# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS:	Jon Leibowitz, ( Wil liam E. Kova J. Thomas Rosi Edith Ramirez Julie Brill	adc	
In the Matter of		)	
AEA Inv .P. a limited partners	) nip,	)	
HHI Holding Corporation a corporation, and		) ) )	
Houghton International, I	n c.	) ) Docket No. C )	-4297

### COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the Claryn Act and the Ederal Trade Commission Act, and byvirtue of the authority vested in it bysaid Acts, the Ederal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to believe that Responde AEA Investors 2006 and, LP., Respondent HHolding Corporation and Responde Houghton International, Inc. ("Houghton"), violated Settion 7 of the Claryton Act, as mended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Sterc 5 of the Feberal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, bypurchasing D.A. Stuart Holding GmbH ("Stuart") from Wilh. Werhahn KG ("Werhahn"), and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding in respectite reof would be in the public inteste, hereby issues its Complaint, stating its charges as follows:

## I. RESPONDENTS AND JURISDICTION

## A. AEA

1.

13. Houghton is an international maracetur

#### IV. MARKET PARTICIPANTS AND CONCENTRATION

- 22. Five firms produceAHRO in North Ameirca. Two large aluminum hot milbustomers partially supplytheir own AHRO needs and three firms produceAHRO commercially. The Acquisition reduces the total number optroduces from five to four.
- 23. The Acquisition greatly increases oncentation in the releant market. Stuart and Houghton together control approximately 75% of the North American maket for AHRO.

#### V. ANTIC OMPETIT IV E EFFECTS

- 24. The proposed acquisition may substantially lessen competition in the following ways, among others:
  - a. by eliminating actual, direct and substantial complete n between Houldton and Stuart in the sale of MARO and associated termical support serices in the relevant market;
  - b. by combining the wo dominant suppliers of AHROnd associate technical support service in the United States, the resubstantially increasing concentration in the alredy concentrated maket for the sale of AHRO and associated technical support services in North Ameria;
  - c. by eliminating Stuart sathe closest substitute to Houghton Abdr RO and associate technic asupport service in North Ameria;
  - d. by increasing the likelihood that a combined Houghton and Stuart will unilaterally exercise maket powerin the sale and distribution of AHRO and associated technical support services;

each of which increases the likelihood that prise or AHRO and associated technical support services will increase above competitive levels, and that competition for the sale of AHRO and associate technical support services is likely to decrease in the relevant market.

#### VI. ENTRY CONDITIONS

25. Entry into the relevant markets is dfficult and would not belikely, timely or sufficient to remedy the anticompetitive teacts of the proposed quisition.

#### VII. VIOLATIONS

26. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-25 are repeated and realleged as though fully set forth hee.

- 27. Respondents' applisition of Stuart substantially ssened competition in the relevant markets in violation of Section 7 of the Claron Act, as an ended, 15 U.S.C. §18, and Section 5 of the FC Act, as an ended, 15 U.S.C. § 45.
- 28. The Agreement descibed in pargraph 17 onstitutes a violation of Setion 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, as amende

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Federal Trade Commission has caused this complaint to be signed by the Secretary and its official seal to be affixed hereto, at Washington, D.C., this twenty-sixth dayof August, 2010.

By the Commisison.

Donald S. Clark Secretary

SEAL