UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Pamela Jones Harbour Jon Leibowitz William E. Kovacic J. Thomas Rosch	nairman
)	
CES, INC.,)	
TES, INC.,	
URAL GAS COMPANY,)	Docket No. 9322
)	
RAL GAS COMPANY,)	
	Pamela Jones Harbour Jon Leibowitz William E. Kovacic J. Thomas Rosch CES, INC., URAL GAS COMPANY,))

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT [Public Version]

On March 1, 2006, Respondent Equitable Resources, Inc. ("Equitable") executed an agreement to acquire the capital stock ("Agreement") of Respondent The Peoples Natural Gas Company ("Peoples") from Respondent Consolidated Natural Gas Company, a subsidiary of Respondent Dominion Resources, Inc. On March 14, 2007, the Commission issued the Administrative Complaint in this matter, alleging that the March 1, 2006 Agreement violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and that Equitable's proposed acquisition of Peoples, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. On April 13, 2007, the Commission filed a complaint and motions for a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction against Respondents in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), seeking to prevent the merger, and thereby maintain the *status quo*, during the pendency of the administrative proceeding. On May 14, 2007, the District Court granted the Defendants-Respondents' motion to dismiss the complaint on state action grounds. On May 16, 2007, the Commission filed an emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal in the District Court, which the Court denied on May 21, 2007. On May 18,

2007, the Commission filed a notice of appeal of the District Court judgment -- and on May 21, 2007, filed an emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal -- with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, in *Federal Trade Commission v. Equitable Resources, Inc., No. 07-2499.* On June 1, 2007, the Court of Appeals issued an Order granting the Commission's

¹ In the Matter of Swedish Match North America Inc., and National Tobacco Company, L.P., Docket No. 9296 (Swedish Match), Order Dismissing Complaint (January 4, 2001), available at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/01/swedishdismisscmp.htm.

² *Id.*, *citing R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, Docket No. 9285*, Order Dismissing Complaint (January 26, 1999), at 4.

Similarly, in *H.J. Heinz*,³ the Commission dismissed the administrative complaint after the Respondents abandoned the transaction at issue.

In this matter, as in *Swedish Match*, the most important elements of the relief set out in the Notice of Contemplated Relief in the administrative complaint have been accomplished without the need for further administrative litigation. In particular, the acquisition Agreement at issue has now been terminated, and the proposed acquisition has been enjoined pending further order of the Court of Appeals. Moreover, Complaint Counsel maintain [redacted

redacted redacted redacted

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission has determined that the public interest warrants dismissal of the Administrative Complaint in this matter. The Commission has determined to do so without prejudice, however, because it is not reaching a decision on the merits. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT the Administrative Complaint in this matter be, and it hereby is, dismissed without prejudice.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secretary

ISSUED: January 31, 2008

³ In the Matter of H.J. Heinz Company, Milnot Holding Corporation, and Madison Dearborn Capital Partners, L.P., Docket No. 9295 (H.J. Heinz), Order Dismissing Complaint (December 4, 2001), available at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/12/heinzorder.pdf