```
1 PETER D. KEISLER
   Assistant Attorney General
   Civil Divison
 2
 3 EUGENE M. THIROLF
   Director, Office of Consumer Litigation
4 ELIZABETH STEIN (VA Bar No. 15288)
   Attorney, Office of Consumer Litigation
   950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
 5
   Washington, DC 20530
 6
   (202)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a)(1), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), and 56(a), and the Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003 ("CAN-SPAM"), 15 U.S.C.§ 7706(a), to obtain monetary civil penalties, and injunctive and other relief for Defendant's violations of CAN-SPAM, 15 U.S.C.§ 7701 et seq., and injunctive relief for Defendant's deceptive practices in violation of Section 5(a)(1) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.§ 45(a)(1).

2.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and 1355 and under 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), 56(a), and § 7706(a). This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1).
- 3. Venue in the Northern District of California is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c)and 1395(a).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

4. The events giving rise to the United States' claims occurred in substantial part in San Francisco County.

THE DEFENDANT

5. Defendant Jumpstart Technologies, LLC ("Jumpstart") is a limited liability company registered in Delaware. Jumpstart's principal office or place of business is located at One Market Plaza, 39th Floor, San Francisco, California 94105. Jumpstart transacts business in the Northern District of California.

COMMERCE

6. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant's course of business, including the acts and practices alleged herein, has been and is in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS PRACTICES

- 7. Since July 2000, Defendant has advertised, offered for sale, sold, and distributed marketing information and advertising services to buyers throughout the United States. Defendant transacts business on the Internet through a number of business lines or subsidiaries, including but not limited to, FreeFlixTix, Bonus Bonez, Harding Innovations LLC, Infinity Brands LLC, and Launchpad Services LLC.
- 8. In the course of conducting its business, Defendant initiates commercial email messages to consumers, the primary purpose of which is the commercial advertisement or promotion of websites, such as FreeFlixTix.com. The FreeFlixTix.com website serves as a vehicle to provide direct marketing opportunities for Defendant's advertising partners and to collect marketing information for the Defendant to sell to third parties.
- 9. Through the FreeFlixTix website, Defendant collects personal information by offering to send free movie tickets to

consumers who, among other things, submit the email addresses of five or more of their friends. The website advertises in large black print: "Get 5 friends to sign up. Get a free movie ticket." Consumers are asked, in large black print: "Where should we send your free tickets?" and are asked to provide their full names, street addresses, birth dates, and email addresses. Beneath this form, another black bolded link appears, which is labeled, "Get Free Tickets." Directly above this link, in small white print, is the statement, "By signing up, I certify that I have read and accept your Terms of Service." Consumers who click on the Terms of Service link are taken to another web page which describes, among other things, the additional steps consumers must take before getting their free tickets. When consumers click on the "Get Free Tickets" link, they are taken to an online form where they are instructed to list the email addresses of ten of their friends. According to this page, if five of the friends sign up to become members of FreeFlixTix: "We'll send you free movie tickets!"

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10. Once a consumer signs up for the promotion and submits the email addresses of ten friends, the Defendant initiates an email, to each of these friends. The "from" line of these emails contains the consumer's actual email address and make no

```
reference to the Defendant. Consequently, the emails appear to
1
   have been transmitted directly from the consumer to each of
2
   his/her friends ("recipients"). The Defendant includes personal
3
   greetings in the subject lines of these email messages such as:
4
5
б
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

In many instances, subsequent email messages transmitted to referred email addresses bear subject headings which indicate that the referring consumer has procured free tickets for the recipient. For example, one such email message transmitted by the Defendant reads as follows: "Subject: [John]

consumer must sign up for a promotion by submitting his/her credit card information to one of the Defendant's advertising partners. Certain advertising partners require that the consumer pay for the promotion being offered, while others make "free" offers that must be cancelled at a later date to avoid a charge. Approximately twelve weeks after the Defendant receives confirmation from one of its partners that the consumer has completed an offer and submitted a valid credit card number, the consumer receives two certificates that are redeemable in movie theaters.

THE CAN-SPAM ACT

- 16. The Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003, ("CAN-SPAM") 15 U.S.C. § 7701, et seq., became effective on January 1, 2004, and has since remained in full force and effect.
- 17. Section 3(2) of the CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7702(2), defines "commercial electronic mail message" to mean, "any electronic mail message the primary purpose of which is the commercial advertisement or promotion of a commercial product or service (including content on an Internet website operated for a commercial purpose)."

18. 20

defines "header information" to mean, "the source, destination, and routing information attached to an electronic mail message, including the originating domain name and originating electronic mail address, and any other information that appears in the line identifying, or purporting to identify, a person initiating the message."

- 19. Section 3(9) of the CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7702(9), defines "initiate," when used with respect to a commercial email message, to mean, "to originate or transmit such message or to procure the origination or transmission of such message, but shall not include actions that constitute routine conveyance of such message. For the purposes of this paragraph, more than one person may be considered to have initiated a message."
- 20. Section 3(12) of the CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. §
 7702(12), defines "procure," when used with respect to the initiation of a commercial email message, to mean, "intentionally to pay or provide other consideration to, or induce, another person to initiate such a message on one's behalf."
- 21. Section 3(13) of the CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7702(13), defines "protected computer" by reference to 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2)(B), which states that a protected computer is "a computer which is used in interstate or foreign commerce or

communication, including a computer located outside the United States that is used in a manner that affects interstate or foreign commerce or communication of the United States."

- 22. Section 3(14) of the CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7702(14) defines "recipient," when used with respect to a commercial email message, to mean, "an authorized user of the electronic mail address to which the message was sent or delivered."
- 23. Section 3(16) of the CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7702(16), defines "sender," when used with respect to a commercial email message, to mean, "a person who initiates such a message and whose product, service, or Internet web site is advertised or promoted by the message."
- 24. Section 5(a)(1) of CAN-SPAM states: "It is unlawful for any person to initiate the transmission, to a protected computer, of a commercial electronic mail message . . . that contains, or is accompanied by, header information that is materially false or materially misleading." 15 U.S.C. § 7704(a)(1).
- 25. Section 5(a)(2) of CAN-SPAM states: "It is unlawful for any person to initiate the transmission to a protected computer of a commercial electronic mail message if such person has actual knowledge, or knowledge fairly implied on the basis of objective circumstances, that a subject heading of the message would be

28. Section 7(a) of CAN-SPAM states: "[T]his Act shall be enforced by the [FTC] as if the violation of this Act were an unfair or deceptive act or practice proscribed under section 18(a)(1)(B) of the [FTC Act] (15 U.S.C. 57a(a)(1)(B))." 15 U.S.C. § 7706(a).

29. Pursuant to Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57(a)(d)(3), a violation of CAN-SPAM constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a)(1) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1).

VIOLATIONS OF CAN-SPAM

COUNT I

30. In numerous instances, the Defendant has initiated the transmission, to protected computers, of commercial email messages that contained, or were accompanied by, header information that is materially false or misleading. A recipient of one of Defendant's emails viewing the "from" line would reasonably believe that it contains a personal message from a friend or colleague. Instead, the message contains a commercial solicitation sent by the Defendant. As a result, recipients are induced to open messages they otherwise would have deleted without opening. In addition, Defendant's email messages bearing the name of a friend or colleague likely to be in the recipient's

address book could evade software programs used to screen out unsolicited commercial email.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

31. The Defendant's acts or practices, as described in paragraph 29, violate 15 U.S.C. § 7704(a)(1).

COUNT II

In numerous instances, the Defendant has initiated the 32. transmission, to protected computers, of commercial email messages that contained, or were accompanied by, a subject heading that the Defendant knew, or had reason to know, would be likely to mislead a recipient, acting reasonably under the circumstances, about a material fact regarding the contents or subject matter of the message. According to Section 2(a)(8) of CAN-SPAM: "Many senders of unsolicited commercial electronic mail purposefully include misleading information in the messages' subject lines in order to induce the recipients to view the messages." 15 U.S.C. § 7701(a)(8). A recipient of one of Defendant's emails containing subject lines such as "Hiya," "Happy Valentine's Day," "Invite," or "[John] has sent you complimentary movie tickets - Certificate #29936," would be misled into opening it under the reasonable belief that the email was personal rather than commercial in nature. CAN-SPAM prohibits using the subject line as an initial contact with

consumers to get their attention by misrepresenting the purpose of the contact.

333. Defendant's acts or practices, as described in paragraph 31, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 7704(a)(2).

COUNT III

- 34. In numerous instances, Defendant has initiated the transmission of commercial email messages to recipients more than ten (10) business days after Defendant had received an opt-out request from such recipients indicating that they decline to receive further email messages from the Defendant.
- 35. Defendant's acts or practices, as described in paragraph 33, violate 15 U.S.C. § 7704(a)(4).

COUNT IV

- 36. In numerous instances, Defendant has initiated the transmission of commercial email messages to protected computers that fail to provide clear and conspicuous identification that the message is an advertisement or solicitation. Defendant's email messages have contained text which appeared to be personal in nature.
- 37. Defendant's acts or practices, as described in paragraph 35, violate 15 U.S.C. § 7704(a)(5).

38. In numerous instances, Defendant has initiated the transmission of commercial email messages to protected computers that fail to provide clear and conspicuous notice of the opportunity to decline to receive further email messages from the Defendant. The links labeled, "Mailing Preferences," "Visit the BonusBonez Mailings Manager" and "Why wait? You can stop getting mail at any time," did not clearly inform consumers that they provided them with an opportunity to opt out of receiving further solicitation from the Defendant.

39. Defendant's acts or practices, as described in paragraph 37, violate 15 U.S.C. § 7704(a)(5).

SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

40. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), provides that "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce are hereby declared unlawful."

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

COUNT VI

41. In numerous advertisements, including email messages sent to consumers, such as Exhibits A and B, and on its FreeFlixTix website pages, such as Exhibits C and D, Defendant has represented, expressly or by implication, that:

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

- A. The recipient of the email is receiving a personal message sent directly from the consumer identified in the "from" line of the email.
- B. The subject of the message is a personal invitation from the consumer identified in the "from" line.
- C. The consumer whose first name appears at the end of the message wrote the text of the message.
- D. The consumer identified in the "from" line of the email has sent the recipient of the email complimentary movie tickets redeemable using the given certificate number.
- E. The promotion works as follows: "1) Sign up. 2)
 Tell Friends. 3) Get FREE tickets."
- F. The promotion works as follows: "Get 5 friends to sign up, GET A FREE MOVIE TICKET!"
- G. Defendant will, "send an email and reminder" to each of the consumer's friends.

42. In truth and in fact:

A. The recipient of the email is not receiving a personal message sent directly from the consumer identified in the "from" line of the email.

Instead, the consumer is receiving an unsolicited advertisement from the Defendant.

- B. The subject of the message is not a personal invitation from the consumer identified in the "from" line. Instead, the subject of the email is an unsolicited advertisement.
- C. The consumer whose first name appears at the end of the message did not write the text of the message. The Defendant wrote the message.
- D. The consumer identified in the "from" line of the email has not sent the recipient of the email complimentary movie tickets redeemable using the given certificate number. The certificate number is a meaningless fiction.
- E. The promotion does not work as follows: 1) Sign up. 2) Tell Friends. 3) Get FREE tickets. To qualify for movie tickets: 1) Consumers must submit the email addresses of ten of their friends. 2) Defendant initiates six or more commercial emails to each of these friends which appear to have been sent and authored by the consumers. 3) At least five of these friends must

sign up as members on the site. 4) The consumers must sign up for a promotion offered by one of the Defendant's advertising partners, which requires the submission of credit card information, and in some instances, payment.

- F. The promotion does not work as follows: "Get 5 friends to sign up, GET A FREE MOVIE TICKET!" As described in part E of this paragraph, a consumer must do more than get 5 friends to sign up in order to receive a free movie ticket from the Defendant.
- G. The Defendant does not send an email and a reminder to each of the consumer's friends. Instead, the Defendant initiates six or more commercial email messages to each of the consumer's friends.
- 43. Therefore, Defendant's representations as set forth in paragraph 40 above are false or misleading and constitute a deceptive practice, and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

Complaint Page 17

44. Consumers in the United States have suffered and will suffer injury as a result of Defendant's violations of CAN-SPAM and the FTC Act. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, the Defendant is likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 45. The Defendant has violated CAN-SPAM as described above with knowledge as set forth in Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A).
- 46. Each commercial email message initiated by the Defendant in which the Defendant has violated CAN-SPAM in one or more of the ways described above constitutes a separate violation for which plaintiff seeks monetary civil penalties.
- 47. Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §

 45(m)(1)(A), as modified by Section 4 of the Federal Civil

 Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, and

 Section 1.98(d) of the FTC's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R.

 § 1.98(d), authorizes this Court to award monetary civil

 penalties of not more than \$11,000 for each such violation of

 CAN-SPAM.

48.

1	the Defendant's violating the	FTC Act.				
2		PRAYER				
3	WHEREFORE, plaintiff req	uests this Court, pursuant to 15				
4	U.S.C. §§ 45(a)(1), 45(m)(1)(2	A), and 53(b), and to the Court's				
5	own equitable powers to:					
6	(1) Enter judgment again	nst the Defendant and in favor of				
7	plaintiff for each	violation alleged in this complaint				
8	(2) Award plaintiff mone	etary civil penalties from the				
9	Defendant for each	violation of CAN-SPAM;				
-0	(3) Permanently enjoin	the Defendant from violating the FTG				
1	Act and CAN-SPAM;					
_2	(4) Award plaintiff sucl	n additional relief as the Court may				
_3	deem just and prope:	r.				
_4						
<u>.</u> 5	Dated:	Respectfully submitted,				
-6						
_7						
8.	OF COUNSEL:	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:				
_9	JEFFREY KLURFELD	PETER D. KEISLER				
20		Assistant Attorney JSDOdl Civil Division				
21	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				
2						

1

2

LISA D. ROSENTHAL 3 Attorneys

FAX:

LINDA K. BADGER

PHONE: (415)848-5100

EMAIL: lbadger@ftc.gov

(415)848-5142

Federal Trade Commission 4 901 Market St., Ste. 570 San Francisco, CA 94103

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

EUGENE M. THIROLF

Director

Office of Consumer Litigation

ELIZABETH STEIN

Trial Attorney

Office of Consumer Litigation

Civil Division

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20530

PHONE: (202) 307-0066 FAX: (202) 514-8742