

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**COMMISSIONERS:**      **Jon Leibowitz, Chairman**  
                                 **William E. Kovacic**  
                                 **J. Thomas Rosch**  
                                 **Edith Ramirez**  
                                 **Julie Brill**

**In the Matter of**

**THE NORTH CAROLINA BOARD OF  
DENTAL EXAMINERS**

**Docket No. 9343**

**ORDER ON RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Respondent North Carolina Board of Dental Examiners has filed a Motion for Extension of Time, in which it requests an additional two weeks to file its appeal brief to the Commission. Complaint Counsel do not oppose the motion so long as Complaint Counsel receives a comparable extension to file its answering brief. For the reasons described below, the Commission grants the parties an additional ten days to file their respective appeal and answering briefs.

Commission Rule 3.52(b), 16 C.F.R. § 3.52, gives parties thirty days from service of the Initial Decision to file an appeal brief to the Commission. The time periods prescribed by the Commission Rules of Practice ordinarily should afford parties to FTC proceedings sufficient time to file pleadings and briefs of sufficient quality and detail to aid in the preparation of Commission opinions and orders. Absent a Commission order granting an extension of time to the parties in this case, Respondent’s appeal brief would be due on August 15, 2011.

Respondent has requested that its time to file an appeal brief be extended two weeks and for Complaint Counsel’s time to file an answering brief likewise be extended an additional two weeks. Respondent seeks additional time because “Respondent’s Counsel moved to new office space on July 22, 2011. . . . Respondent’s Counsel and staff have necessarily been engaged in packing and unpacking activity, and as a consequence have not been able to devote the full amount of time contemplated by the FTC’s rules as being adequate fo

