IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DIST RICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ,)
Plaintiff,)
ν.)
U.S. WORK ALLIANC E, INC.; and TYLER FRANKLIN LONG ,)
Defendants)

Civil Action File No. 1:08-cv-2053-WSD

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter is before the Court Maintiff's Federal Trade Commission's (the "FTC") Complaint for Preliminar and Permanent Injuction and Damages seeking injunctive relief against addmages from Defendes Tyler Franklin Long ("Long") and U.S. Work Alliace ("Work Alliance") [117]¹. The Court conducted evidentiary and motion hegisin this matter on June 27, 2008 (hearing on preliminary junction) an October 31, 2008 (hearing on Motions for Comtempt) (the "June and October 20@anings"). On October 12, 2010, the Court conducted a trial on the claimsested by the FTC and the defenses

¹Defendant Brenda M. Long æged to a consent order in this matter and the claims against her were resolved. [116]

advocated by Defendants. In this actitine, FTC alleges that/ork Alliance and Long engaged in deceptive/værtising and marketing of products to consumers. This is the Court's Findings of Factor Conclusions of Law following the October 12, 2010, trial on the claims in this action.

I. <u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>

Jurisdiction and Venue

This Court has subject matter juriistic pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331(a), 1337(a), and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 534(bc) 57(b). This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1). (Admitted in Defendants and Objections, ¶ 2.) Venue in the United States District Court foreth Northern District of Georgia is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (σ)da15 U.S.C. § 53(b). (Admitted in Defendants' Answers and Objections, ¶ 3.)

Parties

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commissi ("Commission"), is an agency of the United States Government. 15 U.SSS 41 et seq. The Commission is charged with enforcing Section 5(a)the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or decepteracts or practices in affecting commerce. The Commission is authorized to initiate federatestrict court proceedings, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act to securepropriate equitable lief, and to obtain consumer redress. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57(b).

Defendant Work Alliancewas a Nevada limited **ba**lity company that had its principal place of business at 5515aßipng Drive, Norcross, Georgia 30092. Work Alliance transacted business instblistrict. (Admitted in Defendants' Answers and Objections, ¶ 5.) Workkiance operated undehe name Exam Services, from January 2005 untildm2008, and under the name Testing Authority, from mid-2008 until Work Alliane ceased operations in March 2009. (Long testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p42, I. 21- p. 144, I. 4P,X 66 [Long], p. 52, I. 6 - p. 53, I. 3; PX 81[bng], p. 117, II. 1-4; p. 195, 19 - p. 196, I. 2.)

Defendant Long was the owner, presidemd ran the day-to-day operations of Work Alliance. (Long testimony [Oct. 12010], p. 142, l. 10 - p. 143, l. 7; PX 66 [Long], p. 52, l. 6 - p. 53, l. 3.)Long resided in the Northern District of Georgia when this action was file¢Admitted in Defendants' Answers and Objections, ¶ 6.)

The acts and practices of Defendantizegaed in the Complaint were in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is ided in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44. (Admitted in Defendants' Answers and Objections, ¶8.)

Defendants' Business Practices

From January 2005 until March 2000 pefendants marketed and sold

2010], p. 144, II. 2-4; admitted in Deferrida' Answers and Objections, ¶ 9.) The Study Guide was 300-pages and intendepterpare consumers to take the exam required for entry level positions with the nited States Postal Service ("USPS"). (DX 5.) Each consumer who paid the Study Guide received it in hard copy form and, after May 2008, set received a customer asset dentification number to access online certain test preptients course content, including:

a. A learning style assessment, whize as made available free of charge through an arrangement with the rth Carolina State University;

b.

packages, which were sold at \$149.45.

POST OFFICE NOW hiringAvg. pay \$20/hour or 57K annually including Fedel Benefits and OT. Paid training. Vacations. PT/FT. 1-866-XXX-XXXX USWA

(Little India magazine October 2007, Dedeen 2007, and March 2008 editions,

PX 1, pp. 33-38; PX 47.)

A local employment guide advertisement stated:

POST OFFICE NOW HIRING! Avg. Pay \$20/hou\$57K Annually Including Federal Benefits & Overtime. Placed by AdSource, not USPS who hires. 1-866-XXX-XXXX.

(The Employment Guide, publication for the Indianapis, Indiana area for the

weeks of August 11-17, 2008, and August 248-2008, PX 48, pp. 2-3, 6-7, 11-13;

similar ad in PX 83 advertisement inilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, discussed at

Salem testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 9,119-22; similar ad in PX 42 for the

Augusta, Georgia, area.)

Revised advertisements began being beginning on November 1,

2008. The revised advertisement stated:

Jobs with USPS & merchant services Avg pay \$20-30/h, \$50-60K/yr, Placed by Testing Authority, test prep materials, not affiliated w/USPS, who hires. (1-866-XXX-XXXX)

(DX 14.)

Even after the November 1, 2008, seed advertisement began to appear,

the original add language continued to published in some newspapers. For

example, the pre-revision adtisement copy was published in the eative

Loafing newspaper in Atlanta, Georgia, dugithe weeks of January 28 – February

3, 2009, February 18-22009, February 25 - Man 2, 2009, and March 4-10,

2009. (PX 77.)

On-line Advertisements

Work Alliance presented three wittes to advertise its postal test

preparation materials. (Admitted Defendants in Defendats' Answers and

Objections, ¶ 10.) These websitere www.examservices.us,

www.postalexamregistration.us, and wwwrkadliance.com.(PX 1, ¶¶ 11-12)

In June 2008, the landing page **fbe**se websites contained the following advertisement:

POST OFFICE NOW HIRING! Average Starting Pay for Post Office Jobs is \$20.00/hour. Postal Jobs Offer Full Federal Benefits, Paid Training, Vacations. No Experience Necessea Exam Services Operators Standing By 7 A.M. Until Midnight EST – 7 Days a Week

> Call Today! 1-800-XXX-XXXX

Minimum Requirements To qualify for Post Office Jobs: —Must be at least 18 years of age. —Must be a U.S. Citizeor have a Green Card.

If you have met these requirements, that's great!

You are now eligible for position with the U.S. Postal Service. Call 1-800-XXX-XXXX

(PX 1, pp. 49, 51.)

The website advertisement also cont**dithe** following text in a highlighted box:

OPEN POSITIONS: —Window Clerks —Mail Carriers —Mail Handlers —Mail Processors —Corporate Positions —Other Positions

(PX 1, pp. 49, 51.)

At the website address for Exam Sees, the following statement appeared: "Exam Services is the office that aiidsyour employment with the US Postal Service." (PX 1, p. 42.) In the Frequently Asked Questions" section of the website Defendants state [dw]e are Testing Authority, the office that helps candidates with the postal batteram." (PX 75, p. 15.)

Scripts

Consumers who called the toll-freembers listed in the newspaper, magazine, and website adtisements interacted with Defendant's call center employees. (Salem testimony [Oct. 22,10], p. 10, ll. 1-9; Rowan testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 28, ll. 3-5; Groth testimony [Oct 12, 2010], p. 29, ll. 1-6; Gibbs Testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 51, ll. 6-12 arton testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 63, II. 14-24.) Work Alliancemployed about 250 sales representatives to interact

with consumers who called the toll-freembers. These sales representatives

followed scripts written by Work AllianceTyler Long was substantially involved

in overseeing the script developme (#X 66 [Long Dep.], pp49-50, 98; PX 87

[Dartiest-Lee Depo.], p. 50, ll. 3-6; Lortgstimony [Oct. 12, 2010]. 150, ll. 5-9.)

An early script, dated June 6, 2005, insted sales representatives to say:

"We appreciate your interestind have a few questions terify your eligibility."

After asking about age, citizenship, eduon, and willingnesto take a drug

screen test, the script directed thalesperson to tell the consumer:

Wonderful! You are eligible. Let meview some information with you. Full-time employees receive full fedebenefits, retirement, paid training, and paid time off. Part-time positions are also available. Entry level positions include window clerk, macarrier, mail handler, and mail processer.

(PX 44, p. 1.) The June 6, 2005, scdptected the salesperson to mention the

need for applicants to take a postal exparior to obtaining employment with the

Postal Service. The script callfeet sales representatives to say:

There is an exam all approximates must take to work or the post office; it's called the Postal Battery Exam. Have taken this exam before? . . . The first step to getting a job with the U.Bostal Service is to take this exam, and we can help you get received over the phone today.

(<u>ld.</u>)

The script then mentionedethstudy materials offered:

It's very important that you prepare

window clerk, mail carrier, rural carriernail handler and mail processor. Now, _____, would any one of those possits be of interest to you? . . . That's great. There is an exam adpaicants must pass in order to work for the US Postal Service. It's lteed the "Postal Battery Exam." Have you taken this exam before . . . ? I thereful you get registered to take this exam in your area. It's very importayou achieve a high score, because the higher score you get, the sooner you can begin working.

(PX 69, p.2; PX 66, p. 152.) The Nomber 15, 2006, script instructed sales

representatives to say "[t]ypically youe placed in a position within 30 miles of

where you live." (Long testimony [Oct. 12010], p. 147, II. 11-15.) Other scripts

dated "Late '07-Early '08," "1st quarter 08,' and "May 2008" instructed sales

representatives to greet callers as forse "Thank you for calling about the postal

positions." (PX 70, p. 2; PX 71, p. 3; PX2, p. 4.) In these later scripts, after a

few preliminary questions, the script instred sales representatives to state:

Congratulations, you are eligible for **itios** with the US Postal Service. Full-time employees receive full**de**ral benefits, retirement, paid training, overtime and paid vacatio Entry level positions include . . . window clerk, mail carrier, rural carriernail handler, and mail processor. Now, (Mr. or Mrs.) Jones, would any opethose positions be of interest to you? . . . That's great! Thereais exam all apptiants must pass in order to work for the US Postal Serve. It's called the "Postal Battery Exam." Have you taken the scam before (Mr. old rs.) Jones? . . . I can help you get registered to take the scam in your area, and it's very important you achieve a high scorectores the higher score you get, the sooner you can begin working.

(PX 70, p. 2; PX 71, pp. 2-<u>3; s</u>eX 72, p. 4.)

The November 2008 scriptoncluded with a statement of the total price of

the study guide package and a verification mailing and payment information.

The script instructed a sales representativestate the following before the price of the Study Guide was given: "[t]hank you for ur interest in the postal positions." (PX 66, pp. 570.)

The script changed significantly innlæry 2009, after the court's October 31, 2008, contempt motion hearing in tbæsse. Beginning in January 2009, the script directed sales representatives atcess pecifically that Work Alliance was not affiliated with the USPS. When this atmage was made "threall volume declined dramatically." (PX 87 [Dartiest e Depo.], p. 59, II. 10-15.)

The January 2009 script, while requirised is representative to disclaim any relationship to the USPS, communed to instruct sales representatives to refer to the USPS and the availability of USPS jobs.r Example, the script called for sales representatives to state at the beginnoing conversation."Thank you for calling about the postal positions." (DX 1, p. 20) ther statements in the script included "Full time employees receive federal benefits retirement . . . paid training . . . and paid viateTj 14. Tc -.0014[38Xr begi4369 Tc15.)()rtiest

How Salespeople Handled the Scripts

Sales representatives were expected by the script that was in place

representatives sometimes described positilizents are available in a Post Office, the benefits available to Post Office engreses, and that typically individuals who are hired by the Post Office are employing thin 30 miles of the employee's home. (PX 82 [O'Leary testimony], p. 70, l. 16 - p. 71, l. 3.)

Some sales representatives told consum the called that they were more likely to be successful in passing the pbetamination if they used Defendant's test materials while others said that materials would give them a real advantage. (PX 53, ¶¶ 3-8; PX 54,3¶§.) Some sales representatives told consumers that jobs were available the USPS in the cal areas where Defendants' customers lived. (Salemitestny [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 10, ll. 5-13; Rowan testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 28, I. -16. 29, I. 1; Gibbs testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 55, ll. 16-22; Barton testimo[Oct. 12, 2010], p. 64, ll. 7-14; PX 87 [Dartiest-Lee], p. 9, II. 3-5.) Work Alliace's website main page stated: "The USPS has over 750,000 employees is growing every day with an average of 1000 entry level job openingeach week." (PX 75, p.for Testing Authority in 2008.) The www.postalexamregistrationwesbsite stated: "Now Hiring 2008 Post Office Jobs . . . If you met these *ieen*ments (age and citizenship status), that's great! You are now eligible for **ptbs**ns with the U.S. Post Office and we can help you with the next step(PX 1, pp. 84, 88, 91, 92.)

Some positions at the Post Office wereailable during the time period that

Defendants were operating, but they even even and they are the second se

including rural carrier associates. (Gantersitimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 92, I. 11 -

p. 93, I. 13.) A rural carrier associats a part-time position with a very high

turnover rate. (Gandhi testimony [Ott2, 2010], p. 106, l. 11 - p. 107, l. 13.)

Work Alliance's employee trainingmaterials identify the following

representations to consumers as outfrense for which an employee may be

terminated:

Never guarantee there will be positize available in their area Never guarantee there are splic positions available Never guarantee they will get a spieciscore using our study guide (for example 90%) Never say or insinuate that we are traffiliated with the post office Never say "we do the hing" or recruitment for the postal positions Never act as if you are a postal employee Never portray yourself as if youæaffiliated with the post office Never guarantee a customer they il make a specific amount of money, we only state an average Never guarantee someone a job or a position Never give any guarantees the cannot uphold legitimately Never say or indicate that our retration fee is like a deposit down for possible employment or for the exam; never suggest that it is a deposit for anything.

(DX 27, p. 21.) There was little evidentheat employees were disciplined for

violations of this policy.

Charges and Refunds

Defendants charged a fee for their **tabs** xam study guide materials of between \$129.45 and \$149.4(5P:X 80, Stipulated Fact No. 6; Salem testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 12, II. 6-15; Rowatestimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 30, II. 1-6; Groth testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 39, I.; **1**0 42, II. 1-4; Barton testimony [Oct. testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 42, II. **2234**.) Numerous other consumers found Work Alliance products and services help(PX 81, p. 184, I. 9 – p. 186, I. 14) and gained employment with the USP(SPX 81, p. 189]. 15-23.)

Work Alliance received less than otheousand formal consumer complaints through the Better Business Bureand a Federal Trade Commission. (PX 81, p.105, II. 7-21.) Approximately 5% to 7% the inbound calls to Work Alliance's sales representatives included or concenter complaints. (PX 81, p. 225, I. 21 – p. 227, I. 4.)

Work Alliance frequently

one and one half percent (1.5%) of destinations received refunds by initiating "charge-backs" through the biredit card companies. (Jop. 188, I. 19 – p. 189, I. 10.) A total of approximately six and ohelf percent (6.5%) of Work Alliance's customers received a refund. (ad. p. 189, II. 8-10.)

various changes it including to complytwithe Temporary Restraining Order.

(PX 63, Monitor's Initia Report, p. 6.)

Work Alliance modified its policise and practices in response to the

concerns raised by the Court in thus d 27, 2008, hearing and the Temporary

Restraining Order. For example, WkoAlliance's website main page,

www.workalliance.comwas changed to include ædlaimer link in a font

approximately twice the size of the preisting link font size, and it was bolded,

italicized and underlined to drathe consumer's attention. (Job. 9-10.) The

disclaimer stated:

Testing Authority is neither affilized with nor endorsed by the United States Postal Service or any goveenntnagency. Our material has been designed to assist individuals tottee prepare for the Postal Battery Exam (Exams 460 and 473). TestiAuthority does not guarantee a particular score on the exam nozam we control when the USPS will offer the exam in your local area or evhjobs will be available in your local area.

(DX 8.) The above refenced disclaimer also statedD .00nstal Battery renced discla 8

with a Work Alliance sales representætiwas by calling an &Onumber. (Docket No. 49, Plott Affidavit, ¶7.) Beginnig in January 2009, Work Alliance ensured that, upon calling one of Work Alliancetell-free telephone numbers and before speaking to any of Work Alliance's sales representatives, each consumer first heard a recorded disclaimer stating the operators are well-informed and able to guide callers, they are not autbed to make hiring decisions and are not employees or agents of the U.S. PoSterivice, which makeso endorsement of any third party preparation services." (IBX 82, p. 71, II.16-23.) They further were advised that sales representatives or guarantee the availability of any specific USPS positions in any giværea at any given time. (PX 82, p. 100, II. 12-16.)

Work Alliance also modified its best scripts. (Docket No. 49, Plott Affidavit, ¶10.) If sales representativesere asked by approximation whether the company was affiliated withworking for or on behalf of, or otherwise endorsed by the USPS, the sales repretentive was instructed to respond: "We are Testing Authority, a for profit company, and anet affiliated in any way with the USPS." (Id.)

Work Alliance also removed from issales script allanguage suggesting that, if the consumer use/dork Alliance's materialsthe consumer would improve

consider established public policies exidence to be considered with all other evidence. Such public policonsiderations may not serve as a primary basis for such determation. (Emphasis Added.)

"An act or practice is*unfair* under Section 5 [of the FTC Act] if it results in substantial consumer injury that is **mea**sonably avoidable and is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumer to competition."<u>FTC v. Windwa</u>rd <u>Mktg. Ltd.</u>, No. 1:96-cv-615F, 1997 WL 33642380, at *10 (N.D. Ga., Sept 30, 1997).

To establish that the Defendants englaigedeceptive acts and practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Adthe Commission must depostrate that the Defendants made: (1) a repentation; (2) that is likely to mislead consumers acting reasonably under the circumstances; and (3) that the representation was material. <u>FTC v. Tashma</u> 818 F. 3d 1273, 1277 (11th Cir. 200<u>3</u>); <u>FTC v. Nat'l Urological Group, Inc</u>.645 F. Supp.2d 1167,188 (N.D. Ga. 2008)*aff'd*, 356 Fed.Appx. 358 (11th Cir. 2009).

"An act or practice is *leceptive* under Section 5 [of the FTC Act] if it involves a material representation or **senio** that is likely to mislead consumers acting reasonably under the **ciro**stances."<u>Windward Mkt</u>g1997 WL 33642380 at *9. "A representation or **censi** is material if it is of the kind usually relied on by a reasonably prudent person." Id.

"A claim is considered material if intvolves information that is important to consumers and, hence, **[iik]**ely to affect their choicef, or conduct regarding, a product." <u>Nat'l Urological Group</u>645 F. Supp. 2d at 119@uloting FTC v. QT,

leave accurate impressio<u>Removatron Int'l Corp. v. FT</u>0884 F.2d 1489, 1497 (1st Cir. 1989). The FTC can prove **da** im though a small number of injured consumers. <u>Sefeiggie Int'l</u>, 994 F.2d at 605-06. From this small sample, a court can infer a pattern or practice deceptive behaviour. <u>Sefer v. Sec. Rare Co</u>in <u>& Bullion Corp.</u>, 931 F.2d 1312, 1316 (8th Cir. 1991).

Ultimately, it is for the Court, not the TC, to determine whether a violation of the FTC Act has occurred <u>ETC v. Colgate-Palmolive C</u>,0380 U.S. 374, 385 (1965). "[W]hile informed judical determination is dependent upon enlightenment gained from administrative experience, in the analysis the words 'deceptive practices' set forth a legalized and they must get their final meaning from a judicial construction." Left 385.

The Court finds that the Commissibas demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that Defidants made misleading claimbat (a) Defendants were connected with, affiliated inth, or endorsed by the USPS; (b) postal positions were currently available in the geographiceas where Defendants' advertisements appeared; (c) consumers where Defendants' materializere more likely to pass the postal exam than consumers whore befendants' materializerials; and (d) consumers who received a passingrecon the postal exam were assured employment with the USPS.

The Court also finds that the Coonission has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that Defetted anisrepresentations were material within the meaning of Section 5 of the FTC Act.

While the Court finds that Defendants ade material misleading statements to consumers causing some consumerpurchase Work Alliances' test preparation materials, the Court finds funct that the facts do not support that all purchasers were misled. That is, **Crosurt** finds the FTC has not presented B. Liability of Tyler Long Individually

To find Defendant Long individually able under Section 5 of the FTC Act and subject to an award of damages a **junitive** relief, the FTC must show that Long individually participated directly it practices or acts or had authority to control Work Alliance's conduct that control to the Section 5(a) violations. FTC <u>v. World Media Broker</u> 415 F. 3d 758, 764 (7th Cir. 2005).

The FTC is not required to show that ng intended to deceive consumers but only that he had actuknowledge of materiathis representations, was recklessly indifferent to the truth or fit sof a misrepresentation, or had an awareness of deceit along with intentional avoidance the truth. <u>FTC v. Amy</u> <u>Travel Serv., Inc.875 F.2d 564, 574 (7th Cir. 1989) *uoting* FTC v. Kitco of Nevada, Inc.612 F. Supp. 1282, 1292 (D. Minn. 1985)).</u>

The Court finds that the Commissibas demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that Long is individualligable for injunctive and monetary relief because he directly participated in **threes** and practices of Work Alliance which give rise to its violations of Section of the FTC Act and had authority to control Work Alliance acts and practes. Long had, at least, sufficient involvement in the Work Alliance conduct to establish the greesite knowledge for personal liability,

displaying actual knowledge, of eckless indifference to the truth of, or awareness of Work Alliance's acts and practices with violating Section 5 of the FTC Act.

C. Consumer Injury

The Eleventh Circuit has held thathet full amount lost by consumers is an appropriate award of damages<u>FTC v. Global Mktg. Grp., In</u>c594 F. Supp. 2d 1281, 1290 (M.D. Fla. 2008) iting <u>FTC v. Gem Merch. Corp</u>87 F.3d 466, 470 (11th Cir. 1996)); see als<u>FTC v. Stefanchi</u>k559 F.3d 924, 931(9th Cir. 2009) (FTC Act designed to protect consumerstriceconomic injuries and, award of full amount lost by consumers in appropriate measure).

The measure of damages that is **appi**ate to award in this case is complicated because of the confusing **i** an consistent testimony of consumers offered by the FTC at theude and October hearingsthmis matter and in light of the small percentage of customers whon plained about the materials or what they were told when the purchased them. The Court has reviewed the testimony of each of the consumers who presented dence in this case. The Court finds, based on the testimony of the few consumine testified that there is a thin foundation upon which to evaluate damagescoto sumers. The stimony was, at

⁶ The Defendants Tyler Long and Work Allice are jointly and severally liable for the consumer injury because both webirectly responsible for the acts and practices that violated the FTC Adf.TC v. Direct Mkg. Concepts, Inc648 F. Supp. 2d 202, 221 (D. Mass. 2009); d, 624 F.3d 1, 17 (1st Cir. 2010)at'l Urological Group 645 F. Supp. 2d at 1212–14; FTC v. Slimameri777aF. Supp. 2d 1263, 1276 (S.D. Fla. 1999).

best, mixed. Most of the witnesses **page** removies of the ad they read, their reaction to or interpretation of the advisements and what was communicated to them. (Gibbs testimony [Oct. 12, 2010],50, I. 23 – p. 51, I. 5; Groth testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 37, ll. 12-18.) Othersuld not recall what they were told on the phone during conversations with Worlkiance sales representatives. (Rowan testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 32, l. 19-33, l. 13; Groth testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 39, ll. 15-25; p. 45, ll. 9-22.) Stithers admitted they were not misled. (Groth testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 39, 11-9; p. 44, II. 12-16; Gibbs testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 51, l. 16 – p. 52,1B; Barton testimony [Oct. 12, 2010], p. 73, II. 5-23.) As a result, the Court is unaltoconclude, on the record presented, that all of the persons who read Work Alliance advertisements engaged its representatives by telephone and boudent dest preparation materials were deceived or suffered damage. The Coures out that of the 11 million people who called Work Alliance to discuss the paration materials only 830,000, or seven and one-half percent (7.5%) purchalsed material offered. Of those who purchased the materials only approximate by the percent (8%) requested a refund of which most (six and one half percent5%) of all who purchased the materials) were given a refund. This data, when trasted with the equivocal and apocryphal consumer testimon ffered by the FTC, is nonsistent and requires the Court to conclude that the FTC has protved damages in the amount claimed. The Court does conclude, betseen its review of the record that, at most, one and one half percent (1.5%) of those who charsed the materials were mislead into buying them, for a total of 12,450 custome is materials were purchased on the average for \$130.17 per orderAccordingly, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence, that course damages resulting from feedbact Section 5(a) violations is in the amount of \$1,620,616.50.

D. Injunctive Relief

Section 13(b) requires "propproof" before injunctive relief is afforded. The FTC is required to show that (1) **the**fendant is violating the law; and, (2) that an injunction would be in the public interest. Seep FTC v. H.N. Singer, Inc., 668 F.2d 1107, 1110-11 (9th Cir. 198<u>2); U.S. v. JS&A Grp.</u>, Mt6 F.2d 451, 455-56 (7th Cir. 1983). "The purpostean injunction is to prevent future violations." <u>U.S. v. W.T. Grant C</u>o345 U.S. 629, 633 (1953). The FTC must satisfy the Court that relief is needed. Id. the Court. The grant of permanent inj**time** power is intended to give the Court broad equitable authority to "grant a

and the promise Defendants claimed tbffgred. Defendants employed an

that is most appropriate and effecttoe address Defendant's conduct will focus on call center marketing of training services.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the reason set forth in this Ordend the Court having found that Defendant Work Alliance an Defendant Long violated Steen 5(c) of the Federal Trade Act causing damage to some summers, the following judgment and injunctive relief are entered in this case: deposited to the Treasury as disgorgem Determination of the right to challenge the FTC's choice of medies under this Paragraph.

B. Defendants shall cooperately with Plaintiff and its agents in all attempts to collect the amount due purstanthis Paragraph if the Defendants fail to pay fully the amount due within sixty (60) ays of the entry of this Order. In such event, Defendants agree to provide infilf with their federal and state tax returns for the preceding two years dato complete stadard-form financial disclosure forms fully and acrately within ten (10) business days of receiving a request from the FTC.

C. In accordance with 31 U.S.C. § 7701, the Defendants are hereby required, unless they have domealready, to furnish to Plaintiff their taxpayer identifying numbers (social security nbers or employer identification numbers) which shall be used for purposes of lecting and reporting nany delinquent amount arising out of the Defendants ationships with the government.

INJUNCTION

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, whether acting directly or through any other person, comption, partnership, subsidiary, division, or agent, are permanently restrained a

or electronic and internet-based materited sperson or entities for use in seeking or applying for employment with any invate, public, government or quasigovernmental entity in other than a superviseory position. For the purposes of this Order the phrase "in other than a superviseors stion" shall mean a position that is paid an hourly wage or in which **em**ployee supervises 20 or fewer people.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all other **pessor** entities in active concert or participation with them who

e. The performance and results possible the ved using the materials, services or aids.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, deall other persons or entistic in active concert or participation with them, two receive actual notice of ish Order by personal service or otherwise, are hereby resisted and enjoined from, eight directly or indirectly:

- a. Disclosing, using, or benefitting froe customer information, including the name, address, telephone number all address, social security number, other identifying information any data that enables access to a customer's account (including eclit card, bank account, or other financial account), of any person whiDefendant obtained prior to entry of this Order in connecting with the lvertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of those oducts and services declared in the Complaint filed in this action (the "Customer Information");
- b. Failing within thirty (30) calendar of a after entry of this Order to dispose of the Customer Information in all forms in their possession, custody, or control. Disposal shale by means that protect against unauthorized or inadvertent accessible Customer Information, such as by physical destruction any papears, d by erasing or destroying any electronic media such that it cannot be provided as the customer Information.

that, on the date scheduled fost trection has been requested by a government agency or required by laregulation, or court order to be disclosed is not subject to the destron requirement in this Paragraph.

COMPLIANCE MONITORING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, the Commission is authorized to use all other lawful means, including but not limited to:

- 1. Obtaining discovery from ny person using the procedures prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 313, 34, 36, 45, and 69;
- Having its representatives pose as consumers of Defendants, their employees, or any other entity managed ontrolled in whole or in part by any Defendants, without the necessity dentification or prior notice; and;
- 3. Defendants shall permit representatives the FTC, upon at least ten (10) calendar days notice, to interview yaemployer, consistant, independent contractor, representative, agenteor ployee who has agreed to such an interview, relating in anyway to any conduct subject to this Order. The person interviewed mary ave counsel presented counsel for the Defendants may be present.

This Order shall not limit the Commission's lawful use of compulsory process, pursuant to Sections 9 and 200 effFTC Act, 15 U.SC. §§ 49, 57b-1, to obtain any documentary material, tangiblings, testimony, or information relevant to unfair or deceptive acts or actions in or affecting commerce (within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1)).

COMPLIANCE REPORTING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in order that compliance with the provisions of this Order may be monitored:

- A. For a period of three (3) years from the date of entry of this Order,
 - 1. Defendants shall notify the FTC of the following:
 - a. any changes innog Defendants' redience or business
 offices, mailing addresses, datelephone numbers, within ten (10) days of the date of such change;
 - b. any changes in Long's employment status (including selfemployment), and any changeLiong's ownership interest in any business entity, withinrte(10) days of the date of such change. Such notiseeall include the name and address of each business withich Long is affiliated, employed by, creates or formos, performs services for, and

a detailed description ofdng's duties and responsibilities in connection with the business or employment; and

- c. any changes in Long's name use of any aliases or fictitious names within ten (10) ays of the date of such change.
- B. One hundred eighty (180) days aftee thate of entry of this Order and thereafter on January 250,12 and 2013, and 2014, Defendants shall provide a written report to the C, which is true and accurate and sworn to under penalty of puerty, setting forth the manner and form in which they have complied are complying with this Order. This report shall include, but not be limited to:
 - Defendants' then-current residemaddresses, initiag addresses, and telephone numbers;
 - 2. Long's then-current employment status (including selfemployment), including the mae, addresses, and telephone number of each business thaddividual Defendant is affiliated with, employed by, or performs secres for; a detailed description of the nature of the businessend a detailed description of Individual Defendant's dutiesnal responsibilities in connection with the business or employment;

3. Any other changes required to temported under Subsection A of this Section;

4.

RECORD KEEPING PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of three (3) years from the date of entry of this Order, Defendarftor, any business that engaged in the business of advertising, marketing, promoti

subject matter of the Ordemd (3) any business entity resulting from any change in structure **set**th in Subsection A.2 of the Section title "Compliance Reporting." For current personnel, delivery shall be within five (5) ays of service of this Order upon Defendants. For new personnelliveery shall occur prior to them assuming their responsibilities for any business entity resulting from any change in structure **set**th in Subsection A.2 of the Section title "Compliance Reporting felivery shall be at least ten (10) days prior to the change in structure.

B. For any business where a Defendamentot a controlling person of a business but otherwise engagesconduct related to the subject matter of this Order, Dendants must deliver a copy of this Order to all principals and managers of subbasiness before engaging in such conduct;

C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each Defendanktithin five (5) business

days of receipt of this Order astered by the Court, must submit to the

Commission a truthful sworn statem and nowledging receipt of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 9th day of February, 2011.

