



action grounds. On May 16, 2007, Complaint Counsel filed notice of appeal of the district court's ruling which preserves the Commission's ultimate ability to prevail in its request for a

to prevent interim harm to consumers and competition and to preserve the *status quo* during the pendency of the appeal.

By its own terms, Rule 3.26 does not contemplate granting Respondents' motion prior to

efficiencies claims, through discovery in the administrative litigation. However, Respondents'

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Robert E. LaRocca, hereby certify that on **May 18, 2007**:

I served one (1) copy of the attached Complaint Counsel's Objection to Respondents' Motion to Remove Matter from Adjudication

[REDACTED]

**Respondents' Motion to Remove Matter from Adjudication** to be served by hand delivery and one (1) copy by electronic mail upon the following person:

Office of the Secretary  
Federal Trade Commission  
H-135  
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20580

I served one (1) copy of the Complaint Counsel's Objection to Respondents' Motion to

[REDACTED]