

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

_____)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
RAMBUS INC.,)	Docket No. 9302
)	
a corporation)	
_____)	

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC'S
REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION
TO MOTION BY MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. FOR
IN CAMERA TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS

was onny of (which ing i 1 OF AMERICA-36 -13.-0.002 no objection to in caly reent of a,amed__) Tj 201
 Micron Technology, Inc ("Micron"), has previously requested that this court grant
 in camera treatment pursuant to 16 CFR 3.45(b) for a number of documents. See Micron
 Technology Inc.'s Motion for In Camera Treatment ("Micron's Motion"). Complaint
 Counsel have raised no objection to in camera treatment of any of the documents for
 which Micron has requested such treatment. Respondent Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") has
 eaifi ent of an, MR Tmen7 Tc 014OF AMERICA-322.56 -13.-0.002- TD -0.036 Tc ()) Tj 9,23Rambu418

document contains confidential information from Intel and information communicated in confidence by an identified Intel employee.

As stated in Micron's Motion, public disclosure of this information would cause Micron serious competitive injury for two reasons. First, it is important for Micron to be able to communicate with its customers in trust and confidence as part of a collaborative relationship between them. Intel and other customers would be reluctant

