

Respondents' new Exhibit H highlights why Respondents' brief should be stricken.

Exhibit H apparently constitutes a March, 2007 press release that touts purported plans to build a new hospital in Lindenhurst, Illinois, six miles from the Wisconsin border. Respondents label this "New Market Entry" and suggest that this exhibit "demonstrates the absence of anticompetitive effects" of the merger of Evanston and Highland Park. Response at 3.

	anticompetitive effects" of the merger of Evanston and Highland Park. Response at 3.				
=	Respondents? presentation however would not assess the trial and assess their assess their assess their				
7					
	<u>-</u>				
1					
i <u> </u>					
_					
}					
•					
•					
	demonstrates that the bessitel will area at the starter of the analysis.				
1					
_					
·					

be admitted to prove the truth of the matters asserted by the third parties.³ Yet now, Respondents have submitted materials to the Commission that are all hearsay – and in many instances double hearsay⁴ – and that are inadmissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence.

	nearsay and that are madmissible under the rederal Rules of Evidence.
	Second based on this mation the Commission will be some - of the way
1	
_	
	,
<u>. </u>	
•	
*= -	
W.	
En -	
<u> </u>	
-	
-1	
?	
	_

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 25, 2007

Thomas H. Brock
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission

601 New Jersey Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2813 Tbrock@FTC.gov

Complaint Counsel

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the matter of	
Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Corporation,) PUBLIC)
and) Docket No. 9315
ENH Medical Group, Inc.))
)
	ORDER
Upon motion of Complaint Counsel,	and after consideration of the memoranda in support
and in opposition thereto, it is hereby	
ביייי ביייי ליייי איייי אייייי בייייי	** <u>***</u> ****
2	