UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Commissioners: Deborah Platt Majoras, Chairman Pamela Jones Harbour Jon Leibowitz William E. Kovacic J. Thomas Rosch

In the Matter of

RAMBUS INCORPORATED,

Docket No. 9302

a corporation.

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART RESPONDENT'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE FINAL ORDER AND GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PARAGRAPH III.C. OF THE FINAL ORDER

The Commission issued its Opinion On Remedy and Final Order in this matter on February 2, 2007. The Opinion and Final Order were served on Rambus and its counsel on February 9, 2007, and the Final Order therefore became final and effective on April 12, 2007. 16 C.F.R. § 3.56(a); *accord* 15 U.S.C. § 45(g)(1),(2). On February 16, 2007, Rambus filed a Petition for Reconsideration of the Final Order.¹ On February 26, 2007, Complaint Counsel filed a Petition for Reconsideration of Paragraph III.C. of the Final Order.² The Commission has determined to grant Rambus's Petition in part, and to deny it in part, and to grant Complaint Counsel's Petition. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT the Final Order issued by the Commission on February 2, 2007, which became final and effective on April 12, 2007, be, and it hereby is, modified -- as of the date on which this Order is issued -- in the following respects:

¹ On February 16, 2007, Respondent also filed a Motion For Stay of the Final Order Pending Appeal. On March 16, 2007, the Commission granted in part and denied in part that Motion, and in particular stayed enforcement of Paragraphs IV., V.A., VI., and VII. of the Final Order, upon the filing of a timely petition for review of the Final Order in an appropriate court of appeals and until the court of appeals issues its mandate. On April 4, 2007, Respondent filed a petition for review of the Final Order in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

² Complaint Counsel also included in the same filing their Response to Rambus's Petition for Reconsideration of the Final Order.

7. Paragraph VI. is modified to add the following clause to the end of the paragraph:

"*Provided, however*, that Rambus may seek and collect up to three times the Maximum Allowable Royalty Rate, in satisfaction of a judgment in which a court has specifically allowed increased damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 on the ground of willful infringement, and may seek and collect attorney fees as allowed by a court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285."

8. Paragraph VII. is modified to add the following clause to the end of the paragraph:

"*Provided, however*, that Respondent may seek and collect up to three times the Maximum Allowable Royalty Rate, in satisfaction of a judgment in which a court has specifically allowed increased damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 on the ground of willful infringement, and may seek and collect attorney fees as allowed by a court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Motion for Leave to Correct Prior Filing [Rambus's Petition for Reconsideration] that Rambus filed on February 21, 2007 -- and the Motion for Leave to File Reply In Support of Its Petition For Reconsideration of the