




Response:

Respondents incorporate by reference each General Objection as set forth here in full. Respondents further object to this interrogatory on the following grounds: (a) it is vague and ambiguous; (b) it is overly broad and unduly burdensome; (c) it exceeds the allotted number of

yield information relevant to the allegations of the Complaint, to the proposed relief, or to the








M


Mitchell K. Friedlander

Response:

In addition to the general objections set forth above, Respondents object to this request to








Request for Production No. 74; (Complaint Counsel's No. 8)

Request for Production No. 77: (Complaint Counsel's No. 10)

All documents, communications, and tangible things given to, or generated by, any expert

in connection with his services in this action, including but not limited to any documents,



Department of Justice, No. 95 (General Counsel's No. 12)

Request for Production No. 87: (Complaint Counsel's No. 12)

