Office of the Secretary

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

March 2, 2011

SatyendraPandey
Outside the United States

Re: In the Matter of Twitter, Inc., File No. 092 3093, Detector and the Federal Trade Commission

the above ntitled proceding The Commission has placedur comment on the public cord pursuant to Rule 4.9(bb)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice 6 C.F.R. § 4.9(bb)(ii), and has igen it serious considerion.

Your comment expresses concern with Twitter's privacy and data security practices, particularly as they may have affected the nonpublic information assocted with your Twitter account. Your comment suggests that companies should bequired to maintain client and user information in strict confidentiality. In addition, your comment suggests that the consteorder should impose penalties on Twitter, such that seems they imposed by a federal court order on the defendant in the Commission's lawsuit argst Pricewert LLC, doing business as Naffnet ("Pricewert").

In response, the Commission notes that it has adopted aflexible approach to data security, taking into account the nature of the business and the sensitivity of the information it handles in detenining whether its security measure are reasonable. Hee, the Commission's assessment of the factors, along with the security measure. Twitter implemented, formed the basis for its belief that Twitter deceived its customers by failing to honor its promise to maintain reasonable security for the information it collected. The ommission recognizes, however, that there cannot be prefect security and that data reaches an occur even when acompany takes reasonable preautions to prevent them.

The Commission also next that it cæfully considers avariety of factors in deternining the relief appropriate to address he challenged conduct in a particular case. In its suit against Pricewert, the Commission determined, and theorurt agreed, that liquidation of Pricewers assets and disaggement of ill-gotten gains were warranted in light of Pricewert's illegal activities, which include distribution of child pornography and othermalicious content. The Commission did not determine that such relief would be appropriate in the Twitter proceeding, however, and believes that the reaedies confined in the consist order — including implementing a comprehensive information security program and obtaining independent assessments of its

¹ FTC v. Pricewert LLC, 2010 WL2105614 (N.D. Cal. Apr8, 2010) (oder for permanent injunction and monetyajudgment), available at http://www.ftc.gov/os/caselist/0923148/100408pricetweederapp.pdf

effectiveness every other yearfor ten years – should address Twitterchallenged conductand ensureappropriate protections for consumers. This reliefs consistent with relief the Commission has obtained in similar casevolving the secrity of consumerinformation. The Commission notes that, should Twettviolatethe orde, it would be subject to monetar penalties of up to \$16,000 periolation, pursuant to Section 5(I) of the C Act.

In light of these considerations, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be seed by issuing the Decision and Ordein final form without anymodifications. The final Decision and Order and other relevant materials are available from the Commission's website at http://www.ftc.gov. It helps the Commission's analysis to hear form a valuety of sources in its work, and it thanks you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secretary