

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION



In the Matter of)
)
)
RAMBUS INCORPORATED,)
)
)
a corporation,)
_____)

Docket No. 9302

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I.

On July 5, 2002, Respondent Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") filed a motion to stay, or in the alternative, for an extension of time for filing its answer. Respondent represented that Complaint Counsel authorized Respondent to state that Complaint Counsel consents to a 14 day extension of time for Rambus to file its answer. Complaint Counsel filed its opposition to Rambus' motion to stay on July 15, 2002.

By Order dated July 9, 2002, Rambus' motion for extension of time for filing its answer was granted. By Order dated July 17, 2002, Rambus' motion for leave to file a reply brief in

[REDACTED]

Device Engineering Council, violated JEDEC's disclosure rules and constituted fraud. Rambus also states that Micron Technology, Inc. ("Micron") and Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. ("Hynix") each sued Rambus in federal district courts of Delaware and California, respectively, seeking declaratory judgment that their manufacture and sale of SDRAM products compliant with JEDEC standards do not infringe Rambus' patents and accusing Rambus of antitrust violations and unfair competition. The *Micron* and *Hynix* courts each stayed at least part of those proceedings. Rambus argues that the *Infineon* litigation involves similar factual and legal issues to those raised in this litigation and that granting a stay of this litigation pending the Federal

this case to be better focused, facilitate consistency with the Federal Circuit's decision, and allow discovery in this case to be conducted more efficiently.

Rambus' stay request is based on the fact that Rambus is involved in private litigation with various third parties involving some of the same underlying events and conduct. Rambus asserts that the Federal Circuit will likely render its decision in the *Infineon* case within the next few months. Although briefing has been completed and oral arguments presented in the *Infineon* case, there is no certainty that the Federal Circuit will issue its decision in the next few months.

Approved: _____

