## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

| COMMISSIONERS:          | Jon Leibowitz, Chairman<br>J. Thomas Rosch<br>Edith Ramirez<br>Julie Brill<br>Maureen K. Ohlhausen |   |            |
|-------------------------|--|---|------------|
|                         |  | ) |            |
| In the Matter of        |  | ) |            |
|                         |  | ) |            |
| Koninklijke Ahold N.V., |  | ) |            |
| a corporat              | ion,   | ) |            |
| _                       |  | ) | Docket No. |
| and                     |  | ) |            |
|                         |  | ) |            |
| Safeway Inc.,           |  | ) |            |
| a corporat              | ion.   | ) |            |
|                         |  | ) |            |

## **COMPLAINT**

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Clayton Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to believe that Respondent Koninklijke Ahold N.V. ("Ahold"), a corporation, and Respondent Safeway Inc. ("Safeway"), a corporation, all subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, entered into an agreement, in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, pursuant to which Ahold acquired certain assets of Safeway, in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and it am

| 11. Supermarkets provide a distinct set of products and services and offer consumers convenient one-stop shopping for food and grocery products. Superm |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |

acquisition HHI in the relevant geographic market is 5,017 when measured by total square footage and 5,000 when measured by revenues. The acquisition would increase HHI levels by 1,373 points for square footage and by 1,221 points for revenues. These market concentration levels give rise to a presumption that the acquisition is unlawful in the Newtown, Pennsylvania, geographic market.

18. The acquisition reduces the number of supermarket competitors in the relevant geographic market from three to two.

## VII. ENTRY CONDITIONS

19. Entry into the relevant market would not be timely, likely, or sufficient in magnitude to prevent or deter the likely anticompetitive effects of the acquisition. Significant entry barriers include the time and costs associated with conducting necessary market research, selecting an appropriate location for the supermarket, obtaining necessary permits and approvals, constructing a new supermarket or converting an existing structure to a supermarket, and generating sufficient sales to have a meaningful impact on the market.

| WHEREFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Federal Traday of, 2012, issues its complaint against said Respondents |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| By the Commission.   |                              |
|  | Donald S. Clark<br>Secretary |
| SEAL   |                              |