Office of the Secretary

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

March 2, 2011

Alex Radocea State of New York

Re: In the Matter of Twitter, Inc., File No. 092 3093, Docket No. C-4316

ocgor

Thank you for your comment on the Federal Trade Commission's consent agreement in the above-entitled proceeding. The Commission has placed your comment on the public record pursuant to Rule 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 4.9(b)(6)(ii), and has given it serious consideration.

Your comment raises several concerns about the proposed agreement. First, your comment questions the Commission's allegation that Twitter engaged in deceptive acts or practices in violation of the law, noting that Twitter "made no claims to be perfectly secure," had a security policy in place concerning administrative access at the time hackers gained administrative control of its system, and publicly disclosed the breaches shortly after they occurred. In response, the Commission notes that its complaint is based on Twitter's representation that it used reasonable and appropriate measures to prevent unauthorized access to nonpublic user information and honor the privacy choices exercised by users. The Commission does not allege that Twitter claimed perfect security for users' information. Although Twitter claimed perfect security for users' information. Although Twitter claimed perfect security administrative control of its system, the complaint leliclica alleges that the policy as a whole failed to provide the reasonable and appropriate security (1996) and 1996 (1996).

information that it designates as nonpublic. In addition to email addresses for each user, nonpublic information maintained by Twitter includes users' IP addresses, mobile telephone numbers, and large amounts of user-generated content that users themselves have designated as "private." Significantly for purposes of this action, Twitter promised consumers that it would take reasonable steps to protect their nonpublic information, but the Commission believes that it failed to do so. Accordingly, the Commission's action here is clearly warranted.

Although the portion of your comment concerning social networking platforms other than Twitter are beyond the scope of the Commission's complaint and order in this case, the Commission notes that it will remain vigilant in pursuing enforcement against companies engaged in deceptive or unfair conduct relating to consumer privacy.

In light of these considerations, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by issuing the Decision and Order in final form without any modifications. 0.000000 0.0 Fig.