


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.)
a foreign corporation,)
CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY)
a corporation,)
and)
PITT-DES MOINES, INC.)
a corporation.)

Docket No. 9300



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

unwarranted and recommends that exceptions to the July 8, 2002 cut-off date for discovery be granted, as necessary to complete depositions of witnesses and to complete Respondents' production of documents in response to the June 7 document requests. Otherwise maintaining

the existing trial schedule will motivate Complainant General and Respondents' Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Complaint Counsel's third party witnesses. There are currently 23 witnesses on Complaint Counsel's Revised Witness List.² Respondents have been aware of the identity of thirteen of these individuals since March 14, 2002, *more than three months ago*, when Complaint Counsel turned over affidavits in its possession to Respondents. Respondents became aware of the

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

its Initial Witness List to Respondents. Complaint Counsel listed only three additional

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Respondents cite to Complaint Counsel's June 7, 2002, discovery requests as an additional reason justifying its request for a 60-day of extension of time (Respondents' Motion at


Additionally, LNG tanks, LPG tanks, and LIN/LOX tanks, which store gases in liquid form at very cold temperatures, share many characteristics and are collectively referred to within the industry as “low temperature and cryogenic tanks.”

Conclusion

Complaint Counsel respectfully requests that this Court deny Respondents’ motion for a sixty-day extension of time, or in the alternative, if the Court grants Respondent’s motion, adopt Complaint Counsel’s Second Revised Scheduling Order.

Dated: Washington, D.C.
June 18, 2002

Respectfully submitted,



Steven L. Wilensky
Federal Trade Commission
601 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington D.C. 20580
(202) 326-2650

Complaint Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I caused a copy of Complaint Counsel's Reply to Respondents' Motion for a Sixty-Day Extension of Time to be delivered by hand to

The Honorable James P. Timony
Federal Trade Commission
H-104
6th and Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington D.C. 20580

Administrative Law Judge

and by facsimile and by first-class mail to:

Jeffrey A. Leon
Duane M. Kelley
Winston & Strawn
315 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601-9703
(312) 558-5600

Counsel for Respondents Chicago Bridge & Iron Company
N.V. and Pitt-Des Moines, Inc.

Dated: June 18, 2002

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of)

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.)

a foreign corporation,)

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY)

a corporation,)

and)

PITT-DES MOINES, INC.)

a corporation.)



Docket No. 9300

ORDER

On June 14, 2007, Respondents filed a Motion for Summary Judgment in Case No. 07-0100, et al.

ORDERED

James P. Timony
Administrative Law Judge



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

under Rule 3.24(a)(4), depositions of experts, and

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[August 30, 2002]

- Complaint Counsel to identify rebuttal expert(s) and provide rebuttal expert report(s). Any such reports are

scope of fair rebuttal is presented, Respondent will

