UNITED STATES OFAMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580



Office of the Secretary

May 3, 2012

The Honoable Ivin B. Nathan Attomey General for the District of Columbia

> Re: In the Matter of CVS Caremark Corporation File No. 112 3210, Dockelo. C-4357

Dear AttorneyGeneral Nathan:

Thank you for your comment on the Federal Trade Commission's consent agreement in the above ntitled proceeding. The Commission has placeduy comment on the public cord pursuant to rule 4.9)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Ruels of Pratice, 16 C.R. § 4.9(b)(6)(ii), and has igren it serious considetion.

We appreiate your support of the FC's investigation and proposedorsent order resolving the Commission's complaint against CVS Garemark ("CVSC"). We value gur input and look forward to patnering with you in the future onneforcement and policy natters affecting consumers and competition. Your comment recommends that the Commission revise the proposed on sent order to require CVSC to post on its website information about diffet reimbursement rates to pharmacies and that in the event of a fu

¹ Plan Finder is a web-based tool where beneficiaries can shop for a Medicare Part D drugplan by looking up plan breefits and dug costs.

The Commission's proposed orderohibits CVSC from misrepreentingthe priceor cost of MediarePart D precription drug, or other pices or osts associated with Mediare Part D prescription drug plans It also requires CVSC topay \$5 million in consumer redress, to be distributed to Medicare Part D beneficiaries affected by CVSC's conduct. The order also includes provisions that require CVSC tokeep records relating to the challenged conduct, including its pricing; to report to the Commission; and to enable the Commission to moritor its compliance with the order

We believe the porposed constered order appropriately resolves the Commission's complaint against CVSC provides refress to affected consumer, and should dete VSC from repeating the misconduct that agree is to the FTC's complaint. It is our belief that additional disclosures are not neessary because the propose border provision prohibiting misrepresentations by CVSC and the ability oseek is proposed or derived by the extent that VCSC and/or anyof its subsidiaries, including RxAmerica, violates the trens of the Commission's final order, suc as by misrepresenting the price or cost of Medicare Part D prescription drugs, it would be liable for civil monetary penalties of up to \$16,000 periolation, pursuant to Section 5(I) of the C Act. After carefully examining the fats and incumstance of this case, the commission believes that the proposed order provides comp (e)Tj 5.2800 0.0D (penaltion 0.0D (penaltion 0.0D 1) D2 196207 200000 GED (incumstance) of the commission of the proposed order provides comp (e)Tj 5.2800 0.0D (penaltion 0.0D 1)