

In the Matter of

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.
a foreign corporation,

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY,
a corporation, and

PITT-DES MOINES, INC.,
a corporation.

Docket No. 9300

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART RESPONDENTS' MOTION
FOR *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT OF MATERIAL PREVIOUSLY
DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL**

Respondents Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. and Chicago Bridge & Iron Company ("CB&I" or "the Respondents") have filed a Motion for *In Camera* Treatment of Material Previously Designated as Confidential in Respondents' Further Briefing on Specific Remedy Issues ("the Motion"). CB&I seeks *in camera* treatment for discussions on pages 8-11 of Respondents' Further Briefing on Specific Remedy Issues ("Respondents' Brief") that were redacted in the public record version of Respondents' Brief. CB&I seeks *in camera* treatment of these materials for a period of five years. Complaint Counsel does not oppose Respondents' motion.

The Commission finds that CB&I has satisfied the standard set forth in Commission Rule 3.45(b) and shown that the disclosure of the information for which it seeks *in camera* treatment would likely result in "clearly defined, serious injury." 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b). *See H.P. Hood & Sons, Inc.*, 58 F.T.C. 1184, 1188 (1961); *Bristol-Myers Co.*, 90 F.T.C. 455, 456 (1977); *General*

Foods Corp., 95 F.T.C. 352, 355 (1980). The Commission, however, is not persuaded that *in camera* treatment should be granted for the five-year period requested by CB&I. The information for which such treatment is being granted is temporal in nature, and its competitive sensitivity is likely to diminish over time. Accordingly, the Commission believes that a two-year period is appropriate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that those portions of pages 8-11 that were redacted in the public record in Respondents' Brief shall be afforded