


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of)
)

RAMBUS INCORPORATED,)
)

Respondent.)
)

Docket No. 9302

ORDER DENYING HYNIX'S MOTION TO AMEND PROTECTIVE ORDER

I.

On August 1, 2003, third parties Hynix Semiconductor, Inc., Hynix Semiconductor

Amplifier, Inc., Hynix Semiconductor, UK Ltd., and Hynix Semiconductor Denmark A/S

[REDACTED]

seeks would enable Complaint Counsel or Respondent, if served a discovery request in other litigation, to disclose third party *in camera* material, upon notification to the third party.

III.

The Protective Order which Hynix seeks to amend does not govern *in camera* material. Rather, the protection accorded to testimony taken *in camera* is set forth in the Commission's *Rules of Practice*, § 3.45, 16 C.F.R. § 3.45, and the *in camera* Orders entered by this Court in

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

advance of the taking of such testimony. Under Rule 3.45(a), only the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission, and reviewing courts may disclose *in camera* material, and, only to the extent necessary for the disposition of the proceeding. 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(a). Rule 3.45(c),

Release of in camera material sets forth: "In camera material is defined as testimony taken in camera, and any other material that is confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected by a protective order or other legal process."

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]