

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

_____	)	
In the Matter of	)	<b>PUBLIC</b>
	)	
ASPEN TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	Docket No. 9310
_____	)	

**COMPLAINT COUNSEL’S STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO  
NON-PARTY HTRI’S MOTION FOR *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT  
OF DOCUMENTS DESIGNATED AS TRIAL EXHIBITS**

Complaint Counsel have reviewed the *in camera* motion filed by non-party HTRI.

Complaint Counsel do not oppose *in camera* treatment of documents or portions of documents for which HTRI seeks *in camera* status.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Richman  
Lesli C. Esposito  
Mary N. Lehner  
Vadim M. Brusser

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Bureau of Competition  
Federal Trade Commission  
Washington, D.C.

Dated: May 27, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Vadim M. Brusser, hereby certify that I caused copies of Complaint Counsel's Statement Of Non-Opposition To Non-Party HTRI's Motion For *In Camera* Treatment Of Documents Designated As Trial Exhibits to be delivered this day:

Two copies by hand delivery:

Hon. Stephen J. McGuire  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Federal Trade Commission  
Room H-112  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20580

By electronic mail and by hand delivery:

Donald S. Clark, Secretary  
Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-159  
Washington, DC 20580

By electronic mail and by first class mail to:

Mark W. Nelson  
George S. Cary  
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton  
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
[mnelson@cgsh.com](mailto:mnelson@cgsh.com)  
[gcary@cgsh.com](mailto:gcary@cgsh.com)

/s/

---

Vadim M. Brusser  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission

Dated: May 27, 2004