

PAUL M. WARNER
United States Attorney
District of Nevada

Bar No.
Assistant United States Attorney
185 South State St., Suite 400
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Ph:
Fax:

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR.
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division

ALAN PHELPS
Attorney for the United States of America
Office of Consumer Litigation
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 386
Washington, DC 20044
Ph: (202) 307-6154
Fax: (202) 514-8742

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF UTAH, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
PLAINTIFF,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No.:
)	
TURNKEY VENDING, INC., a Utah)	COMPLAINT FOR
)	CIVIL
corporation, and)	PENALTIES, CONSUMER
MICHAEL S. BURNETT, individually and)	REDRESS, PERMANENT
as an officer of the corporation,)	INJUNCTION AND
OTHER)	
)	EQUITABLE RELIEF
DEFENDANTS.)	

_____)

Plaintiff, the United States of America, acting upon notification and authorization to the Attorney General by the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "the Commission"), pursuant to Section 16(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 56(a)(1), for its complaint alleges:

1. Plaintiff brings this action under Sections 5(a), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b), 16(a) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), 56(a) and 57b, to secure civil penalties, consumer redress, a permanent injunction and other equitable relief for defendants' violations of the FTC's Trade Regulation Rule entitled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures" (the "Franchise Rule" or the "Rule"), 16 C.F.R. Part 436, and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and 1355, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), 56(a) and 57b. This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

3. Venue in the United States District Court for the District of Utah is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1395(a), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

4. Defendant Turnkey Vending, Inc. ("Turnkey"), a Utah corporation with its principal place of business at 347 North 300 West, Suite 201, Kaysville, Utah 84037 promotes and sells tabletop vending quarter skill game business ventures. Turnkey transacts or has transacted business in the District of Utah.

5. Defendant Michael S. Burnett is the president of Turnkey. In connection with the matters alleged herein, he resides or has transacted business in the District of Utah. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint.

COMMERCE

6. At all times relevant to this complaint, the defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in the offering for sale and sale of tabletop vending game business ventures, in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

7. The defendants offer and sell tabletop quarter vending skill game business ventures to prospective purchasers. The defendants promote their business ventures through "Small Business Opportunities" magazine and their website, www.turnkeyvending.com. Through their website, defendants make representations about the earnings potential of their business venture, and urge consumers to call defendants' toll-free telephone number to learn more about the opportunity.

8. Consumers who call the defendants' toll-free telephone number are ultimately connected to defendants, or their employees or agents, who make representations about the earnings potential of the business venture and the actual earnings of prior purchasers. For example, the defendants or their employees or agents have represented that each machine should generate about \$2 a day and a 48 machine package will generate approximately \$4,320 in profits per month.

9. Defendants failed to provide prospective business venture purchasers with an earnings claim document containing information substantiating their earnings claims, failed to have a reasonable basis for the earnings claims at the time that they were made, and/or failed to disclose that materials,

which constitute a reasonable basis for the claims, are available.

10. Defendants do not provide potential purchasers with a basic disclosure document.

THE FRANCHISE RULE

11. The business ventures sold by the defendants are franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Sections 436.2(a)(1)(ii), (a)(2), and (a)(5) of the Franchise Rule, 16 C.F.R. §§ 436.2(a)(1)(ii), (a)(2), and (a)(5).

12. The Franchise Rule requires a franchisor to provide prospective franchisees with a complete and accurate basic disclosure document containing twenty categories of information, including information about the litigation and bankruptcy history of the franchisor and its principals, the terms and conditions under which the franchise operates, and information identifying existing franchisees. 16 C.F.R. §§ 436.1(a)(1) - (a)(20). The pre-sale disclosure of this information required by the Rule enables a prospective franchisee to contact prior purchasers and take other steps to assess the potential risks involved in the purchase of the franchise.

13. The Franchise Rule additionally requires that a franchisor:

16. In connection with the offering of franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Section 436.2(a) of the Franchise Rule, the defendants have violated Section 436.1(a) of the Rule and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act by failing to provide prospective franchisees with accurate and complete basic disclosure documents as prescribed by the Rule.

COUNT II

Earnings Disclosure Violations

17. Paragraphs 1 through 14 are incorporated herein by reference.

18. In connection with the offering of franchises, as "franchise" is defined in Section 436.2(a) of the Franchise Rule, the defendants have violated Sections 436.1(b)-(c) of the Rule and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act by making earnings claims to prospective franchisees while, *inter alia*,: (1) lacking a

CONSUMER INJURY

20. Consumers in the United States have suffered and will suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

21. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, including consumer redress, disgorgement and restitution, to prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced by the Federal Trade Commission.

22. Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, § 45(m)(1)(A), as modified by Section 4 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, as amended, and as implemented by 16 C.F.R. § 1.98(d) (1997), authorizes this Court to award civil penalties of not more than \$11,000 for each violation of the Franchise Rule occurring after November 20, 1996. The defendants' violations of the Rule were committed after that date and with the knowledge required by Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A).

23. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, authorizes this Court to grant such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers or other persons resulting from defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule, including the rescission and reformation of contracts, and the refund of money.

24. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief to remedy injury caused by the defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests that this Court, as authorized by Sections 5(a), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b) and 57b, and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

1. Enter judgment against the defendants and in favor of the plaintiff for each violation alleged in this complaint;
2. Permanently enjoin the defendants from violating the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act;
3. Award plaintiff monetary civil penalties from each defendant for every violation of the Franchise Rule;

4. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from the defendants' violations of the Franchise Rule and the FTC Act, including but

ALAN PHELPS
Trial Attorney
Office of Consumer Litigation
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 386
Washington, D.C. 20044
Ph: (202) 307-6154
Fax: (202) 514-8742