



providing its competitors a wide open look into its innermost financial strengths and weaknesses, which competitors could use to unfair advantage over McWane. Respondent states that the remaining 5 documents consist of monthly statements during the year 2011; Tyler/Union's current non-public job pricing information; and two of Tyler/Union's 2012 customer-specific rebate programs. Respondent asserts that disclosure of current customer-specific price and rebate plans would enable McWane's competitors to leverage more favorable prices and plans for themselves.

Although 7 of the 26 documents contain information that is over three years old, Respondent has demonstrated that the information should be protected because the information either: (1) relates to ongoing jobs, and would provide competitors with the identities of

Respondent shall inform its testifying current or former employees that *in camera* treatment has been extended to the exhibits listed in this Order. At the time that any documents that have been granted *in camera* treatment are offered into evidence, or before any of the information contained therein is referred to in court, Respondent shall identify such documents and the subject matter therein as *in camera*, inform the court reporter of the trial exhibit