

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Deborah Platt Majoras, Chairman
Pamela Jones Harbour
Jon Leibowitz
William E. Kovacic
J. Thomas Rosch

In the Matter of)	
)	
WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC.,)	
a corporation,)	
)	Docket No. 9324
and)	
)	
WILD OATS MARKETS, INC.,)	
a corporation.)	
)	

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

Whole Foods Market, Inc.’s (“Whole Foods”) proposed acquisition of Wild Oats Markets, Inc. (“Wild Oats”), will substantially lessen competition and thereby cause significant harm to consumers. This merger, involving the two leading operators of premium natural and organic supermarkets, will increase prices and reduce quality and services in a number of geographic markets throughout the United States. Whole Foods’ Chief Executive Officer John Mackey bluntly advised his Board of Directors of the purpose of this acquisition: “By buying [Wild Oats] we will . . . avoid nasty price wars in Portland (both Oregon and Maine), Boulder, Nashville, and several other cities which will harm [Whole Foods’] gross margins and profitability. By buying [Wild Oats] . . . we eliminate forever the possibility of Kroger, Super Value, or Safeway using their brand equity to launch a competing national natural/organic food chain to rival us. . . . [Wild Oats] may not be able to defeat us but they can still hurt us [Wild Oats] is the only existing company that has the brand and number of stores to be a meaningful springboard for another player to get into this space. Eliminating them means eliminating this threat forever, or almost forever.”

To prevent this consumer harm, the Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, having reason to believe that Respondent Whole Foods and Respondent Wild Oats have entered into an agreement pursuant to which Whole Foods would acquire the voting securities of Wild Oats, that such agreement violates Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and that such acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint, stating its charges as follows:

II. THE PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

A. Whole Foods Market, Inc.

1. Respondent Whole Foods is a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Texas, with its office and principal place of

7. Wild Oats is the second largest operator of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the United States, currently operating numerous premium natural and organic supermarkets throughout the United States.
8. Founded in 1987, Wild Oats provides a broad selection of natural, organic, and gourmet foods, environmentally friendly products, and natural vitamins, remedies, and body care products. The firm was built “on the vision of enhancing the lives of our customers and our people with products and education that support health and wellbeing.” As Wild Oats’ Vice President of Marketing Laura Coblentz has described: “Wild Oats is more than a retail chain – it’s about a lifestyle, and that’s how we market ourselves.”
9. Wild Oats is, and at all times relevant herein has been, engaged in commerce as “commerce” is defined in Section 1 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 12, and is a corporation whose business is in or affects commerce as “commerce” is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

III. THE ACQUISITION

10. On February 21, 2007, Whole Foods and Wild Oats executed an agreement whereby Whole Foods proposes to acquire all of the voting securities of Wild Oats through WFMI Merger Co., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whole Foods (the “Acquisition”). The purchase will be effected through a tender offer for all shares of Wild Oats common stock. The total cost of the Acquisition is expected to be approximately \$671 million in cash and assumed debt.
11. Respondent Whole Foods intends to then merge Wild Oats into Whole Foods; to close numerous Wild Oats stores; to sell several Wild Oats stores; and to operate the remainder as Whole Foods stores.
12. On June 5, 2007, the Commission authorized the commencement of an action under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act to seek a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction barring the Acquisition during the pendency of administrative proceedings to be commenced by the Commission pursuant to Section 5(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(b).
13. In authorizing the commencement of this action, the Commission determined that a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction are in the public interest and that it has reason to believe that the Acquisition would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act because the Acquisition may substantially lessen competition in the relevant markets alleged in this Complaint.

14. On June 7, 2007, United States District Judge Paul L. Friedman of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia issued an Order granting the Commission's motion for a temporary restraining order. The closing of the Acquisition is currently prohibited only by the District Court's restraining order.

IV. NATURE OF COMPETITION

15. "Natural foods" are foods that are minimally processed and largely or completely free of

superior perishable product, superior prepared foods, superior marketing, superior branding, and superior store experience working together are what makes Whole Foods so successful.” “[P]eople who think organic foods are the key don’t understand the business model. . . .”

20. To begin with, premium natural and organic supermarkets focus on perishable products, offering a vast selection of very high quality fresh fruits and vegetables (including exotic and hard-to-find items) and other perishables. As Whole Foods stated in its 2006 annual report, “We believe our heavy emphasis on perishable products differentiates us from conventional supermarkets and helps us attract a broader customer base.” Whole Foods’ Chief Executive Officer John Mackey has also emphasized the importance of high quality perishable foods to Whole Foods’ business model: “This [produce, meat, seafood, bakery, prepared foods] is over 70% of Whole Foods total sales. Wal-Mart doesn’t sell high quality perishables and neither does Trader Joe’s while we are on the subject. That is why Whole Foods coexists so well with [Trader Joe’s] and it is also why Wal-Mart isn’t going to hurt Whole Foods.”
21. Relative to conventional supermarkets and most other Retailers, premium natural and organic supermarkets target shoppers who are, in the words of one of the respondents, “affluent, well educated, health oriented, quality food oriented people. . . .” The core shoppers of premium natural and organic supermarkets have a preference for natural and organic products, and premium natural and organic supermarkets offer an extensive selection of natural and organic products to enable those shoppers to purchase substantially all of their food and grocery requirements during a single shopping trip.
22. Premium natural and organic supermarkets are differentiated from other Retailers in that premium natural and organic supermarkets offer more amenities and service venues; higher levels of service and more knowledgeable service personnel; and special features such as in-store community centers.
23. Premium natural and organic supermarkets promote a lifestyle of health and ecological sustainability, to which a significant portion of their customers are committed. Through the blending together of these elements and others, premium natural and organic supermarkets strive to create a varied and dynamic experience for shoppers, inviting them to make the premium natural and organic supermarket a destination to which shoppers come not merely to shop, but to gather together, interact, and learn, often while enjoying shared eating and other experiences. Premium natural and organic supermarkets expend substantial resources on developing a brand identity that connotes this blend of elements, and especially the qualities of trustworthiness (i ., that all products are natural, that products labeled “organic” are properly labeled, that the store’s suppliers practice humane animal husbandry, and that the store’s actions are ecologically sound) and qualitative superiority to other Retailers.

24. Relative to most other Retailers, premium natural and organic supermarkets' products often are priced at a premium reflecting not only product quality and service, but the marketing of a lifestyle to which their customers aspire.
25. As Whole Foods' Chief Executive Officer John Mackey has acknowledged, "Safeway and other conventional retailers will keep doing their thing – trying to be all things to all people They can't really effectively focus on Whole Foods Core Customers without abandoning 90% of their own customers. . . . Whole Foods core customers will not abandon them because Safeway has made their stores a bit nicer and is selling some organic foods. Whole Foods knows their core customers well and serves them far better than any of their potential competitors do."
26. Mr. Mackey has also said that "[a]ll those [conventional supermarkets and club stores] you named have been selling organic foods for many years now. The only thing 'new' is that they are now beginning to sell private label organic foods for the first time. However, they've been selling organic produce and organic milk for many years now. Doing so has never hurt Whole Foods."
27. Wild Oats' most recent 10K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission noted: "Despite the increase in natural foods sales within conventional supermarkets, [Wild Oats] believe[s] that conventional supermarkets still lack the concentration on a wide variety of natural and organic products, and emphasis on service and consumer education that our stores offer."
28. Premium natural and organic supermarkets are also very different from mass-merchandisers, such as Wal-Mart and Target. According to Mr. Mackey, "Wal-Mart does a particularly poor job selling perishable foods. Whole Foods quality is better, its customer service is far superior, and the store ambience and experience it provides its

[customers will] benefit from that.” Neither company responds in the same way to competition from conventional supermarkets or other Retailers.

38. Consumers have benefitted directly from the price and quality competition between Whole Foods and Wild Oats. If the Acquisition occurs, these benefits will be lost in the markets where the two currently compete and they will not occur in those markets where each is planning to expand.

V. RELEVANT MARKETS

39. A relevant product market in which to analyze the effects of the proposed Acquisition is the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets.
40. A relevant geographic market in which to analyze the effects of the proposed Acquisition is an area as small as approximately five or six miles in radius from premium natural and organic supermarkets or as large as a metropolitan area.

VI. ENTRY CONDITIONS

41. Entry or repositioning into the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets is time-consuming, costly, and difficult. As a result, entry or repositioning into the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the relevant geographic markets is unlikely to occur or to be timely or sufficient to prevent or defeat the anticompetitive effects of the proposed Acquisition.

VII. ANTICOMPETITIVE EFFECTS

42. The relevant markets are highly concentrated and would become significantly more concentrated after the proposed Acquisition. Premium natural and organic supermarkets' primary competitors are other premium natural and organic supermarkets. Shoppers with preferences for premium natural and organic supermarkets are not likely to switch to other retailers in response to a small but significant non-transitory increase in premium natural and organic supermarket prices.
43. The proposed Acquisition may substantially lessen competition in the following ways, among others:
 - a. the proposed Acquisition will eliminate one of only two or three premium natural

- b. the proposed Acquisition will eliminate substantial and effective price and non-price competition between Whole Foods and Wild Oats in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the relevant geographic markets, substantially reducing or eliminating competition in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of those geographic areas;
- c. the proposed Acquisition will eliminate one of only two or three premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of the relevant geographic markets, tending to create a monopoly in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of those geographic areas;
- d. the proposed Acquisition will eliminate the only existing company that can serve as a meaningful springboard for a conventional supermarket operator to enter the market for premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of the relevant geographic markets, tending to create a monopoly in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of those geographic areas;
- e. the proposed Acquisition will eliminate Whole Foods' closest competitor in geographic and product space in each of the relevant geographic areas, resulting in the loss of direct and unique price and non-price competition that conveys to shoppers benefits that go well beyond the benefits resulting from the presence or threatened entry of other retailers;
- f. the proposed Acquisition will result in the closing of numerous Wild Oats stores, reducing or eliminating consumer choice in premium natural and organic supermarkets;
- g. the proposed Acquisition will enable the combined Whole Foods/Wild Oats to exercise market power unilaterally; and
- h.

45. Whole Foods' proposed acquisition of Wild Oats, if consummated, would substantially lessen competition in the relevant markets in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

COUNT II – ILLEGAL ACQUISITION AGREEMENT

46. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-45 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth here.
47. Whole Foods and Wild Oats, through the Agreement described in paragraph 10, have engaged in unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given to the Respondents that the twenty-seventh day of September, 2007, at 10 a.m., or such later date as determined by the Commission or by an Administrative Law Judge of the Commission, is hereby fixed as the time and Federal Trade Commission offices, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580, as the place when and where a hearing will be had on the charges set forth in this Complaint, at which time and place you will have the right under the Federal Trade Commission Act to appear and show cause why an order should not be entered requiring you to cease and desist from the violations of law charged in the complaint.

Pending further order of the Commission, the Commission will retain adjudicative responsibility for this matter. *See*

appropriate order disposing of the proceeding. In such answer, you may, however, reserve the right to submit proposed findings and conclusions under §3.46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings and the right to appeal the initial decision to the Commission under §3.52 of said Rules.

Failure to answer within the time above provided shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of your right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and shall authorize the Commission or the Administrative Law Judge, without further notice to you, to find the facts to

NOTICE OF CONTEMPLATED RELIEF

Should the Commission conclude from the record developed in any adjudicative proceedings in this matter that the acquisition of Whole Foods by Wild Oats, or any other transaction that combines them, challenged in this proceeding violates Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, the Commission may order such relief against Respondents as is supported by the record and is necessary and appropriate, including, but not limited to:

1. An order preventing Whole Foods from acquiring Wild Oats, if the acquisition has not occurred at the time of the Commission's decision;
2. The divestiture of Wild Oats and any other associated or necessary assets in a manner that restores Wild Oats as a viable, independent competitor in the relevant markets, with the ability to offer such services as Wild Oats is now offering and planning to offer, if the acquisition has occurred at the time of the Commission's decision;
3. A prohibition against any transaction between Whole Foods and Wild Oats that combines