

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Public Version

In the Matter of

RAMBUS INCORPORATED,

a corporation.

Docket No. 9302

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S REVISED REPLY FINDINGS
NUMBERED 109, 413, 676, 677, & 700

Of Counsel:

Malcolm L. Catt
Robert P. Davis
Michael A. Franchak
Theodore A. Gebhard
Charlotte Manning
Suzanne T. Michel
Sarah E. Schroeder
Jerome A. Swindell
John C. Weber
Cary E. Zuk

M. Sean Royall
Geoffrey D. Oliver
Patrick J. Roach

BUREAU OF COMPETITION
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 326-2275
(202) 326-3496 (facsimile)

COUNSEL SUPPORTING THE COMPLAINT

Dated: October 3, 2003

109. Rambus joined JEDEC at the beginning of 1992, and it attended its last JEDEC meeting in December 1995. (CX 601 at 1; Crisp 8/10/01 Micron Depo. Tr., 853:18-854:1). Rambus did not pay its 1996 membership dues and formally notified JEDEC in June 1996 that it had withdrawn from the organization. (CX 887 at 1).

Response to Finding No. 109.:

described.Tr. 1650-51)d..

asserted. *See* CCRF 676.

700. In its analyses of Rambus's patents, Mitsubishi focused on some of the four features at issue here. For example, as noted above, a Mitsubishi analysis of the claims of the PCT application specifically calls out the "modifiable access time register" and note its similarity to "SDRAM latency control." (RX 2213A at 27).

Response to Finding No. 700: This proposed finding is without any credible record support, for the reasons set forth at CCRF 676-77.