ANALY SIS OF AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDER TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT In the Matter of Roaring Fork Valley Physicians I.P.A., Inc., File No. 0610172

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final appival, an greement containing propose consent orde ("proposed order") with RoaringFork Valley Physicians I.P.A., hc., ("RFV"). The agreement settles charges by the Federal TradeCommission that RFV violated Settion 5 of the Federal TradeCommission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, by mongother things, or destrating and implementing price related agreements and corrected refusals to deal among competing physician member of RFV to maintain and raise the price at which RFV's physician member contract with payers.

The proposed orderhas bee placed on the public record for 30 days to receive comments from integeted persons. Comments enced duing this period will become partof the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw form the agreement or make the proposed order final.

The purpose of this analysis is to fadilitate public comment on the proposed lear. The analysis is notintended to constitute an ion fial interpretation of the agreement and propose orderor to modify their terms in anyway. Further, the poposed order bas ben enteed into for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the proposed respondent that it violated the law othat the fasts alleged in the complaint (other than jurisdictional fasts) are true

The Complaint

The allegations of the complaint arsummarized below.

RFV is a type of oganization commonly eferred to in the heth beameting of its approximately 85 physician

County, Colorado.

The complaint alleges that sincetaleast 2003 RFV, Ithough puporting to use a messenger mode, negotiated pricerelated terms on behalf ofts members for the purpose of increasing and maintaining the rates for sevices provided by RFV's otherwise competing physician members. RFV increasel rates by demanding that pagers include automatic annula cost of living adjustments (COAs) in their contracts. RFV held lengthy

contracting with RFV to persuade 80 percent of all RFV members and 50 percent of each RFV specialty ("80/50 rule") to acce

As defined in the proposed der, a"qualified risk-shaing joint arrangement" possesses two characteristics. First, all physician participants must share substantial financial risks through the arrangement, such that the arrangement creates incentives for the physician participants jointly to control costs and improve ditga by manaigng the provision of servies. Second, may agreement concerning reimbursement or other terms or conditions of dealing must be reasonably necessary to obtain significant efficiencies through the joint arrangement.

A "qualified clinically-integrated joint arrangement," on theother hand, need not involve any sharing of financial risk. Instead, as defined in the proposed order, physician participants must participate in alive and onging programs to evaluate and modify their clinical practice patterns in ordeto control costs and sure the quark of services providel, and the arrangement must caste ahigh degree of interdependene and coperation among physicians. As with qualified risk-shaing arrangements, any greement concerning price or other terms of dealing must be reasonably necessary to achieve the efficiency goals of the joint arrangement.

Paragraph II, for three years, requires RFV to notify the Commission before it enters into any arrangements to atcas a messager or an agent on behaf of any physicians, with pagers regarding contracts. Paragraph V sets out the information nessary to make the notification complete.

Paragaph V, for three years, require RFV to notify the Commission before participating in contracting with health plans on behalf ceither aqualified risk-shaing or a qualified clinically-integrated joint arrangement. Paragraph VI sets out the information necessary to satisfy the notification requirement.

Paragraph VII imposes other notification obliditions on RFV and equires the termination of certain contracts that were entered into illegally. Paragraph VII.A require RFV to distribute the complaint and orrdine (1) physicians who have participated in RFV since 2001; (2) to various past and current personnel of RFV; and (3) to payers with whom RFV has dealt since 2001. Paragraph VII.B requires RFV, at any payer's request and without penalty, to terminate its existing contracts with the pager for the provision of physician ser