} ... 20 you are eliminating the phosphates from your wastewater. A significant decrease in the phosphate content of our rivers and 21 lakes will decrease the need for chlorine to make them suitable for 22 23 drinking. Not only will our clothes and dishes benefit from softer 24 water, but our bodies will, too. ... [C]hlorine bleach is a major enemy to the environment. Chlorine destroys the ozone layer and is 25 26 a known carcinogen." (Exhibit "C").

27 28 G. "With so many problems today, every real solution

1	help	s. T	he Ea	arth	Smart	pro	oducts	from	OneSoui	rce	Worldwide	Netwo	ork tm ,
2											pollution		
3				-							-		
4													
5													
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													
21													
22													
23													
24													
25													
26													
27													
28								5					

1 conventional laundry detergents.

B. The Laundry CD does not clean laundry by changing themolecular structure of water.

C. While the Laundry CDs are capable of being recycled, the vast majority of consumers cannot recycle them because there are only a few collection facilities nationwide that will accept the Laundry CDs for recycling.

8 15. Through the means described in Paragraph 11, Defendants9 have represented, expressly or by implication, that:

10 A. Scientific tests prove that the Laundry CD cleans11 laundry as well as conventional laundry detergents.

B. Testimonials from consumers appearing in
advertisements for the Laundry CD reflect the typical or ordinary
experience of members of the public who use the product.

15

16. In truth and in fact:

A. Scientific tests do not prove that the Laundry CDcleans laundry as well as conventional laundry detergents.

B. Testimonials from consumers appearing in
advertisements for the Laundry CD do not reflect the typical or
ordinary experience of members of the public who use the product.

21 17. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in 22 Paragraphs 13 and 15 are false or misleading and constitute unfair 23 or deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the 24 FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

25 COUNT TWO: FAILURE TO POSSESS A REASONABLE BASIS
26 18. Through the means described in Paragraph 11, Defendants
27 have represented, expressly or by implication, that:

A. The Laundry CD softens fabrics as well as
 conventional fabric softeners.

B. Using the Laundry CD will make rivers, streams, and
oceans safer and cleaner by reducing the use of detergents and
soaps.

6 19. Through the means described in Paragraph 11, Defendants 7 have represented, expressly or by implication, that they possessed 8 and relied upon a reasonable basis that substantiated the 9 representations set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 18, at the time the 10 representations were made.

11 20. In truth and in fact, Defendants did not possess and rely 12 upon a reasonable basis that substantiated the representations set forth in Paragraph 13 and 18, at the time the representations were 13 Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 19 was, 14 made. 15 and is, false or misleading and constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 16 U.S.C. § 45(a). 17

CONSUMER INJURY

19 21. Consumers have suffered substantial monetary loss as a 20 result of Defendants' unlawful acts or practices. Absent 21 injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue 22 to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

23

18

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

24 22. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers 25 this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, 26 including consumer redress, disgorgement and restitution, to 27 prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced

1 by the Federal Trade Commission.

2 23. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable
3 jurisdiction, may award other ancillary relief to remedy injury
4 caused by the Defendants' law violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court, as authorized
7 by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and pursuant to
8 its own equitable powers:

9 1. Permanently enjoin the Defendants from violating Section
10 5 of the FTC Act, as alleged herein, in connection with the
11 offering for sale and promotion of laundry or cleaning products;

12 2. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress 13 injury to consumers resulting from the Defendants' violations of 14 the FTC Act, including but not limited to, rescission of contracts, 15 the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten 16 monies; and

Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as 17 3. well as such other and additional relief as the Court may 18 19 /// 20 /// 21 111 22 /// 23 111 24

26 determine to be just and proper.

27

25

5

1		
1	DATED:	Respectfully submitted
2		
3		DEBRA A. VALENTINE
4		General Counsel
5		
6		ELAINE D. KOLISH
7		Associate Director Division of Enforcement
8		Federal Trade Commission
9		MARY K. ENGLE
10		Assistant Director Division of Enforcement
11		Federal Trade Commission
12		CONSTANCE M. VECELLIO PABLO M. ZYLBERGLAIT
13		Trial Counsel Division of Enforcement
14		Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580
15		202-326-2966 or 202-326-3260
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		9