

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 05 C 5442
)	
CENTURION FINANCIAL BENEFITS LLC,)	
a Delaware limited liability corporation;)	
1466826 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a ESI Employment)	
Solutions Inc.;)	
1571816 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a RNR Holdings)	
and Vu Com Communications;)	
1629936 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a Centurion Financial)	Judge John H. Nordberg
Benefits;)	
1636286 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a Spectra Financial)	Magistrate Judge Morton Denlow
Benefits;)	
1644738 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a Integra Financial)	
Benefits;)	
1648534 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a Sureway Financial)	
Benefits;)	
1652242 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a Oxford Financial)	
Alliance and Oxford Financial Benefits;)	
1656324 ONTARIO LTD., also d/b/a Simple Choice)	
Financial Benefits;)	
6347738 CANADA INC., also d/b/a ESI Contact Inc.;)	
AMERICAN GETAWAY VACATIONS INC., also d/b/a)	
Integra Financial Benefits;)	FIRST AMENDED
CREDENCE TRAVEL PROCESSING INC., also d/b/a)	COMPLAINT FOR
Integra Financial Benefits;)	INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER
TOPSTAR MEDIA INC., also d/b/a Integra Financial)	EQUITABLE RELIEF
Benefits;)	
ROBERT J. HOUTTUIN, individually and as an officer)	
and director of corporate defendant 1571816)	
Ontario Ltd.;)	
FRANK BELLISSIMO, individually and as an officer)	
and director of corporate defendants 1466826)	
Ontario Ltd. and 6347738 Canada Inc.;)	
CATREENA ALEXANDRA MARCHEWKA,)	
individually and as an officer and director)	
of corporate defendants 1466826 Ontario Ltd.)	
and 6347738 Canada Inc.;)	

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, and 6105(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.

2. Venue in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois is proper under 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 6105(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c), and (d).

PLAINTIFF

3. Plaintiff, the FTC, is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58, as amended. The Commission is charged, *inter alia*, with enforcement of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission also enforces the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive or abusive telemarketing acts or practices. The Commission is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including restitution for injured consumers. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 57b, and 6105(b).

DEFENDANTS

Toronto Common Enterprise

4. Defendant Centurion Financial Benefits LLC, is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 925 Yonge Street, Suite 326, Toronto, Ontario M4W 2H2. Centurion Financial Benefits LLC transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

5. Defendant 1466826 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a ESI Employment Solutions Inc., is an

Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 703 Evans Avenue, Suite 602, Toronto, Ontario M9C 5E9. 1466826 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

6. Defendant 1571816 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a RNR Holdings and Vu Com Communications, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 5353 Dundas Street West, Suite 502, Toronto, Ontario M9B 6M8. 1571816 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

7. Defendant 1629936 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a Centurion Financial Benefits, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 925 Yonge Street, Suite 326, Toronto, Ontario M4W 2H2. 1629936 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

8. Defendant 1636286 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a Spectra Financial Benefits, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 595B Yonge Street, Suite 222, Toronto, Ontario M4Y 1Z4. 1636286 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

9. Defendant 1644738 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a Integra Financial Benefits, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 925 Yonge Street, Suite 121, Toronto, Ontario M4W 2H2. 1644738 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

10. Defendant 1648534 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a Sureway Financial Benefits, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 3044 Bloor Street West,

Suite 962, Toronto, Ontario M8X 2Y8. 1648534 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

11. Defendant 1652242 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a Oxford Financial Alliance and Oxford Financial Benefits, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 4243 Dundas Street West, Suite 112, Toronto, Ontario M8X 1Y3. 1652242 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

12. Defendant 1656324 Ontario Ltd., also d/b/a Simple Choice Financial Benefits, is an Ontario limited liability company with its principal place of business at 250 The East Mall #1233, Toronto, Ontario M9B 6L3. 1656324 Ontario Ltd. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

13. Defendant 6347738 Canada Inc., also d/b/a ESI Contact Inc., is a Canadian company with its principal place of business at 703 Evans Avenue, Suite 602, Toronto, Ontario M9C 5E9. 6347738 Canada Inc. transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

14. Defendant Robert J. Houttuin is an officer and director of corporate defendant 1571816 Ontario Ltd. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of

15. Defendant Frank Bellissimo is an officer and director of corporate defendants 1466826 Ontario Ltd. and 6347738 Canada Inc. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of corporate defendants 1466826 Ontario Ltd. and 6347738 Canada Inc., including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Frank Bellissimo transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

16. Defendant Catreena Alexandra Marchewka is an officer and director of corporate defendants 1466826 Ontario Ltd. and 6347738 Canada Inc. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, she has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of corporate defendants 1466826 Ontario Ltd. and 6347738 Canada Inc., including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Catreena Alexandra Marchewka transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

17. Defendant Sean Somma, a/k/a Sean Soma, is an officer and director of corporate defendants Centurion Financial Benefits LLC, 1629936 Ontario Ltd., and 1636286 Ontario Ltd. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of corporate defendants Centurion Financial Benefits LLC, 1629936 Ontario Ltd., and 1636286 Ontario Ltd., including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Sean Somma transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

18. Defendant Antonio Marchese, a/k/a Tony Marchese, is an officer and director of corporate defendants 1644738 Ontario Ltd., 1648534 Ontario Ltd., and 1652242 Ontario Ltd.

Marchese also does business as Prosperica Financial Benefits. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of corporate defendants 1644738 Ontario Ltd., 1648534 Ontario Ltd., and 1652242 Ontario Ltd., including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Antonio Marchese transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

19. Defendant Sylvain F. Cholette is an officer and director of corporate defendant 1656324 Ontario Ltd. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of corporate defendant 1656324 Ontario Ltd., including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Sylvain F. Cholette transacts or has transacted business in the Northern District of Illinois and throughout the United States.

20. Defendants Robert J. Houttuin, Frank Bellissimo, Catreena Alexandra Marchewka, Sean Somma, Antonio Marchese, Sylvain F. Cholette, Centurion Financial Benefits LLC, 1466826 Ontario Ltd., 1571816 Ontario Ltd., 1629936 Ontario Ltd., 1636286 Ontario Ltd., 1644738 Ontario Ltd., 1648534 Ontario Ltd., 1652242 Ontario Ltd., 1656324 Ontario Ltd., and 6347738 Canada Inc. have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the deceptive acts and practices and other violations of law alleged below. They have shared officers, employees, office locations, have commingled funds, are commonly controlled, and have engaged in a common scheme.

Calgary Common Enterprise

21. Defendant American Getaway Vacations Inc., also d/b/a Integra Financial

Benefits, is an Alberta corporation with its principal place of business at 114 Waterstone Crescent, Airdrie, Alberta T4B 2G7. American Getaway

he has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of corporate defendants American Getaway Vacations, Credence Travel Processing, and Topstar Media, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Dennis Andreopoulos transacts or has transacted business throughout the United States.

26. Defendants Tony Andreopoulos, Dennis Andreopoulos, American Getaway Vacations Inc., Credence Travel Processing Inc., and Topstar Media Inc., have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the deceptive acts and practices and other violations of law alleged below. They have shared officers, employees, office locations, have commingled funds,

29. Defendants typically represent that their credit cards have credit limits of \$2,000, 0% interest rates, and no annual fees. Defendants have targeted consumers with bad credit for their credit card offer.

30. During the telephone calls to consumers, defendants request bank account information, including bank routing information.

31. Defendants routinely debit the bank accounts of consumers who have provided bank account information in advance of providing those consumers with the major credit cards, such as MasterCard and Visa credit cards, promised during the telephone calls.

32. After debiting the advance fee from consumers' bank accounts, defendants do not provide consumers with the promised major credit cards, such as MasterCard and Visa credit cards. Instead of providing consumers with the promised major credit cards, defendants sometimes provide consumers with an application for a "stored value card" or "cash card" that has no line of credit associated with it and can only

issue or market MasterCard or Visa credit cards to the public, or to use MasterCard or Visa trademarks in their promotions.

35. On or after October 17, 2003, defendants have called consumers' telephone numbers that are on the National Do Not Call Registry.

36. On or after October 17, 2003, defendants have called, or have caused telemarketers to call, telephone numbers in various area codes without first paying the annual fee for access to the telephone numbers within such area codes that are included on the National Do Not Call Registry.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT

37. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. Misrepresentations or omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

COUNT I

38. In numerous instances, in connection with the marketing of advance fee credit cards, defendants or their employees or agents have represented, directly or by implication, that after paying defendants a fee, consumers will, or are highly likely to, receive an unsecured major credit card, such as a MasterCard or Visa credit card.

39. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, after paying defendants a fee, consumers do not receive an unsecured major credit card, such as a MasterCard or Visa credit card.

40. Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 38 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.

or over the Internet

that, after paying defendants a fee, consumers will, or are highly likely to, receive an unsecured major credit card, such as a MasterCard or Visa credit card.

52. Defendants have thereby violated Section 310.3(a)(2)(iii) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(2)(iii).

COUNT III

53. In numerous instances, in connection with the telemarketing of advance fee credit cards, defendants or their employees or agents have requested and received payment of a fee in advance of consumers obtaining a credit card when defendants have guaranteed or represented a high likelihood of success in obtaining or arranging for the acquisition of an unsecured major credit card, such as a MasterCard or Visa credit card, for such consumers.

54. Defendants have thereby violated Section 310.4(a)(4) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(a)(4).

COUNT IV

55. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, defendants engaged in or caused others to engage in initiating an outbound telephone call to a person's telephone number on the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).

COUNT V

56. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, defendants have initiated, or caused others to initiate, an outbound telephone call to a telephone number within a given area code without defendants first paying the required annual fee for access to the

telephone numbers within that area code that are included in the National Do Not Call Registry, in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.8.

CONSUMER INJURY

57. Consumers throughout the United States have suffered and continue to suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of defendants' unlawful acts and practices. In addition, defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts and practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, the defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

58. Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105(b), empower this Court to issue a permanent injunction against defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, and, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, to order such ancillary relief as a preliminary injunction, rescission of contracts, restitution, disgorgement of profits resulting from defendants' unlawful acts or practices, and other remedial measures.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, requests that this Court, as authorized by Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105(b), and pursuant to the Court's own equitable powers:

1. Award plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to

preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, and an order freezing assets;

2. Permanently enjoin defendants from violating the FTC Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, as alleged herein;

3. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, including, but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, refund of monies paid, and disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

4. Award plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Dated: December 15, 2005

Respectfully Submitted,

WILLIAM BLUMENTHAL
General Counsel

s/Todd M. Kossow
JAMES DAVIS
TODD M. KOSSOW
THERESA M. McGREW
55 East Monroe Street, Suite 1860
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 960-5634 [Phone]
(312) 960-5600 [Fax]
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Federal Trade Commission