

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

COMMISSIONERS: **William E. Kovacic, Chairman**
 Pamela Jones Harbour
 Jon Leibowitz
 J. Thomas Rosch

II. THE PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

Whole Foods Market, Inc.

1. Respondent Whole Foods is a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Texas, with its office and principal place of business located at 550 Bowie Street, Austin, Texas 78703.
2. Established in 1980, Whole Foods operates approximately 260 premium natural and organic supermarkets in more than 37 states and the District of Columbia.
3. Whole Foods is the largest operator of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the United States.
4. According to Whole Foods' Chief Executive Officer John Mackey, Whole Foods is "a company that is authentically committed to its mission of natural/organic/healthy foods. Its core customers recognize this authenticity and it creates a customer loyalty that will not be stolen away by conventional markets who sell the same products. Whole Foods has created a 'brand' that has real value for millions of people."
5. Whole Foods is, and at all times relevant herein has been, engaged in commerce as "commerce" is defined in Section 1 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 12, and is a corporation whose business is in or affects commerce as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

III. THE ACQUISITION

6. On February 21, 2007, Whole Foods and Wild Oats executed an agreement whereby

9. In authorizing the commencement of this action, the Commission determined that a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction were in the public interest and that it had reason to believe that the Acquisition would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act because the Acquisition likely would substantially lessen competition in the relevant markets alleged in the complaint.

10. On June 7, 2007, United States District Court Judge Paul L. Friedman of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia issued an Order granting the Commission's motion for temporary restraining order. On August 16, 2007, Judge

19. Premium natural and organic supermarkets promote a lifestyle of health and ecological sustainability, to which a significant portion of their customers are committed. Through the blending together of these elements and others, premium natural and organic supermarkets strive to create a varied and dynamic experience for shoppers, inviting them to make the premium natural and organic supermarket a destination to which shoppers come not merely to shop, but to gather together, interact, and learn, often while enjoying shared eating and other experiences. Premium natural and organic supermarkets expend substantial resources on developing a brand identity that connotes this blend of elements, and especially the qualities of trustworthiness (*viz.*, that all products are natural, that products labeled “organic” are properly labeled, that the store’s suppliers practice humane animal husbandry, and that the store’s actions are ecologically sound) and qualitative superiority to other Retailers.
20. Relative to most other Retailers, premium natural and organic supermarkets’ products often are priced at a premium reflecting not only product quality and service, but the marketing of a lifestyle to which their customers aspire.
21. As Whole Foods’ Chief Executive Officer John Mackey has acknowledged, “Safeway and other conventional retailers will keep doing their thing – trying to be all things to all people They can’t really effectively focus on Whole Foods Core Customers without

25. With respect to Trader Joe's, Mr. Mackey stated: "TJ's is a completely different concept than WFMI. WFMI's business is all about perishables – fresh produce, fresh seafood, fresh meat, in store delis, juice bars, and bakeries. WFMI has stated that more than 50% of their sales are in these categories of products – categories which TJ's doesn't even have. TJ's is primarily a discount private label company with a large wine selection."
26. Unlike other natural and organic product retailers, premium natural and organic supermarkets offer an extensive selection of natural and organic products to enable shoppers to purchase substantially all of their food and grocery requirements during a single shopping trip. As a result, premium natural and organic supermarkets are appreciably larger than other natural and organic retailers in square footage, number of products offered, inventory for each product offered, and annual dollar sales.
27. Prior to the Acquisition, Whole Foods and Wild Oats, respectively, were the largest and second largest operators of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the United States.
28. Prior to the Acquisition, Whole Foods and Wild Oats were the only two nationwide operators of premium and natural organic supermarkets in the United States.
29. Consumers spent a combined total of \$6.5 billion in fiscal 2006 at Whole Foods and Wild Oats. Approximately 70% of that total was spent on perishable products, such as produce, meat, seafood, baked goods, and prepared foods.
30. Prior to the Acquisition, Whole Foods and Wild Oats were one another's closest competitors in 22 geographic markets. Consumers in these markets have reaped price and non-price benefits of competition between Whole Foods and Wild Oats. The markets where the two competed head to head are: Albuquerque, NM; Boston, MA; Boulder, CO; Hinsdale, IL (suburban Chicago); Evanston, IL (suburban Chicago); Cleveland, OH; Colorado Springs, CO; Columbus, OH; Denver, CO; West Hartford, CT; Henderson, NV; Kansas City-Overland Park, KS; Las Vegas, NV; Los Angeles-Santa Monica-Brentwood, CA; Louisville, KY; Omaha, NE; Pasadena, CA; Phoenix, AZ; Portland, ME; Portland, OR; Santa Fe, NM; and St. Louis, MO.
31. Over the last five years prior to the Acquisition, Whole Foods targeted markets for entry where, in Whole Foods' words, Wild Oats enjoyed a "monopoly." Consumers in those markets benefitted from the new competition in those markets.
32. Prior to the Acquisition, there were other geographic markets in which only one or the other is present. In many of these markets, Wild Oats or Whole Foods planned, but for the Acquisition, to enter and offer direct and unique competition to the other. Each developed expansion plans that targeted the other's "monopoly" markets, as Whole Foods

describes it. These markets include: Palo Alto, CA; Fairfield County, CT; Miami Beach, FL; Naples, FL; Nashville, TN; Reno, NV; and Salt Lake City, UT.

33. Whole Foods' Mr. Mackey has said that "Whole Foods has taken significant market share from OATS wherever they have opened competing stores – Boulder, Santa Fe, Denver, Boca Raton, Ft. Lauderdale, and St. Louis." Each of the parties, in anticipation of entry by the other, has engaged in aggressive price and non-price competition that conveys to shoppers benefits that go well beyond the benefits resulting from the presence or threatened entry in those geographic markets of other retailers. In addition, when Whole Foods or Wild Oats expected the other to enter one of its markets, it planned substantial improvements in quality, including renovations, expansions, and competitive pricing. As Mr. Mackey explained upon Whole Foods' entry into Nashville: "At least Wild Oats will likely improve their store there in anticipation of Whole Foods eventually opening and

premium natural and organic supermarkets are not likely to switch to other retailers in response to a small but significant non-transitory increase in premium natural and organic supermarket prices.

39. The Acquisition is likely to have substantially lessened competition and continues to substantially lessen competition in the following ways, among others:
- a. the Acquisition has already eliminated one of only two or three premium natural and organic supermarkets and has substantially increased concentration in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the relevant geographic markets, each of which already is highly concentrated;
 - b. the Acquisition has already eliminated substantial and effective price and non-price competition between Whole Foods and Wild Oats in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in the relevant geographic markets, substantially reducing or eliminating competition in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of those geographic areas;
 - c. the Acquisition has already eliminated one of only two or three premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of the relevant geographic markets, tending to create a monopoly in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of those geographic areas;
 - d. the Acquisition has already eliminated the only existing company that can serve as a meaningful springboard for a conventional supermarket operator to enter the market for premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of the relevant geographic markets, tending to create a monopoly in the operation of premium natural and organic supermarkets in each of those geographic areas;
 - e. the Acquisition has already eliminated Whole Foods' closest competitor in geographic and product space in each of the relevant geographic areas, resulting in the loss of direct and unique price and non-price competition that conveys to shoppers benefits that go well beyond the benefits resulting from the presence or threatened entry of other retailers;
 - f. the Acquisition has already resulted in the closing of numerous Wild Oats stores, reducing or eliminating consumer choice in premium natural and organic supermarkets, and will result in the closing of additional Wild Oats stores and further disposition of assets;
 - g. the Acquisition has already enabled the combined Whole Foods/Wild Oats to exercise market power unilaterally; and

- h. the Acquisition has already eliminated potential competition in numerous parts of the United States.

VIII. VIOLATIONS CHARGED

COUNT I – ILLEGAL ACQUISITION

- 40. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-39 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth here.
- 41. Whole Foods' acquisition of Wild Oats is likely to have substantially lessened competition and continues to substantially lessen in the relevant markets in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

COUNT II – ILLEGAL ACQUISITION AGREEMENT

- 42. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-41 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth here.
- 43. Whole Foods, through the Agreement with Wild Oats as described in paragraph 6, has engaged in unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given to the Respondent that the sixteenth day of February 2009, at 10 a.m. is hereby fixed as the time, and Federal Trade Commission offices, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580, as the place when and where a hearing will be had on the charges set forth in this Amended Complaint, at which time and place you will have the right under the Federal Trade Commission Act to appear and show cause why an order should not be entered requiring you to cease and desist from the violations of law charged in the Amended Complaint.

Pending further order of the Commission, the Commission will retain adjudicative responsibility for this matter. *See* § 3.42(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings. The Commission hereby allows you until September 26, 2008, to file either an answer or a dispositive motion. If you file a dispositive motion within that time, your time for filing an answer is extended until 10 days after service of the Commission's order on such motion. If you do not file a dispositive motion within that time, you must file an answer.

An answer in which the allegations of the Amended Complaint are contested shall contain a concise statement of the facts constituting each ground of defense; and specific admission, denial, or explanation of each fact alleged in the Amended Complaint or, if you are

without knowledge thereof, a statement to that effect. Allegations of the Amended Complaint not thus answered shall be deemed to have been admitted.

If you elect not to contest the allegations of fact set forth in the Amended Complaint, the answer shall consist of a statement that you admit all of the material facts to be true. Such an

4. Re-establishment of Wild Oats stores, with Whole Foods stores added as necessary, along with any associated or necessary assets in a manner that creates a group or system of stores that may be available for divestiture, including, but not limited to, re-opening