UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



In the Matter of)))))
NORTH CAROLINA BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,))
Respondent.)

PUBLIC

Docket No. 9343

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S EXPEDITED MOTION TO PLACE IN CAMERA TESTIMONY ON THE PUBLIC RECORD

Until Brian Runsick testified on the Sday of the trial, certain testimony relating to Dr. Tilley's examination of Mr. Runsick was takencamera. While on the stand, Mr. Runsick affirmatively testified that he wanted this information in the public record. Complaint Counsel has consulted with Respondent's Counsel, and represents that Respondent's Counsel do not object to this motion. Respondent's assent is particularly noteworthy here because the initial cameradesignation was made at Respondent's Counsel's request. Consequently, Complaint Counsel respectfully request that the in camera designation be removed from portions of the testimony of Drs. Giniger and Tilley.

The testimony at issue was granted in camera treatment because it related to a medical examination of Mr. Runsick and because Respondent's Counsel had not been able to reach Mr. Runsick to ascertain whether he would waive confidentiality regarding such inform**Stee**n. e.g., Giniger, Tr. 265:25-266:03. As a result, the following portions of the trial transcript were heard in camera:

 Dr. Giniger:
 Giniger, Tr. 266:16 to 279:22, and 470:07 to 501:25; and

 Dr. Tilley:
 Tilley, Tr. 2008:02 to 2049:21, and 2071:15 to 2099:15.

 Thein camera

the need to file camera versions of post-trial submissions, followed by public versions,

followed by revised public versions.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Richard B. Dagen Richard B. Dagen William L. Lanning Laurel A. Price Counsel Supporting Complaint Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2628

Dated: April 1, 2011

EXHIBIT 1



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

Bureau of Competition

December 20 2010

Via Federal Express

Brian Keith Runsick 2501 South Ocean Drive Penthouse 36 Hollywood, FL 33019

> RE: Re: In the Matter of North Carolina [State] Board of Dental Examiners, Federal Trade Commission Docket No. 9343

Dear Mr. Runsick:

By this letter we are providing formal notice, pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C F P. 8.2.45(b), that Commission Commission for the formation of the Commission of Practice and the formation of the Commission of Practice and the formation of the Commission of the Co

ţ	
1 .	
2 #	

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (202) 326-3361.

Sincerely,

William dan

William Lanning Counsel Supporting the Complaint

cc: Richard B. Dagen Melissa Westman-Cherry

Attachment A

In the Matter of NORTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS, Docket No. 9343 List of Brian Runsick Documents Marked As Exhibits by Complaint Counsel

Texhibit Nd.	Batestande		U . MHU	x = Descinting, Carter	1 2, 8 8	83M
ш						
		•				

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

))

In the Matter of

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 1, 2011, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113 Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I delivered via electronic mail and hand delivery a copy of the foregoing document to:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I further certify that I delivered via electronic mail a copy of the foregoing document to:

Noel Allen Allen & Pinnix, P.A. 333 Fayetteville Street Suite 1200 Raleigh, NC 27602 nla@Allen-Pinnix.com

Counsel for Respondent North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners

CERTIFICATE FOR ELECTRONIC FILING

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed document that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

April 1, 2011

By: <u>s/ Richard B. Dagen</u> Richard B. Dagen