1	WILLIAM BLUMENTHAL General Counsel		
2	CHRIS M. COUILLOU ccouillou@ftc.gov		
4	GERALD S. SACHS gsachs@ftc.gov		
5	Federal Trade Commission 225 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1500		
6	Atlanta, GA 30303 (404) 656-1353 (Couillou) (404) 656-1358 (Sachs)		
7	(404) 656-1379 (Fax)		
8	DAVID C. FIX dfix@ftc.gov		
9	Federal Trade Commission		
10	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, H-238 Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-3298 (voice) (202) 326-3395 (fax)		
11 12	KENNETH ABBE, California Bar # 172416		
13	kabbe@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission		
14	10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024		
15	(310) 824-4318 (voice) (310) 824-4380 (fax)		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
17		S DISTRICT COURT	
18		ICT OF CALIFORNIA RN DIVISION	
19 20	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	Case No.	
20	Plaintiff	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE	
22	v.	AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF	
23	BURNLOUNGE, INC.,		
24	a corporation; JUAN ALEXANDER ARNOLD, an individual; JOHN TAYLOR,		
25	JOHN TAYLOR,		
26	an individual; ROB DEBOER, an individual; and		
27	SCOTT ELLIOTT, an individual;		
28	Defendants.		
)		

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), by its undersigned attorneys, alleges:

1. Plaintiff FTC brings this action under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to secure a permanent injunction, rescission of contracts and restitution, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, and other equitable relief against the Defendants for engaging in deceptive acts or practices in connection with the advertising, marketing and sale of opportunities to operate on-line digital music stores in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the FTC's claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a) and 1345.
- 3. Venue in the Central District of California is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff, the FTC, is an independent agency of the United States government created by statute, 15 U.S.C. §§ 41 et seq. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission may initiate federal district court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and to secure such equitable relief as is appropriate in each case. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

5. Defendant BurnLounge, Inc., ("BurnLounge") is a Delaware corporation that has its principal place of business at 340 Hudson Street, 7th Floor, New York City, New York. BurnLounge has conducted business since 2005. BurnLounge conducts recruitment activities and training seminars in the Central District of California and throughout the country.

- 6. Defendant Juan Alexander Arnold is an individual who resides in Studio City, California. He is the chief executive officer and chairman of the board of directors of BurnLounge. At all times material to this Complaint, Juan Alexander Arnold, individually or in concert with others, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of BurnLounge as set forth below.
- 7. Defendant John Taylor is an individual who resides in Houston,
 Texas. Taylor is a promoter of the business opportunities offered by BurnLounge.
 At all times material to this Complaint, John Taylor has participated in the acts and practices of BurnLounge as set forth below.
- 8. Defendant Rob DeBoer is an individual who resides in Irmo, South Carolina. He is a promoter of the business opportunities offered by BurnLounge. At all times material to this Complaint, Rob DeBoer has participated in the acts and practices of BurnLounge as set forth below.
- 9. Defendant Scott Elliott is an individual who resides in Forney, Texas. He is a promoter of the business opportunities offered by BurnLounge. At all times material to this Complaint, Scott Elliott has participated in the acts and practices of BurnLounge as set forth below.
- 10. Defendants BurnLounge, Juan Alexander Arnold, John Taylor, Rob DeBoer and Scott Elliott transact or have transacted business in the Central District of California.

COMMERCE

11. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants' course of business, including the acts and practices alleged herein, is and has been in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

12. Since approximately 2005, Defendant BurnLounge has operated a pyramid scheme in connection with the advertising, marketing and sale of

2.2

- 13. Participants join BurnLounge through the purchase of product packages, of which there are three: (1) the Basic Package, which sells for \$29.95 per year; (2) the Exclusive Package for \$129.95 per year plus \$8 per month; and (3) the VIP Package for \$429.95 per year plus \$8 per month. More expensive packages provide the participant with an increased ability to earn rewards through the BurnLounge compensation program.
- 14. The first \$29.95 of each product package pays for a license to operate an on-line store. Through the on-line stores, BurnLounge sells product packages and digital music to consumers. Consumers who purchase music receive a digital copy by downloading it through the Internet.
- 15. There are two basic classes of BurnLounge participants: "Retailers" and "Moguls." Participants who purchase a license to operate an on-line store become a "Retailer." Retailers who want to earn monetary rewards must pay an additional monthly fee of \$6.95 to become a "Mogul."

Compensation Plan

- 16. All participants in BurnLounge can earn reward points under the BurnLounge compensation program for selling product packages and digital music. Participants can redeem the points for purchases through their on-line stores. Only participants who become Moguls can convert the points into dollars. For Moguls, one point equals one dollar.
- 17. The BurnLounge compensation program has two parts: (1) recruitment bonuses (described in Paragraphs 18 through 26), and (2) Concentric Retail (described in Paragraphs 27 and 28).
- 18. BurnLounge pays two types of recruitment bonuses. These bonuses are earned from selling BurnLounge product packages to new recruits.

 BurnLounge calls these "Product Package Bonuses" and "Mogul Bonuses"
- BurnLounge calls these "Product Package Bonuses" and "Mogul Bonuses."
 - 19. Product Package Bonuses are earned by selling BurnLounge product

- 26. In order to earn a Mogul Bonus, the Mogul must accumulate 300 points in each of the two teams. The amount of the Mogul Bonus varies from \$25 to \$50 depending upon the package the Mogul purchased and in some cases music sales.
- 27. Concentric Retail, the other part of the compensation program, provides rewards for product sales through on-line stores. BurnLounge defines "product" to include digital music downloads, the first \$29.95 of each of the three BurnLounge packages, and the \$8 monthly fee paid as part of the Exclusive and VIP Packages.
 - 28. Ts.-0.1200 Tw22.3209,d.0000 TD(cen)Tjoa.0000 500.64i5 u,u(9e0 TD(th)Tj11.02

the Unite

So, Scott, you know, seven people in the company have - - you know, I've had a chance to work with that have generated well over \$200,000 in the last six months. We've got residual checks in the company right now today that are a six-figure income, well over six figures. (John Taylor, live teleconference call, August 10, 2006)

Guys, we've made just under \$300,000. Todd Ellis' next door neighbor has made \$280,000. We've got a dozen people that have made over \$100,000. (Rob DeBoer, live in-person recruitment presentation, Lawrenceville, Georgia, July 12, 2006)

Our professional BurnLounge team is then available to answer all questions on your behalf until we drive your personal income to \$1,000 per week. (Scott Elliott, Internet pre-recorded audio message, August 11, 2006)

- 34. In contrast to the claims of profitability, the compensation plan used by BurnLounge mathematically dictates that at any particular time the majority of Moguls will spend more money to participate in BurnLounge than they have earned through their involvement with the company, and the majority of Moguls will not have made the substantial incomes represented.
- 35. Defendants have failed to adequately disclose that the majority of Moguls will not make the substantial incomes represented.

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT COUNT I

- 36. As alleged in Paragraphs 12 through 35, the Defendants promote participation in BurnLounge, which has a compensation program based primarily on providing payments to participants for the recruitment of new participants, not on the retail sale of products or services, thereby resulting in a substantial percentage of participants losing money.
- 37. Defendants' promotion of this type of scheme, often referred to as a pyramid scheme, constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT II

- 38. In connection with the offering and sale of the right to participate in the BurnLounge program, Defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that consumers who become BurnLounge Moguls are likely to make substantial income.
- 39. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, consumers who become BurnLounge Moguls are not likely to make substantial income.
- 40. Therefore, the representation set forth in Paragraph 38 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT III

- 41. In connection with the offering and sale of the right to participate in the BurnLounge program, Defendants represent, expressly or by implication, that consumers who become Moguls are likely to make substantial income.
- 42. Defendants fail to disclose that most BurnLounge Moguls are not likely to make substantial income.
- 43. This additional information would be material to customers in deciding whether to participate in the BurnLounge program.

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

18

19

20 21

2.2

25 26

27

28

44. Defendants' failure to disclose the material information described in paragraph 42, in light of the representations made in paragraph 41, therefore constitutes a deceptive act and practice in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

45. Consumers in many areas of the United States have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial monetary loss as a result of Defendants' unlawful acts or practices. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts and practices. Absent injunctive relief, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

46. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of the FTC Act. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award other ancillary relief, including but not limited to, rescission of contracts and restitution, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, to prevent and remedy injury caused by Defendants' law violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C.§ 53(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

- 1. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including, but not limited to temporary and preliminary injunctions and an order freezing assets and requiring an accounting;
- 2. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act by Defendants;

1	3. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to
2	consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including, but no
3	limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies
4	paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and
5	4. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other
6	and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.
7	Datad
8	Dated Respectfully submitted,
9	
10	WILLIAM BLUMENTHAL General Counsel
11	
12	CHRIS M. COUILLOU
13	GERALD S. SACHS KENNETH ABBE (Local Counse
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

including, but not