

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

COMMISSIONERS: Deborah Platt Majoras, Chairman
Orson Swindle
Thomas B. Leary
Pamela Jones Harbour
Jon Lebowitz

In the Matter of

RAMBUS INC.,

a corporation.

Docket No. 9302

**RESPONDENT RAMBUS INC.'S SCHEDULE OF
PROPOSED EXHIBITS PURSUANT TO MAY 13, 2005 ORDER**

Pursuant to the Order issued on May 13, 2005 granting (in part) Complaint Counsel's motion to reopen the record, Respondent Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") respectfully submits the attached Schedule of Proposed Additional Trial Exhibits. Copies of the exhibits identified in the Schedule have been lodged separately.

Rambus has not designated any portion of the transcripts of the live testimony presented at trial, as Complaint Counsel stated that they intended to offer the transcripts in their entirety. Rambus reserves its right to submit objections to inadmissible portions pursuant to the Commission's scheduling order.

DATED: June __, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory P. Stone
Steven M. Perry
Peter A. Detre
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor

RESPONDENT RAMBUS

TRIAL EX.	SUMMARY DESCRIPTION
RX-2513 DTX 3696)	Joel Karp's "IP Q3'98 Goals (First Cut)."
RX-2514 (DTX 3713)	Joel Karp's "IP Q2'99 Goals - Final 3/29/99."

TRIAL EX.	SUMMARY DESCRIPTION
(RX-2545)	Paper copy of Leal 10/13/04 deposition excerpts contained in RX 2544.
(RX-2546) (PTX 9522)	Video excerpts from 5/18/04 deposition of Rambus HR manager Melinda Kaufman.
(RX-2547)	Paper copy of Kaufman 5/18/04 deposition excerpts contained in RX 2546.
(RX-2548) (PTX 9523)	Video excerpts from 5/18/04 deposition of former Rambus HR manager Ed Larsen.
(RX-2549)	Paper copy of Larsen 5/18/04 deposition excerpts contained in RX 2548.
(RX-2550) (PTX 9524)	Video excerpts from 5/28/04 deposition of Rambus Vice President Laura Stark.
(RX-2551)	Paper copy of Stark 5/28/04 deposition excerpts contained in RX 2550.
(RX-2552)	Demonstratives used by Rambus at trial. ¹
(RX-2553)	Rambus's [Proposed] Findings of Fact with Respect to Infineon's Unclean Hands Defense. ¹

¹ Rambus does not believe that demonstratives and arguments of counsel are properly considered to be evidence, and submits its demonstratives and proposed findings only because Complaint Counsel stated their intention to offer the demonstratives and other materials prepared by Infineon's counsel. Rambus reserves its right to object to such materials in accordance with the Commission's scheduling orders.