## Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment In the Matter of CVS Caremark Corporation, File No. 1123210

The Federal Trade Commission has acceptedject to final approval, a consent agreement from CVS Caremark Corporation ("CVSC").

The proposed consent order has been planethe public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interest

Section I of the proposed order prohith SC from misrepresseing the price or cost of Medicare Part D presiption drugs, or other prices costs associated with Medicare Part D prescription drug plans.

Section II of the proposed order requires \$300, within five (5) days of the date the order becomes final, to pay the Commission \$5 million for consumer redress and administrative costs. This provision spiess that the Commission may apply any remaining funds after redress is completed for other equitable line as it determines to be reasonably related 600/SC's practices alleged in the complaint. Any remaining funds not used for such equitable relief shell deposited into the United States Treasury as disgorgement. Section III of the proposition sometimes consumer redress.

Sections IV through VIII of the proposed are reporting and compliance provisions. Section IV requestions CVSC to retain documents relating to its compliance with the order for a five (5) year periossection V requires dissemination of the order now and in the future to all current and future besidiaries, current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers, and tospes with responsibiles relating to the subject matter of the ordert also requires CVSC to secua signed and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the order from pathrsons who receive a copy of the order pursuant to Section V. Section VI ensumestification to the Commission of changes in corporate status. Section VII mandatest COVSC submit a compliance report to the Commission within sixty (60) days, and pericoally thereafter as requested. Section VIII is a provision "sunsetting" theorem after twenty (20) years, the certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to fataite public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official terpretation of the coplaint or the proposed order, or to modify the proped order's terms in any way.