DOCKET NO. 9318





Complaint Counsel's objections to Specifications $13-19^7$ are similarly based on their misapprehension as to the scope of discovery because Complaint Counsel fails to appreciate that in judging the challenged products and advertisements, the FTC is invoking a generalized standard that it believes and must prove exists. As discussed

Establish

have

witnesses with evidence of studies they themselves have designed and claimed to be adequate that failed to meet the standard they now propose. These Specifications elicit that information.

More specifically. Specifications 16-19 directly relate to another defense theory.

DOCKET NO. 9318

Ale A

/hu \sim

Mitchell K. Friedlander c/o Compliance Department 5742 West Harold Getty Drive

Alam.

DATED this 2^{nl} day of <u>December</u>, 2004.

BURBIDGE & MITCHELL

CERTIFICATION FOR ELECTRONIC FILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the electronic version of the foregoing is a true and correct compositional decomposition float this correct decost Decomber 2, 2004 is a second second second second