of its Rules, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in § 2.34 of its Rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings and enters the following order:

- 1. Respondent Hi-Health Supermart Corporation ("Hi-Health") is an Arizona corporation with its principal office or place of business at 7428 East Karen Drive, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260.
- 2. Respondent Simon D. Chalpin is president and chief executive officer of Hi-Health. Individually, or in concert with others, he formulates, directs, controls, or participates in the policies, acts, or practices of Hi-Health, including the acts and practices alleged in this complaint. His principal office or place of business is the same as that of the corporation.
- 3. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

DEFINITIONS

For purposes of this Order, the following definitions shall apply:

1. Unless otherwise specified, "respondents" shall mean Hi-Health Supermart Corporation, a corporation, its successors and assigns and its

- 7. "Substantially similar product" shall mean any product that is (1) substantially similar in ingredients to "Premier Formula for Ocular Nutrition-Optim 3" and (2) promoted for the treatment of eye diseases and conditions, including age-related macular degeneration, cataract, or floaters.
- 8. The term "including" in this Order shall mean "without limitation."
- 9. The terms "and" and "or" in this Order shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively as necessary, to make the applicable phrase or sentence inclusive rather than exclusive.

I.

IT IS ORDERED that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of "Premier Formula for Ocular Nutrition-Optim 3" or any substantially similar product, in or affecting commerce, shall not represent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, including through the use of endorsements, that such product:

- A. Restores vision lost from macular degeneration; or
- B. Eliminates floaters,

unless, at the time it is made, respondents possess and rely upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates the representation.

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, or sale, of any covered product or service, in or affecting commerce, shall not make any representation, in any manner, expressly or by implication, including through the use of endorsements, about the benefits, performance, efficacy, or safety of any such product or service, unless, at the time it is made, respondents possess and rely upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates the representation.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, in connection with the advertising, promotion, offering for sale, or sale, of any covered product or service, in or affecting commerce, shall not misrepresent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, the existence, contents, validity, results, conclusions, or interpretations of any test or study.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- A. Nothing in this order shall prohibit respondents from making any representation for any drug that is permitted in labeling for such drug under any tentative final or final standard promulgated by the Food and Drug Administration, or under any new drug application approved by the Food and Drug Administration;
- B. Nothing in this order shall prohibit respondents from making any representation for any product that is specifically permitted in labeling for such product by regulations promulgated by the Food and Drug Administration pursuant to the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990; and
- C. Nothing in this order shall prohibit respondents from making any representation for any device that is permitted in labeling for such device under any new medical device application approved by the Food and Drug Administration.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall pay to the Federal Trade Commission the sum of **four hundred fifty thousand dollars** (\$450,000). This payment shall be made in the following manner:

- A. The payment shall be made by wire transfer or certified or cashier's check made payable to the Federal Trade Commission, the payment to be made no later than ten (10) days after the date that this order becomes final.
- B. In the event of any default in payment, which default continues for ten (10) days beyond the due date of payment, the amount due, together with interest, as computed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 from the date of default to the date of payment, shall immediately become due and payable to the Commission.
- C. The funds paid by respondents, together with any accrued interest, shall, in the discretion of the Commission, be used by the Commission to provide direct redress to purchasers of Premier Formula for Ocular Nutrition in connection with the acts and practices alleged in the complaint, and to pay any attendant costs of administration. If the Commission determines, in its sole discretion, that redress to purchasers of this product is wholly or partially impracticable or is otherwise unwarranted, any funds not so used shall be paid to the United States Treasury. Respondents shall be notified as to how the funds are distributed, but shall have no right to contest the manner of distribution chosen by the Commission. No portion of the payment as herein provided shall be deemed a payment of any fine, penalty or punitive assessment.

D. Respondents relinquish all dominion, control, and title to the funds paid, and all legal and equitable title to the funds vests in the Treasurer of the United States and in the designated consumers. Respondents shall make no claim to or demand for return of funds, directly or indirectly, through counsel or otherwise; and in the event of bankruptcy of any respondent, respondents acknowledge that the funds are not part of the debtor's estate, nor does the estate have any claim or interest therein.

VI.ectl

change in the corporate name or address. <u>Provided, however</u>, that, with respect to any proposed change in the corporations about which respondents learn less than thirty (30) days prior to the

<u>Provided, further</u>, that if such complaint is dismissed or a federal court rules that the respondent did not violate any provision of the order, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then the order will terminate according to this Part as though the complaint had never been filed, except that the order will not terminate between the date such complaint is filed and the later of the deadline for appealing such dismissal or ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

By the Commission.

C. Landis Plummer Acting Secretary

ISSUED: May 12, 2005

SEAL