UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

Case 4:09-cv-00838 Document 7 Filed in TXSD on 08/26/09 Page 2 of 9

resides. Under that statute, a judgment in a civil action creates a lien on all real property of the judgment debtor upon the filing of a certified copy of the abstract of judgment. The abstract of judgment is filed in the same manner that a notice of tax lien under 26 U.S.C. § $6232(f)^1$ and, thereafter, has "priority over any other lien or encumbrance which is perfected later in time." 28 U.S.C. § 3201(a) and (b).

With the exception of social security monies, FTC has taken no action to execute on the judgment or to otherwise enforce its rights. Sometime after the abstract judgment lien was filed, Pierce attempted to refinance or sell his homestead property. FTC refused to partially release its lien.

3. On February 10, 2009, Pierce filed this action for declaratory judgment to have FTC's abstract lien declared void in District Court in Montgomery County, Texas. Pierce sought equitable relief, actual damages, attorney fees and costs.

4. Since the FTC is an agency of the United States of America, Pierce's suit was removed to this Court.

MOTION TO DISMISS STANDARD

Rule 12(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure authorizes the dismissal of an action when there is a "lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter." Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for dismissal of a complaint for "failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted."

In reviewing a 12(b)(6) motion, "a court must accept as true the factual allegations in the

¹/ The cited section of the Internal Revenue Code provides that the notice, in the case of real property, shall be filed in one office within the state or county in which the property is located, as designated by the state. In the State of Texas, the United States perfects its liens by filing the abstracts of judgment in the county clerk's office in which the property is located.

complaint and view them in the light most favorable to plaintiff." *Groom v. Fickes*, 966 F.Supp. 1466, 1472 (S.D. Tex. 1997), *aff* d. 129 F.3d 606 (5th Cir. 1997). The Court must determine whether the allegations set forth are sufficient to withstand dismissal. *Grisham v. United States*, 103 F. 3d 24, 25 (5th Cir. 1997). "The Complaint must state specific facts, not simply legal and constitutional conclusions in order to survive a motion to dismiss." *Id.* A court may grant a Rule 12 (b)(6) motion only if it appears that no relief could be granted under any set of facts that could be proved consistent with the allegations, or if "...there is simply no legal theory entitling plaintiff to relief." *Kansa Reinsurance Co., Ltd v. Congressional Mortg. Corp. of Texas*, 20 F.3d 1362, 1366 (5th Cir. 1994); *Groom*, 966 F.Supp. at 1472, *citing, Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957).

A. <u>Pierce Does Not Have Standing</u>

Pierce's petition seeks declaratory judgment as relief so an evaluation of standing is appropriate upon review of the nature of this case. Though the petition filed invokes the Texas Declaratory Judgment Act, the subsequent removal of the case to federal court demands the application of federal procedure for declaratory judgment. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, there must be an actual controversy for a plaintiff to maintain standing. The meaning of "actual controversy" under the Act is the same as "case or controversy" in Article III of the US Constitution. *Rowan Cos. v.* Griffin, 876 F.2d 26 (5th Cir. 1989). To establish a "case or controversy," a plaintiff must allege facts that constitute a substantial controversy between two adverse parties. *Id.*

The suggestion that the FTC may be ordered to remove its lien finds no support in law. The lien has the same force and effect as a tax lien, and it may not be discharged. 28 U.S.C. § 3201(a) and (b). The lien attached to whatever interest Pierce retains in property until that interest is extinguished. If valid and properly recorded, there is no statutory authority for a Court to order that it be removed. Thus, there is no adverse claim against Pierce.

B. <u>Under The Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act, Federal Law Determines The Priority</u> of Competing Federal and State Created Liens.

The judgment lien at issue was created under the Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. §§ 3001-3308 ("FDCPA"). Except to the extent another federal law applies, it provides the "exclusive civil procedure" for the United States to recover on a judgment. 28 U.S.C. § 3001(a)(1). By its express terms, the FDCPA preempts state law to the extent it is inconsistent with the FDCPA's provisions. 28 U.S.C. § 3003(d).

Section 3201(a), 28 U.S.C. of the FDCPA governs creation of judgment liens for debts owed

Case 4:09-cv-00838 Document 7 Filed in TXSD on 08/26/09 Page 6 of 9

the United States. It provides that a judgment in favor of the United States creates a lien on all the judgment debtor's real property upon appropriate filing of the judgment. Neither the FDCPA generally nor 28 U.S.C. § 3201 contains a limitation on the type of real property to which the lien of the United States attaches. Whatever limits Pierce argues are available under state law to exempt a homestead from execution, the FDCPA preempts and supercedes the limitations imposed on execution contained in Texas statute.² *In re Pierce*, 214 B.R. 550, 551 (E.D. N.C. 1997) (United States not bound by state statutes of limitation prohibiting enforcement more than 10 years after judgment entered since state statute preempted to extent inconsistent with FDCPA).

C. Even Under State Law, Pierce Fails to State a Claim Against the FTC.

Pierce claims that the FTC has "intentionally and wrongfully refused to release its judgment against his homestead. However, the FTC has no duty to release its lien. The government is not required, under either Texas or federal law, to release its judgment lien to Pierce's homestead.

Pierce's claim of harm with the interference with his ability to sell his homestead caused by a clouded title is not a continuing harm due to Texas legislation, effective September 1, 2007. The Texas statute created Pierce the procedural tools to simply file an affidavit to remove any possible cloud to title and thus prevent any present or future threat to the exercise of his right to sell his homestead. The purpose of the homestead exemption is not to immunize a judgment debtor from his

²/ The FDCPA governs the creation and priority given to federal liens. See 28 U.S.C. § 3201(a) and (b). The remedies available to the government to enforce its liens is tied, to some extent, to state law. For example, remedies may be enforced against co-owned property (i.e., property held in joint tenancy) to the extent allowed by state law. 28 U.S.C. § 3010(a). In addition, if the government chooses to enforce its liens, a debtor may elect to exempt certain property from enforcement, including property exempt under state law. 28 U.S.C. § 3014. The issue before this court, however, is not enforcement of liens but their creation and priority. Federal law clearly states that this judgment lien is attached to all Pierce's real property and has priority over later perfected liens. 28 U.S.C. § 3201(a) and (b).

valid debts. The homestead exemption law does not relieve one from his moral and legal obligation to pay what he owes. *Holden v. Farwell, Ozmun, Kirk & Co.*, 223 Minn. 550, 27 N.W.2d, 641, 646 (1947). Allowing the FTC to hold whatever place it has in line under state law fully meets the rehabilative intent of the exemption statute. While the statute may rightly shield a debtor's homestead from sale or seizure, it is not a sword to fend off a judgment creditor, like the FTC, who is taking no enforcement action.

A judgment lien holder's mere refusal to partially release its lien on demand from the homestead of the judgment debtor does not constitute an adverse claim. In *Westman v. James B. Clow & Sons*, 38 F.2d 124 (W.D. Tex. 1930), the court did not find a justiciable controversy between a couple seeking to have the court declare their exempt property as homestead to dispel an alleged cloud of title created by an abstract judgment lien. There is no present threat of harm or potential future threat of harm through lost sale of the property.

As a matter of law, since there is no adverse claim against the Pierce homestead property, there is no real controversy that the Court may se homestead owners in *Westman* request for an affirmation of homestead designation. The type of declaration sought does not by itself create a real controversy. Finally, this declaration would strip the FTC of its actual rights in the event that the homestead designation terminates. Thus, even were the court to look to Texas law, Pierce does not have standing for a declaratory judgment.

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons set forth above, the motion of the FTC for dismissal or, alternatively, for summary judgment should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

TIM JOHNSON United States Attorney

By: <u>/s/ Eleanor Robinson Gaither</u> ELEANOR ROBINSON GAITHER Assistant United States Attorney Federal Bar No. 2972 Texas Bar No. 17089500 P.O. Box 61129 Houston, Texas 77208 (713) 567-9533 (713) 718-3405

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading was sent via facsimile # 281.461.6116 and first class mail, on the 25th day of August, 2009, to Darren R. Rice, Walker, Rice &Wisdom, 1020 Bay Area Blvd, Ste 220, Houston, Texas 77058.

/s/ Eleanor Robinson Gaither ELEANOR ROBINSON GAITHER Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

| STEPHEN PIERCE, | Ş |
|---------------------------|---|
| | ş |
| Plaintiff, | ş |
| | Ş |
| v. | Ş |
| | ş |
| FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, | Ş |
| | Ş |
| Defendant. | ş |
| | |

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:09-cv-00838

O R D E R

Defendant Federal Trade Commission's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED.

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Original Petition is Dismissed.

SIGNED at Houston on the ____ day of _____, 2009.

United States District Judge