## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Deborah Platt Majoras, Chairman

Orson Swindle Thomas B. Leary To obtain authorization, respondent collects information from the customer, including customer name, card number and expiration date, and certain other information (collectively, "personal information").

- 5. For a purchase at a store, respondent typically collects the information from the magnetic stripe of the credit or debit card and compiles it into an authorization request on the computer network located in the store ("in-store computer network"). Respondent then transmits the information from the in-store computer network to its central datacenter and from there through outside computer networks to the issuing bank. Respondent receives the issuing bank's response through the same computer networks used to make the request.
- 6. Respondent also uses its in-store computer networks to manage inventory. Using wireless inventory scanners ("scanners"), respondent collects inventory information at its stores. Respondent operates wireless access points on its in-store computer networks through which scanners connect and transmit inventory information to in-store computer networks.
- 7. From at least November 1, 2003, until February, 2004, respondent did not employ reasonable and appropriate measures to secure personal information collected at its stores. Among other things, respondent (1) did not encrypt the information while in transit or when stored on the in-store computer networks; (2) stored the information in files that could be accessed anonymously -- that is, using a commonly known default user id and password; (3) did not use readily available security measures to limit access to its computer networks through wireless access points on the networks; (4) failed to employ sufficient measures to detect unauthorized access or conduct security investigations; and (5) created unnecessary risks to the information by storing it for up to 30 days when it no longer had a business need to keep the information, and in violation of bank rules. As a result, a hacker could have used the wireless access points on an in-store computer network to connect to the network and, without authorization, access personal information on the network.
- 8. Beginning in late 2003 and early 2004, banks began discovering fraudulent purchases that were made using counterfeit copies of credit and debit cards the banks had issued to customers. The customers had used their cards at respondent's stores before the fraudulent purchases were made, and personal information respondent obtained from their cards was stored on respondent's computer networks. This same information was contained on counterfeit copies of cards that were used to make several million dollars in fraudulent purchases. In response, banks and their customers cancelled and re-issued thousands of credit and debit cards that had been used at respondent's stores, and customers holding these cards were unable to use their cards to access credit and their own bank accounts.

- 9. As described in Paragraphs 7 and 8 above, respondent's failure to employ reasonable and appropriate security measures to protect personal information and files caused or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers that is not offset by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition and is not reasonably avoidable by consumers. This practice was an unfair act or practice.
- 10. The acts and practices of respondent as alleged in this complaint constitute unfair acts or practices in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

THEREFORE, the Federal Trade Commission this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2005, has issued this complaint against respondent.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secretary