1	WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel					
2	STACY R. PROCTER, Cal. Bar No. 221078					
4	STACY R. PROCTER, Cal. Bar No. 221078 MARICELA SEGURA, Cal. Bar No. 225999 JENNIFER M. BRENNAN, Cal. Bar No. 225473 THOMAS J. SYTA, Cal. Bar No. 116286 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700					
5						
6	Los Angeles, CA 90024 Telephone: (310) 824-4343 Facsimile: (310) 824-4380					
7	Los Angeles, CA 90024 Telephone: (310) 824-4343 Facsimile: (310) 824-4380 sprocter@ftc.gov; msegura@ftc.gov; jmbrennan@ftc.gov; tsyta@ftc.gov					
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION					
9	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
12						
13	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff,					
14	V					
15	DINAMICA FINANCIERA LLC, a California limited liability company;					
16						
17	SOLUCIONES DINAMICAS, INC., a California corporation;					
18	OFICINAS LEGALES DE ERIC- DOUGLAS JOHNSON, INC.,					
19	a California corporation;					
20	ERIC DOUGLAS JOHNSON, an individual;					
22	VALENTIN BENITEZ, an individual;					
23	an individual;					
23						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"), for its complaint alleges:

- 1. The FTC brings this action against Defendants Dinamica Financiera LLC, Soluciones Dinamicas, Inc., Oficinbegales de Eric-Douglas Johnson, Inc., Jose Mario Esquer, Valentin Benitez, Ærric Douglas Johnson (collectively, the "Defendants") under Section 13(b) the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) to obtain pimeinary and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contractsstiteution, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, and other equitable relief against Declants for engaging in deceptive acts or practices in connection with the advertigal marketing, offering for sale, and sale of mortgage foreclosure rescue services iolation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).
- 2. The FTC brings this action against Defendant Rosa Esquer (the "Fraudulent Transfer Defendant") under Federal Debt Collection Procedure Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 300åt seq., to avoid the fraudulent transfer of real property from Defendant Jose Mario Esquer te ffraudulent Transfer Defendant. The avoidance of this transfer is necessarthen interests of justice to secure funds for redress or disgorgement.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and §§ 13001.
- 4. Venue in the United States District Court for the Central District of California is proper under 15 U.S.C5§(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

5. Plaintiff FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58, as amended. The Commission is charged with alia, enforcement of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits winfor deceptive acts or practices in or

affecting commerce. The Commission is auitheent to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to emjøiolations of the FTC Act, and to

formulated, directed, controlled, orntialipated in the acts and practices of Dinamica and Soluciones, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Esquer transacts or has taated business in the Central District of California.

- 10. Defendant Valentin Benitez ("Benitez"), an individual, is a member and manager of Dinamica, is a managed supervisor of Soluciones, and is an employee of Oficinas. Benitez, actingo and or in concert with others, has formulated, directed, controlled, or piaipated in the acts and practices of Dinamica, Soluciones, and Oficinas, incoming the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Benitez transacts or transacted business in the Central District of California.
- 11. Defendan**Eric Douglas Johnson** ("Johnson"), an individual, is the President of Oficinas. Johnson, act**alg**ne or in concert with others, has formulated, direted, controlled, or partiated in the acts and practices of Oficinas, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Johnson transacts or has transacted business in **Cre**ntral District of California.

FRAUDULENT TRANSFER DEFENDANT

12. Fraudulent Transfer Defendational Esquer is the wife of Defendant Jose Mario Esquer. She is the owner of real property located in South Gate, California. Fraudulent Transfer Defermatational Esquer received real property located in South Gate, California from Dectant Jose Mario Esquer. She resides in the Central District of California.

COMMERCE

13. The acts and practices of Defendantsalleged in this Complaint, are in or affecting commerces "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

///

DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL BUSINESS PRACTICES

14. Defendants have advertised, needed, offered for sale, and sold mortgage foreclosure rescue services.

15. Defendants have advertised **amar**keted their services by various means, including via Spanish-language

One of Dinamica's magazine advectisents (translated from Spanish into English) states: Behind on your house payments? We can help, call today Consultation without commitment Dinamica Financiera, LLC "A solution to every situation" Call free 1-888-350-3344 562-923-6408 1-888-350-3344

1 2 3

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

- 29. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits unfair or deceptive acts and practicesoinaffecting commerce.
- 30. Misrepresentations or omissions moditerial fact constitute deceptive acts or practices pursuant to Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

Count 1

- 31. In numerous instances, Defendants have represented to consumers, expressly or by implication, thatefendants will obtain a mortgage loan modification or stop foreclosure in all or virtually all instances.
- 32. In truth and in fact, Defendants do not obtain a mortgage loan modification or stop foreclosure in all or virtually all instances.
- 33. Therefore, Defendants' represeitotas as set forth in Paragraph 31 are false and misleading and constitute explicitly acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL DEBT

believed that he would incur, delbtsyond his ability to pay as they became due, in violation of Section § 3304(b)(1)(B) of the FDCPA.

CONSUMER INJURY

36. Consumers have suffered and count to suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of Defendants' unlawfulsact practices. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of practices set forth in this

consumers resulting from the Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including, but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains;

- 4. Award such relief against Fraudulent Transfer Defendant Rosa Esquer as the Court finds necessary to secure funds for final relief, including an order setting aside the transfer of real property to the Fraudulent Transfer Defendant; and
- 5. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional equitable relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Dated: November 23, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

Willard K. Tom General Counsel

STACY R. PROCTER MARICEI A SEGURA