UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Schering-Rough Corporation, a corporation,) Docket No. C-4268
and)
Merck & Co., Inc., a corporation.)))
)

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the Clayton Act and the Federal Trade Commission Act, and its authority thereunder, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to believe that Respondent Schieng-Plough Corportion ("Scheing-Plough"), a corporation subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and Respondent Moter & Co., hc. ("Merck"), a corporation subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission have greed to merge in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as anended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Seems 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C.

- 3. Respondent Schering-Plough is a corporation organized, existing, and doing business under and byvirtue the laws of the state offlew Jerse, with its headquarte addess at 2000 Galloping-Hill Road, Kenilworth, NewJersey 07033-1310.
- 4. Respondent Schieng-Plough is engged in, amongother things, the research, development, manacture, distribution and sale of humpharmaeutical and animal health produts.
- Fespondents are and at latimes herein havebeen, egaged in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 1 of the layton Act, as mended, 15 U.S.C. §12, and are corporations whose businessers in or affect commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the Edeal Trade Commission Act, as mended, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

II. THE PROPOSED ACQUISITION

6. Pursuant to an Argement and Plan of Meger dated March 8, 2009 (the Agreement"), Schering Plough proposes to acquer Merck and enamethe survivingentity Merck, in a transation valued at approximately \$41.1 billion (the "Acquisition"). Meak and Schering-Plough are global suppliers of human pharmaceutical and biological products, and the Aquisition would combine two of the pour animal health suppliers in the United States. Throughts joint venture with Sanofi-Aventis S.A., Merial ilmited, Merck competes with Schering Plough in anumber of US. animal health pharmaceutical and biological markets that raise competitive concerns, including the specific animal health markets identified in Paparaph 7.

III. THE RELEVANT MARKETS

- 7. For the purposes of this Complaint, the relevant markets in which to analyze the effects of the Acquisition include the manufacture and size of:
 - a. neurokinin 1 reeptoranta@nists ("NK1 receptoranta@nists") for chemotheapy-induced nauseaand vomiting (CINV") and post-operative nauseand vomiting ("PONV") in humans;
 - b. live poultry vaccines for the prevention or treatment of (1) each strain of Marek's disease; 2) each strain of infectious bronchitis; (3) Newastle disease (4) each strain of infectious bursal disease (5) reovirus; (6) fow pox; (7) coccidiosis; (8) laryngotracheitis; (9) avian encephalomyelitis; and (10) tenosynovitis;
 - c. killed poultry vaccines for the prevention or treatment of (1) each strain of infectious bronchitis; (2) Newastle disease(3) each strain of infectious bursal disease; and (4) reovirus; and

- d. cattle gonadotropins.
- 8. ForFthee

VI. EFFECTS OF THE ACQUISITION

- 15. The effects of the Aquisition, if consummated, mable to substantially essen competition and to tend to cate amonopolyin the relevant markes in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C.§ 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C.§ 45, in the following ways, among others:
 - a. by eliminating future competition between Mrek's Emend® and Schieg's rolapitant in the U.S. markfor NK1 receptor antagnists for CNV and PONV, thereby: (1) increasing the likelihood that the combined entity ould forgo or delay the launch of olapitant; and (2) increasing the likelihood that the combined entity would delayor eliminate the additional price ompetition that would have resulted from rolapitant's entry into the market;
 - b. by eliminating actual, direct, and substantial computer between Meark and Schering-Plough for the sale of each of the releva (-P)Tj 10.6800 0.0000

VII. VIOLATIONS CHARGED

- 16. The Acquisition described in Paragaph 6 constitutes a violation of Setion 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.
- 17. The Acquisition described in Paragaph 6, if consummated, would constitute a violation of Section 7 of the Clargn Act, as mended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Sector 5 of the FTC Act, as mended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

WHE REFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Federal TradeCommission on this twenty-ninth day of October, 2009, issues its Complaint against said Respondents.

By the Commission, Commissioner Har