

PUBLIC

Regardless of the Court's

The fact that certain questions were asked about this prior ITC deposition at various times during the four days of deposition testimony that Mr. Karp gave in the private actions and in this case does not render that prior deposition admissible. Indeed, Complaint Counsel's argument that Rambus did not object to the use of the ITC deposition at those other depositions is based on a faulty the priv9T 299.a-osition

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of

RAMBUS INC.,

a corporation.

Docket No. 9302

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James M. Berry, hereby certify that on