DAVID C. SHONKA Acting General Counsel GREGORY A. ASHE - GA8431 LAWRENCE HODAPP - LH8843 STEPHANIE ROSENTHAL - SR85685B(T)Tj8.5200 0.0000 TD(E)Tj8.5200 0.0000 TD(P)Tj Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FT

relief as may be appropriate in each case, including restitution and disgorgement. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

## **DEFENDANTS**

- 5. Defendant Hope Now Modifications LLC ("HNM") is a New Jersey limited liability company with its principal place of business at 200 Lake Drive East, Suite 200, Cherry Hill, New Jersey. HNM transacts or has transacted business in the District of New Jersey. At all times material to this Complaint, HNM, acting alone or in concert with others, has advertised, marketed, and/or sold mortgage loan modification services to consumers throughout the United States.
- 6. Defendant Hope Now Financial Services Corp. ("HNFS"), doing business as Hope Now Modifications, is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business at 200 Lake Drive East, Suite 200, Cherry Hill, New Jersey. HNFS transacts or has transacted business in the District of New Jersey. At all times material to this Complaint, HNFS, acting alone or in concert with others, has advertised, marketed, and/or sold mortgage loan modification services to consumers throughout the United States.
- 7. Defendant Nick Puglia is a manager, officer and/or principal of HNM and HNFS. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to

3

control, or participated in the acts and practices of HNM and HNFS, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Nick Puglia resides in this District and transacts or has transacted business in this

District and throughout the United States.

- 8. Defendant Salvatore Puglia, Sr. is a manager, officer and/or principal of HNM and HNFS. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of HNM and HNFS, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Salvatore Puglia resides in this District and transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.
- 9. Defendants HNM and HNFS have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the deceptive acts and practices alleged below. Because HNM and HNFS have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below.

## **COMMERCE**

10. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

Internet website, <u>www.hopenowmod.com</u>, through which they market their services.

- 13. Defendants' website states "STOP FORECLOSURE GET HELP." At the top left is Defendants' logo: a graphic of a house interspersed with the words "HOPE NOW MODIFICATIONS." To the right is Defendants' tollfree number, "877-HOPE-364."
- 14. Defendants' website contains statements to induce consumers to purchase their mortgage loan modificati

f. **Hope Now Modifications LLC** is an alliance between professional counse

- 19. Defendants' telemarketers instruct consumers that they must pay Defendants' fee up-front. The fee is typically in the amount of consumers' monthly mortgage payment. Defendants typically refer to the fee as a mitigation escrow deposit. Consumers who express concern about paying the fee are often told that they can pay the fee instead of their regular mortgage payment, because foreclosure proceedings will be stayed while Defendants negotiate with the lender.
- 20. In numerous instances, consumers are told that if they are not satisfied with Defendants' service they are entitled to a full refund of the fees paid.
- 21. In numerous instances, Defendants' telemarketers create a false aura of legitimacy by misrepresenting that they are part of the Hope Now Alliance.
- 22. Defendants, however, are not members of, affiliated with, or part of the Hope Now Alliance.
- 23. In numerous instances, after consumers have paid Defendants' fee, Defendants fail to return consumers' telephone calls or provide updates about the status of Defendants' purported communications with the consumers' lenders. In other instances, Defendants misrepresent to consumers that negotiations are proceeding smoothly.
- 24. In numerous instances, Defendants fail to obtain mortgage loan modifications. In numerous instances, consumers learn from their lenders

9

that Defendants have not even contacted the lender or that Defendants have made only minimal, non-substantive contacts with the lender. Some consumers are able to obtain mortgage loan modifications and avoid foreclosure only through their own efforts and not because of any service provided by Defendants. Consumers who obtain modifications and stop foreclosure through their own efforts sometimes learn that their lenders offer the same settlement terms to consumers who negotiate on their own behalf that they offer through third parties such as Defendants; other consumers learn that their lenders will negotiate only with consumers and not with third parties.

- 25. Because of their reliance on Defendants' promises, numerous consumers lost not only the substantial fees paid to Defendants, but also opportunities for lower payments as a result of concessions offered directly by the lender. Moreover, in numerous instances, Defendants increase the likelihood of foreclosure by encouraging consumers to pay Defendants' fee rather than make mortgage payments, and encouraging consumers not to accept work outs directly by Tiploco Tiploco
- 26. In numerous instances, when Defendants fail to obtain a mortgage loan modification as promised, **Extracts**

consumers' withdrawing previously filed complaints with law enforcement agencies or consumer reporting entities.

## VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

- 27. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts and practices in or affecting commerce."
- 28. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by S

Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act by Defendants;

C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including but not limited to rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

// // // // // // // // // // // // //

D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other

and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Dated: March 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID C. SHONKA Acting General Counsel

s/ Gregory A. Ashe

GREGORY A. ASHE LAWRENCE HODAPP STEPHANIE ROSENTHAL Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: 202-326-3719 (Ashe) Telephone: 202-326-3105 (Hodapp) Telephone: 202-326-3332 (Rosenthal) Facsimile: 202-326-3768 Email: gashe@ftc.gov, lhodapp@ftc.gov, srosenthal@ftc.gov

RALPH J. MARRA, JR. Acting United States Attorney WILLIAM E. FITZPATRICK Deputy United States Attorney 401 Market Street