

C. Temporarily restraining and enjoining Defendants from destroying or concealing documents;

D. Requiring Defendants to complete financial statements and provide a detailed accounting;

E. Granting Plaintiff leave for expedited discovery; and

F. Requiring Defendants to show cause why this Court should not issue a preliminary injunction.

² See, e.g., *FTC v. Central Coast Nutraceuticals, Inc.*, 10 C 4931 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 5, 2010) (Norgle, J.) (entering *ex parte* TRO for false claims regarding acai berry supplements); *FTC v. Atkinson*, 08 C 5666 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 6, 2008) (Kendall, J.) (*ex parte* TRO and asset freeze for violations of FTC Act involving deceptive sale of pharmaceuticals and dietary supplements); *FTC v. Spear Systems, Inc.*, 07 C 5597 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 5, 2007) (Andersen, J.) (*ex parte* TRO and asset freeze for violations of FTC Act involving sale of dietary supplement); *FTC v. Sili Nutraceuticals, LLC*, 07 C 4541 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 13, 2007) (Kennelly, J.) (same); *FTC v. Harry*, 04 C 4790 (N.D. Ill. July 27, 2004) (Manning, J.) (same); *FTC v. AVS Marketing, Inc.*, 04 C 6915 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 27, 2004) (Moran, J.) (*ex parte* TRO and asset freeze for deceptive marketing of “Himalayan Diet” supplements).

with the advertising of acai berry weight loss products on websites crafted to look like legitimate